Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Alexandru Zaharescu

The distribution of the values of a rational function modulo a big prime

Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, tome 15, nº 3 (2003), p. 863-872

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB_2003__15_3_863_0>

Journal de Théorie des Nombres
de Bordeaux **15** (2003), 863–872

The distribution of the values of a rational function modulo a big prime

par Alexandru ZAHARESCU

Résumé. Étant donnés un grand nombre premier p et une fonction rationelle $r(X)$ définie sur $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, on évalue la grandeur de l'ensemble $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p : \tilde{r}(x) > \tilde{r}(x+1)\}$, où $\tilde{r}(x)$ et $\tilde{r}(x+1)$ sont les plus petits représentants de $r(x)$ et $r(x+1)$ dans $\mathbb{Z}$ modulo $p\mathbb{Z}$.

Abstract. Given a large prime number p and a rational function $r(X)$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we investigate the size of the set $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p : \tilde{r}(x) > \tilde{r}(x+1)\}$, where $\tilde{r}(x)$ and $\tilde{r}(x+1)$ denote the least positive representatives of $r(x)$ and $r(x+1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ modulo $p\mathbb{Z}$.

1. Introduction

Several problems on the distribution of points satisfying various congruence constraints have been investigated recently. Given a large prime number p, for any $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ let $\overline{a} \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ be such that $a\,\overline{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. A question raised by D.H. Lehmer (see Guy [4, Problem F12]) asks to say something nontrivial about the number, call it $N(p)$, of those a for which a and $\overline{a}$ are of opposite parity. The problem was studied by Wenpeng Zhang in [8], [9] and [10] who proved that

$$N(p) = \frac{p}{2} + O\left(p^{1/2}\log^2 p\right) \tag{1}$$

and then generalized (1) to the case when p is replaced by any odd number q. In [2] it is obtained a generalization of (1), in which the pair $(a, \overline{a})$ is replaced by a point lying on a more general irreducible curve defined mod p. Zhang also studied the problem of the distribution of distances $|a - \overline{a}|$, where $a, \overline{a}$ run over the set of integers in $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ which are relatively prime to n. He proved in [11] that for any integer $n \geq 2$ and any $0 < \delta \leq 1$ one has

Manuscrit reçu le 24 novembre 2001.

$$\left|\{a:\ 1 \le a \le n-1,\ (a,n)=1,\ |a-\overline{a}| < \delta n\}\right| \\ = \delta(2-\delta)\varphi(n) + O\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}} d^2(n)\log^3 n\right), \tag{2}$$

where $\varphi(n)$ is the Euler function and $d(n)$ denotes the number of divisors of n. In [12] Zhiyong Zheng investigated the same problem, with $(a,\overline{a})$ replaced by a pair (x,y) satisfying a more general congruence. Precisely, let p be a prime number and let $f(x,y)$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients of total degree $d \ge 2$, absolutely irreducible modulo p. Then it is proved in [12] that for any $0 < \delta \le 1$ one has:

$$\left|\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 0 \le x,y < p,\ f(x,y) \equiv 0 \pmod p, |x-y| < \delta p\}\right| \\ = \delta(2-\delta)p + O_d\left(p^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2 p\right).$$

A generalization of this problem, where the pair (x,y) is replaced by a point lying on an irreducible curve in a higher dimensional affine space over the field $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, has been obtained in [3].

There are different ways to measure the randomness of the distribution of a given set. B. Z. Moroz showed in [5] that the squares (or the $l-$th powers, if l divides $p-1$) are randomly distributed among the values $\{i_p(f(0)),\dots,i_p(f(p-1))\}$ of a fixed irreducible polynomial $f(X)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ modulo a prime p, as $p \to \infty$ (here i_p stands for the reduction modulo p).

In the present paper we study what happens with the order of residue classes mod p when they are transformed through a rational function $r(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p(X)$. For any $y \in \mathbb{F}_p$ denote by $j(y)$ the least positive representative of y in $\mathbb{Z}$ modulo $p\mathbb{Z}$. To any rational function $r(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p(X)$ we associate the map $\tilde{r} : \mathbb{F}_p \to \{0,1,\dots,p-1\}$ given by $\tilde{r}(x) = j(r(x))$ if $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is not a pole of $r(X)$, and $\tilde{r}(x) = 0$ if x is a pole of $r(X)$. As the degree of $r(X)$ will be assumed to be small in terms of p in what follows, the contribution of the poles of $r(X)$ in our asymptotic results will be negligible. If we count those $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for which $\tilde{r}(x+1) < \tilde{r}(x)$, respectively those x for which $\tilde{r}(x+1) > \tilde{r}(x)$, there should be no bias towards any one of these inequalities. In other words one would expect that for about half of the elements $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $\tilde{r}(x+1)$ is larger than $\tilde{r}(x)$ and for about half of the elements $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $\tilde{r}(x+1)$ is smaller than $\tilde{r}(x)$.

In order to handle the above problem, we fix nonzero positive integers a, b and study the distribution of the set $\{b\tilde{r}(x+1)-a\tilde{r}(x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$. For any real number t consider the set $\mathcal{M}(a,b,p,r,t) = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p : b\tilde{r}(x+1)-a\tilde{r}(x) < tp\}$ and denote by $D(a,b,p,r,t)$ the number of elements of $\mathcal{M}(a,b,p,r,t)$. Our aim is to provide an asymptotic formula for $D(a,b,p,r,t)$.

We now introduce a function $G(t,a,b)$ which will play an important role in the estimation of $D(a,b,p,r,t)$.

$$G(t,a,b) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t < -a \\ \frac{(t+a)^2}{2ab}, & \text{if } -a \le t \le W \\ \left(1 - \frac{(W+a)^2}{ab}\right)\frac{t-W}{Z-W} + \frac{(W+a)^2}{2ab}, & \text{if } W < t < Z \\ 1 - \frac{(t-b)^2}{2ab}, & \text{if } Z \le t < b \\ 1, & \text{if } b \le t \end{cases}$$

where $W = \min\{0, b-a\}$ and $Z = \max\{0, b-a\}$. We will prove the following

Theorem 1.1. *For any positive integers a, b, d, any prime number p, any real number t and any rational function $r(X) = \frac{f(X)}{g(X)}$ which is not a linear polynomial, with $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$, $\deg f, \deg g \le d$, one has*

$$D(a,b,p,r,t) = pG(t,a,b) + O_{a,b,d}\left(p^{1/2}\log^2 p\right). \tag{3}$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we show that the inequality $\tilde{r}(x) > \tilde{r}(x+1)$ holds indeed for about half of the values of x in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let p be a prime number, d a positive integer and let $r(X) = \frac{f(X)}{g(X)}$ be a rational function which is not a linear polynomial, with $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ and $\deg f, \deg g \le d$. Then one has*

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p : \tilde{r}(x) > \tilde{r}(x+1)\} = \frac{p}{2} + O_d\left(p^{1/2}\log^2 p\right).$$

As another application of Theorem 1.1 we obtain an asymptotic result for all the even moments of the distance between $\tilde{r}(x+1)$ and $\tilde{r}(x)$.

Corollary 1.3. *Let k be a positive integer and let $p, d, r(X)$ be as in the statement of Corollary 1. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} M(p,r,2k) &:= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p} (\tilde{r}(x+1) - \tilde{r}(x))^{2k} \\ &= \frac{p^{2k+1}}{(k+1)(2k+1)} + O_{k,d}\left(p^{2k+1/2}\log^2 p\right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $k = 1$ one has

$$M(p,r,2) = \frac{p^3}{6} + O_d(p^{5/2}\log^2 p).$$

This says that in quadratic average $\left|\tilde{r}(x+1) - \tilde{r}(x)\right|$ is $\sim \frac{p}{\sqrt{6}}$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will need the following lemma, which is a consequence of the Riemann Hypothesis for curves defined over a finite field (see [7], [6], [1]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let p be a prime number and $\mathbb{F}_p$ the field with p elements. Let ψ be a nontrivial character of the additive group of $\mathbb{F}_p$ and let $R(X)$ be a nonconstant rational function. Then*

$$\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_p} \psi(R(a)) = O\left(\sqrt{p}\right),$$

where the poles of $R(X)$ are excluded from the summation, and the implicit $O-$constant depends at most on the degrees of the numerator and denominator of $F(X)$.

Let now p be a prime number, let a, b, d be positive integers less than p, let t be a real number and let $r(X) = \frac{f(X)}{g(X)}$, $r(X)$ not a linear polynomial, with $f(X), g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$, $\deg f(X), \deg g(X) \le d$. For any $y, z \in \{0, 1, \cdots, p-1\}$ we set

$$H(y,z) = H(t,y,z,a,b) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \; bz - ay < tp \\ 0, & \text{if } \; bz - ay \ge tp \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Then we may write $D(a,b,p,r,t)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} D(a,b,p,r,t) &= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p} H(\tilde{r}(x), \tilde{r}(x+1)) \\ &= \sum_{0\le y,z\le p-1} H(y,z)\#\{x\in\mathbb{F}_p : \tilde{r}(x) = y, \tilde{r}(x+1) = z\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we write $D(a,b,p,r,t)$ in terms of exponential sums mod p. Denote as usual $e_p(w) = e^{\frac{2\pi i w}{p}}$ for any w. Using the equalities

$$\sum_{0\le m\le p-1} e_p(m(y-\tilde{r}(x))) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } \; \tilde{r}(x) = y \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{0\le n\le p-1} e_p(n(z-\tilde{r}(x+1))) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } \; \tilde{r}(x+1) = z \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

we find that

$$D(a,b,p,r,t) = \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{0\le y,z\le p-1} H(y,z) \tag{5}$$

$$\times \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{0\le m\le p-1} e_p(m(y-\tilde{r}(x))) \sum_{0\le n\le p-1} e_p(n(z-\tilde{r}(x+1)))$$

$$=\frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{0\le m,n\le p-1}\sum_{0\le y,z\le p-1} H(y,z)e_p(my+nz)\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p} e_p(-m\tilde{r}(x)-n\tilde{r}(x+1))$$

$$=\frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{0\le m,n\le p-1} \check{H}(m,n)S(-m,-n,r,p),$$

where

$$\check{H}(m,n)=\sum_{0\le y,z\le p-1} H(y,z)e_p(my+nz) \tag{6}$$

and

$$S(-m,-n,r,p)=\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p} e_p(-m\tilde{r}(x)-n\tilde{r}(x+1)). \tag{7}$$

Note that for $m=n=0$ one has

$$S(0,0,r,p)=p. \tag{8}$$

Next, we claim that if $(m,n)\neq(0,0)$ then the rational function $h(X)=mr(X)+nr(X+1)\in\mathbb{F}_p(X)$ is nonconstant. Indeed, if $n=0$ then $m\neq 0$ and $h(X)=mr(X)$ is nonconstant by the hypotheses from the statement of the theorem. The same conclusion holds if $m=0$ and $n\neq 0$. Let now $m\neq 0$, $n\neq 0$ and assume that

$$mr(X)+nr(X+1)=c \tag{9}$$

for some $c\in\mathbb{F}_p$. Suppose first that $r(X)$ is not a polynomial and choose a root $\alpha\in\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of the denominator of $r(X)$, where $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ denotes the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Since α is a pole of $r(X)$, from (9) it follows that α is also a pole of $r(X+1)$, that is $\alpha+1$ is a pole of $r(X)$. By repeating the above reasoning with α replaced by $\alpha+1$ we see that $\alpha+2$, $\alpha+3,\dots,\alpha+p-1$ are poles of $r(X)$. This forces $\deg g(X)$ to be $\ge p$, so $d\ge p$, in which case (3) becomes trivial. Let us suppose now that $r(X)$ is a polynomial, say

$$r(X)=a_lX^l+a_{l-1}X^{l-1}+\cdots+a_1X+a_0$$

with $a_0,\dots,a_l\in\mathbb{F}_p$, $a_l\neq 0$. Then by the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 it follows that $l\ge 2$. Looking at the coefficient of X^l in (9) we deduce that $m+n=0$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$. But then, the coefficient of X^{l-1} on the left side of (9) equals lna_l, which is nonzero in $\mathbb{F}_p$, contradicting (9). This proves our claim that $h(X)$ is nonconstant in $\mathbb{F}_p(X)$. By Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$|S(-m,-n,r,p)|=O_d(\sqrt{p}) \tag{10}$$

for any $(m,n)\neq(0,0)$.

Next, we proceed to evaluate the coefficients $\check{H}(m,n)$. We calculate explicitly $\check{H}(0,0)$ and provide upper bounds for $|\check{H}(m,n)|$ for $(m,n)\neq(0,0)$. There are four cases.

I. $m = 0$, $n \neq 0$. We have

$$\check{H}(0,n) = \sum_{0 \leq y,z \leq p-1} H(y,z) e_p(nz)\,.$$

By the definition of $H(y,z)$ it follows that for each $y \in \{0,1,\dots,p-1\}$ we have a sum of $e_p(nz)$ with z running over a subinterval of $\{0,1,\dots,p-1\}$, that is a sum of a geometric progression with ratio $e_p(n)$. The absolute value of such a sum is $\leq \frac{2}{|e_p(n)-1|}$ and consequently

$$\left|\check{H}(0,n)\right| \leq \frac{2p}{|e_p(n)-1|} = \frac{p}{\sin \frac{n\pi}{p}} \leq \frac{p}{2\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}, \tag{11}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer.

II. $m \neq 0$, $n = 0$. Similarly, as in case **I**, we have

$$\left|\check{H}(m,0)\right| \leq \frac{p}{2\left\|\frac{m}{p}\right\|}\,. \tag{12}$$

III. $m \neq 0$, $n \neq 0$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $h,k \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$, L, T and $u \geq 0$ be integers. Let $S = \sum_{y=0}^{L} \sum_{z=0}^{uy+T} e_p(hy)e_p(kz)$. Then one has*

$$|S| = O\left(\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{k}{p}\right\|} \min\left\{L, \frac{1}{\left\|\frac{h+uk}{p}\right\|}\right\} + \frac{1}{\left\|\frac{k}{p}\right\|} \cdot \frac{1}{\left\|\frac{h}{p}\right\|}\right).$$

Proof. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \sum_{y=0}^{L} e_p(hy) \sum_{z=0}^{uy+T} e_p(kz) \\
&= \sum_{y=0}^{L} e_p(hy) \frac{1-e_p(k(uy+T+1))}{1-e_p(k)} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-e_p(k)} \sum_{y=0}^{L} e_p(hy) - \frac{e_p(k(T+1))}{1-e_p(k)} \sum_{y=0}^{L} e_p((h+ku)y).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|S| \leq \frac{1}{|1-e_p(k)|}\left|\sum_{y=0}^{L} e_p(hy)\right| + \frac{1}{|1-e_p(k)|}\left|\sum_{y=0}^{L} e_p((h+ku)y)\right|.$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{|1-e_p(k)|}=\frac{1}{\left|1-e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{p}}\right|}=\frac{1}{\left|e^{-\frac{\pi ik}{p}}-e^{\frac{\pi ik}{p}}\right|}=\frac{1}{\left|2\sin\frac{\pi k}{p}\right|}=O\left(\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{k}{p}\right\|}\right).$$

Also,

$$\left|\sum_{y=0}^{L}e_p(hy)\right|=\frac{|1-e_p(h(L+1))|}{|1-e_p(h)|}=O\left(\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{h}{p}\right\|}\right).$$

Lastly, if $h+ku$ is not a multiple of p, then

$$\left|\sum_{y=0}^{L}e_p((h+ku)y)\right|=\frac{|1-e_p((h+ku)(L+1))|}{|1-e_p(h+ku)|}=O\left(\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{h+ku}{p}\right\|}\right).$$

We also have the bound

$$\left|\sum_{y=0}^{L}e_p((h+ku)y)\right|\le L+1,$$

which is valid for any h,k and u. Putting the above bounds together, Lemma 2.2 follows.

We now return to the estimation of $\check{H}(m,n)$. Writing

$$\check{H}(m,n)=\sum_{\substack{0\le y,z\le p-1\\ bz-ay<tp}}e_p(my+nz)$$

as a sum of b sums according to the residue of y modulo b, one arrives at sums as in Lemma 2.2, with $h=mb$, $k=n$, $u=a$. It follows that

$$|\check{H}(m,n)|=O_{a,b}\left(\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}\min\left\{p,\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{mb+an}{p}\right\|}\right\}+\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}\cdot\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{mb}{p}\right\|}\right). \tag{13}$$

IV. $m,n=0$. By definition, we have

$$\check{H}(0,0)=\sum_{0\le y,z\le p-1}H(y,z).$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of real points from the square $[0,p)\times[0,p)$ which lie below the line $bz-ay=tp$. Then $\check{H}(0,0)$ equals the number of integer points (y,z) from $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore

$$\check{H}(0,0)=Area(\mathcal{D})+O(length(\partial\mathcal{D})).$$

An easy computation shows that $Area(\mathcal{D})$ equals $p^2G(t,a,b)$ with $G(t,a,b)$ defined as in the Introduction, while the length of the boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$ is $\le 4p$. Hence

$$\check{H}(0,0)=p^2G(t,a,b)+O(p).$$

By (5) we know that

$$\left|D(a,b,p,r,t) - \frac{1}{p^2}\check{H}(0,0)S(0,0,r,p)\right| \le D_1 + D_2 + D_3\,,$$

where

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\left|\check{H}(m,0)\right|\left|S(-m,0,r,p)\right|,$$

$$D_2 = \frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\left|\check{H}(0,n)\right|\left|S(0,-n,r,p)\right|,$$

$$D_3 = \frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\left|\check{H}(m,n)\right|\left|S(-m,-n,r,p)\right|.$$

One has

$$\frac{1}{p^2}\check{H}(0,0)S(0,0,r,p) = \frac{\check{H}(0,0)}{p} = pG(t,a,b) + O(1).$$

By (11) and (10) we have

$$D_2 = O_d\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\frac{p}{\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}\sqrt{p}\right) = O_d\left(\sqrt{p}\log p\right).$$

Similarly one has

$$D_1 = O_d\left(\sqrt{p}\log p\right).$$

In order to estimate D_3 we first use (10) and (13) to obtain

$$D_3 = O_{a,b,d}\left(\frac{1}{p^{3/2}}\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}\min\left\{p, \frac{1}{\left\|\frac{mb+an}{p}\right\|}\right\}\right. \tag{14}$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{p^{3/2}}\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}\cdot\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{mb}{p}\right\|}\right)$$

The first double sum in (14) is

$$\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}\min\left\{p, \frac{1}{\left\|\frac{mb+an}{p}\right\|}\right\}$$

$$\le \sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\ mb+an\equiv 0 \pmod p}}^{p-1} p + \sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\ mb+an\not\equiv 0 \pmod p}}^{p-1}\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{mb+an}{p}\right\|}$$

$$\leq p\sum_{n=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\frac{p}{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}\sum_{m'=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{m'}{p}\right\|}\leq p^2\left(1+\log p\right)+4p^2\left(1+\log p\right)^2,$$

while the second double sum is

$$\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{n}{p}\right\|}\cdot\frac{1}{\left\|\frac{mb}{p}\right\|}=4\sum_{m=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\frac{p}{m}\sum_{n=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\frac{p}{n}\leq 4p^2\left(1+\log p\right)^2.$$

Hence $D_3 = O_{a,b,d}\left(\sqrt{p}\log^2 p\right)$. Putting all these together, Theorem 1.1 follows.

3. Proof of the Corollaries

For the proof of the first Corollary, let us notice that

$$\#\{x\in\mathbb{F}_p:\tilde{r}(x)>\tilde{r}(x+1)\}=D(1,1,p,r,0).$$

Here $W = Z = 0$ and so

$$G(0,1,1)=\frac{(t+a)^2}{2ab}=\frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus

$$\#\{x\in\mathbb{F}_p:\tilde{r}(x)>\tilde{r}(x+1)\}=\frac{p}{2}+O_d(p^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2 p)$$

which proves Corollary 1.2.

In order to prove Corollary 1.3 note that

$$\begin{aligned}M(p,r,2k)&=\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p}(\tilde{r}(x+1)-\tilde{r}(x))^{2k}\\&=\sum_{-p<m<p}m^{2k}\#\{x\in\mathbb{F}_p:\tilde{r}(x+1)-\tilde{r}(x)=m\}.\end{aligned}$$

This equals

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{-p<m<p}m^{2k}(D(\frac{m+1}{p})-D(\frac{m}{p}))&=D(1)(p-1)^{2k}\\&+\sum_{-p<m<p}D(\frac{m}{p})((m-1)^{2k}-m^{2k})\end{aligned}$$

where for any t we denote $D(t) = D(1,1,p,r,t)$. From Theorem 1.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}M(p,r,2k)&=p^{2k+1}G(1,1,1)+p\sum_{-p<m<p}G(\frac{m}{p},1,1)((m-1)^{2k}-m^{2k})\\&+O_{k,d}\left(p^{2k+\frac{1}{2}}\log^2 p\right)+O_d\left(p^{1/2}\log^2 p\sum_{-p<m<p}\left|(m-1)^{2k}-m^{2k}\right|\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $(m-1)^{2k} - m^{2k} = -2km^{2k-1} + O_k(p^{2k-2})$ and $0 \le G(\frac{m}{p}, 1, 1) \le 1$ for any m, we derive

$$M(p, r, 2k) = p^{2k+1}G(1,1,1) - 2kp \sum_{-p<m<p} m^{2k-1} G(\frac{m}{p}, 1, 1) + O_{k,d}\Big(p^{2k+\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 p\Big).$$

From the definition of G we see that

$$G(\frac{m}{p}, 1, 1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \ m < -p \\ \frac{(1+\frac{m}{p})^2}{2}, & \text{if } \ -p \le m \le 0 \\ 1 - \frac{(1-\frac{m}{p})^2}{2}, & \text{if } \ 0 < m < p \\ 1, & \text{if } \ p \le m. \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that for any positive integer r one has $\sum_{-p<m<p} m^r = \frac{2p^{r+1}}{r+1} + O_r(p^r)$ if r is even and $\sum_{-p<m<p} m^r = 0$ if r is odd, the statement of Corollary 1.3 follows after a straightforward computation.

References

[1] E. BOMBIERI, *On exponential sums in finite fields.* Amer. J. of Math. **88** (1966), 71–105.

[2] C. COBELI, A. ZAHARESCU, *Generalization of a problem of Lehmer.* Manuscripta Math. **104** no. **3** (2001), 301–307.

[3] C. COBELI, A. ZAHARESCU, *On the distribution of the F_p−points on an affine curve in r dimensions.* Acta Arith. **99** no. **4** (2001), 321–329.

[4] R.K. GUY, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory.* Springer-Verlag, New York - Berlin, 1981, (second edition 1994).

[5] B. Z. MOROZ, *The distribution of power residues and non-residues.* Vestnik LGU, **16** no. **19** (1961), 164–169.

[6] G. I. PEREL'MUTER, *On certain character sums.* Uspechi Matem. Nauk, **18** (1963), 145–149.

[7] A. WEIL, *On some exponential sums.* Proc Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **34** (1948), 204-207.

[8] W. ZHANG, *On a problem of D. H. Lehmer and its generalization.* Compositio Math. **86** no. **3** (1993), 307–316.

[9] W. ZHANG, *A problem of D. H. Lehmer and its generalization II.* Compositio Math. **91** no. **1** (1994), 47–56.

[10] W. ZHANG, *On the difference between a D. H. Lehmer number and its inverse modulo q.* Acta Arith. **68** no. **3** (1994), 255–263.

[11] W. ZHANG, *On the distribution of inverses modulo n.* J. Number Theory **61** no. **2** (1996), 301–310.

[12] Z. ZHENG, *The distribution of Zeros of an Irreducible Curve over a Finite Field.* J. Number Theory **59** no. **1** (1996), 106–118.

Alexandru ZAHARESCU
Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
1409 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL, 61801, USA
E-mail : `zaharesc@math.uiuc.edu`