

Damian RÖSSLER

A note on the ramification of torsion points lying on curves of genus at least two

Tome 22, nº 2 (2010), p. 475-481.

 $\verb|\c| ttp://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2010__22_2_475_0> |$

© Université Bordeaux 1, 2010, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (http://jtnb.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques http://www.cedram.org/

A note on the ramification of torsion points lying on curves of genus at least two

par Damian RÖSSLER

RÉSUMÉ. Soit C une courbe de genre $g \ge 2$ définie sur le corps de fractions K d'un anneau de valuation discret R dont le corps résiduel est algébriquement clos. On suppose que $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$ et que la caractéristique résiduelle p de R n'est pas 2. On suppose aussi que la jacobienne $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$ de C a réduction semi-stable sur R. On plonge C dans $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$ via a un point K-rationnel. Nous montrons que les coordonnées des points de torsion de $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$ qui se trouvent dans $C(\overline{K})$ sont dans l'unique extension modérément ramifiée du corps engendré par les coordonnées des points de p-torsion de $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$.

ABSTRACT. Let C be a curve of genus $g \ge 2$ defined over the fraction field K of a complete discrete valuation ring R with algebraically closed residue field. Suppose that $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$ and that the characteristic p of the residue field is not 2. Suppose that the Jacobian $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$ has semi-stable reduction over R. Embed C in $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$ using a K-rational point. We show that the coordinates of the torsion points lying on C lie in the unique tamely ramified quadratic extension of the field generated over K by the coordinates of the p-torsion points on $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$.

1. Introduction

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring. Suppose that the residue field k of R is algebraically closed and of characteristic $p \ge 0$. Suppose that $p \ne 2$. Let K be the fraction field of R and suppose that $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$. Let C be a curve of genus $g \ge 2$ defined over K. Let $j: C \to \operatorname{Jac}(C)$ be the closed immersion of C into its Jacobian defined by a K-rational point. Let $A := \operatorname{Jac}(C)$. Let $\mathfrak A$ be the Néron model of A over R.

Let $L := K(A[p](\bar{K}))$ be the extension of K generated by the coordinates of the p-torsion points of $A(\bar{K})$. In particular, L = K if p = 0. Let L' be the unique tamely ramified quadratic extension of L.

Finally, let $K_1 \subseteq K$ be the field generated over K by the coordinates of the elements of $\operatorname{Tor}(A(\bar{K})) \cap C(\bar{K})$. Here $\operatorname{Tor}(A(\bar{K}))$ is the subgroup of $A(\bar{K})$ consisting of elements of finite order.

The aim of this note is to prove the following statement:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that the connected component of the special fiber \mathfrak{A}_k of \mathfrak{A} is a semi-abelian variety (i.e. A has semi-stable reduction over R). Then we have

- (a) $K_1 \subseteq L'$;
- (b) if C is not hyperelliptic then we have $K_1 \subseteq L$.

Corollary 1.2. If p > 3, the inequality

$$[K_1:K] \leq 2 \cdot \#\mathrm{GL}_{2q}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \#\mathrm{GL}_{2q}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

is verified.

Corollary 1.2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 and of Raynaud's criterion of semi-stable reduction. Recall that according to this criterion, A will have semi-stable reduction over K if the l-torsion points of A are K-rational, for some prime number l such that $l \neq p$ and l > 2 (see [6, IX]).

For the convenience of the reader, we recall the formula

$$\#\mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) = (l^{2g} - 1) \cdot (l^{2g} - l) \cdots (l^{2g} - l^{2g-1}),$$

which is valid for any prime number l.

Theorem 1.1 should be understood as a complement to some results of Tamagawa (see [10]), Baker-Ribet (see [2]) and Coleman (see [5]).

For instance, with the present notation, suppose that p > 0, that R is the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and that the abelian part of the connected component of \mathfrak{A}_k is an ordinary abelian variety. Tamagawa then proves that K_1 is contained in the extension of K generated by the p-th roots of unity (see [10] or [2, Th. 4.1]). Another example is the following result of Coleman: if $p > \max(2g, 5)$, R is the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{A}_k is an abelian variety, then $K_1 \subseteq K$ (see [5, Conj. B]).

All these results restrict the size of K_1 under various hypotheses on the special fiber \mathfrak{A}_k and on the order of absolute ramification of K. The interest of Theorem 1.1 and its corollary is that they provide a limit for the size of K_1 with no assumption on the absolute ramification of K and little or no assumptions on the special fiber \mathfrak{A}_k .

- **Remark.** (1) The avoidance of the prime p=2 is critical. It appears in both Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 and this is exploited at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.1. It would be interesting to extend the method used in this note to the case p=2.
- (2) A closer examination of the proof of Theorem 1.1 (b) shows that the following statement holds. Let $x \in \text{Tor}(A(\overline{K})) \cap C(\overline{K})$. Suppose that C is hyperelliptic. If x is not a fixed point of the uniquely defined hyperelliptic involution of C, then the field generated over K by the coordinates of x is included in L.

Notations. If l is a prime number and G is an abelian group, we write $\operatorname{Tor}^l(G)$ for the set of elements of $\operatorname{Tor}(G)$ whose order is prime to l and $\operatorname{Tor}_l(G)$ for the set of elements of $\operatorname{Tor}(G)$ whose order is a power of l. The expression $\operatorname{Tor}^0(G)$ will stand for $\operatorname{Tor}(G)$. We shall denote by + the group law on $A(\bar{L})$. We shall write divisors on $C_{\bar{L}}$ in the form

$$n_1P_1 \oplus n_2P_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus n_rP_R$$

where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The symbol \sim will be used to denote linear equivalence of divisors.

Ackowledgments. I am grateful to M. Baker and J. Boxall for their feedback and for some useful comments.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let L^t be the maximal tamely ramified extension of L. Let $I := \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L}|L)$, $I^w := \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L}|L^t)$ and $I^t := \operatorname{Gal}(L^t|L)$. Recall that $I^w = 0$ if $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$ and that there is a non-canonical isomorphism $I^t \simeq \bigoplus_{l \neq p, \ l \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Z}_l$ (see [9, chap. IV]). Furthermore, the group I^w is a pro-p-group if p > 0.

We shall need the following five results.

Theorem 2.1 (monodromy theorem). For any $x \in \operatorname{Tor}^p(A(\bar{L}))$ and any $\sigma \in I$, the equation $\sigma^2(x) - 2\sigma(x) + x = 0$ is satisfied.

Proof. See
$$[6, IX, 5.12.2]$$

Lemma 2.2. The action of I^w on $Tor^p(A(\bar{L}))$ is trivial.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1. See for instance [2, Appendix, Lemma A.1].

Lemma 2.3. The action of I^t on $Tor_p(A(L^t))$ is trivial.

Proof. We may restrict ourselves to the case where p>0. Let $T\subseteq \operatorname{Tor}_p(A(L^t))$ be a finite I^t -invariant subgroup. We have to show that the action of I^t on T is trivial. The action of I^t on T preserves the order of elements, hence T is an inner direct sum of G^t -invariants subgroups of the form $(\mathbb{Z}/p^r)^s$. Hence we might suppose without loss of generality that $T\simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^r)^s$ for some $r,s\leqslant 1$. Let T_p be the subgroup of p-torsion elements of T. The fact that the p-torsion points in $A(\bar{L})$ are L-rational implies that the action of G^t on T_p is trivial. Hence the image of G^t in $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$ lies in the kernel of the natural group map

$$\operatorname{Aut}(T) \to \operatorname{Aut}(T_p)$$

Under the above isomorphism $T \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^r)^s$, this corresponds to $s \times s$ -matrices of the form $\mathrm{Id}+pM$, where M is an $s \times s$ -matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$. This last fact is a consequence of the fact that multiplication by p^{r-1} induces an I^t -equivariant isomorphism $T/pT \to T_p$. The calculation

 $(\mathrm{Id} + pM)^{p^{r-1}} = \mathrm{Id}$ now shows that the image of I^t in $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is a p-group. On the other hand, I^t is a direct sum of pro-l-groups, with $l \neq p$. The order of the image of I^t is thus prime to p. This image is thus trivial. \square

Lemma 2.4 (Boxall). Let B be an abelian variety over a field F of characteristic 0. Let l > 2 be a prime number and let L := F(B[l]) be the extension of F generated by the l-torsion points of B. Let $P \in \operatorname{Tor}_l(B(\bar{L}))$ and suppose that $P \notin B(L)$. Then there exists $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L}|L)$ such that $\sigma(P) - P \in B[l](\bar{L}) \setminus \{0\}$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $P \oplus Q$ and $P' \oplus Q'$ be two divisors of degree 2 on $C_{\bar{L}}$. Suppose that $P \oplus Q$ and $P' \oplus Q'$ are linearly equivalent.

If C is not hyperelliptic, then the two divisors coincide.

If C is hyperelliptic, then either the two divisors coincide or we have $Q = \iota(P)$ and $Q' = \iota(P')$.

Here $\iota:C\to C$ is the uniquely defined hyperelliptic involution.

Proof. See
$$[7, IV, Prop. 5.3]$$
.

Lemma 2.6. Let $x \in A(\bar{L})$ and suppose that $x \neq 0$. The inequality

(2.1)
$$\#(C(\bar{L}) \cap (C(\bar{L}) + x)) \leq 2$$

is then verified. If C is not hyperelliptic, we even have

(2.2)
$$\#(C(\bar{L}) \cap (C(\bar{L}) + x)) \leq 1.$$

This Lemma is a consequence of [1, Prop. 4]. For the convenience of the reader, we provide the following proof.

Proof. We shall write O for the K-rational point on C, which is used to embed C in A.

Let $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in C(\bar{L})$ be pairwise distinct points such that $a_1 + x, a_2 + x, \ldots, a_r + x \in C(\bar{L})$. Let $b_i := a_i + x \ (i = 1, \ldots, r)$.

Suppose first that r > 1 and that C is not hyperelliptic. We then have a linear equivalence

$$(2.3) b_1 \oplus a_2 \sim b_2 \oplus a_1$$

Hence either $b_1 = b_2$ or $b_1 = a_1$, either of which are ruled out. So we conclude that if C is not hyperelliptic, then $r \leq 1$. This proves the inequality (2.2).

Now suppose that C is hyperelliptic and that r > 2. Let $\iota : C \to C$ be the corresponding hyperelliptic involution. On top of (2.3), we then have the further linear equivalence

$$b_2 \oplus a_3 \sim a_2 \oplus b_3$$

Lemma 2.5 now implies that $a_2 = \iota(b_1)$ and $a_2 = \iota(b_3)$. Hence $b_1 = b_3$, which is impossible. Thus we conclude that $r \leq 2$, if C is hyperelliptic. This proves the first inequality (2.1).

We can now start with the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The monodromy theorem 2.1 says that for any $x \in \operatorname{Tor}^p(A(\bar{L}))$ and any $\sigma \in I$ the equation $(\sigma - \operatorname{Id})^2(x) = 0$ is satisfied (remember that $\operatorname{Tor}^p(\cdot) = \operatorname{Tor}(\cdot)$ if p = 0). On the other hand, Lemma 2.2 says that $\operatorname{Tor}^p(A(\bar{L})) \subseteq \operatorname{Tor}^p(A(L^t))$ and Lemma 2.3 implies that $\sigma(x) = x$ for any $x \in \operatorname{Tor}_p(A(L^t))$ and any $\sigma \in I^t$. Hence the equation

$$(2.4) \qquad (\sigma - \operatorname{Id})^2(x) = 0$$

is verified for any $x \in \text{Tor}(A(L^t))$ and any $\sigma \in I^t$. Let $x \in \text{Tor}(A(L^t)) \cap C(\bar{L})$ and $\sigma \in I^t$. The equation (2.4) implies the linear equivalence

(2.5)
$$\sigma^2(x) \oplus x \sim 2\sigma(x)$$

of divisors of degree 2 on $C_{\bar{L}}$.

First suppose that C is not hyperelliptic; then any two linearly equivalent divisors of degree 2 on $C_{\bar{L}}$ coincide (see Lemma 2.5) and the relation (2.5) thus implies that $x = \sigma(x)$.

Summing up, there is an inclusion

$$\operatorname{Tor}(A(L^t)) \cap C(\bar{L}) \subseteq A(L)$$

if C is not hyperelliptic.

Now suppose that C is hyperelliptic and let $\iota: C \to C$ be the uniquely defined hyperelliptic involution. Lemma 2.5 then implies that either $x = \sigma(x)$ as above or that the equations $\iota(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(x)$ and $\iota(x) = \sigma^2(x)$ hold. Suppose the latter. Since ι is defined over L, the equation $\iota(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(x)$ implies that $\iota(x) = x$. This together with the equation $\iota(x) = \sigma^2(x)$ implies that $\sigma^2(x) = x$.

Now notice that since the group I^t is abelian, the set $(I^t)^2$ of squares of elements is a normal subgroup of I^t . Let J' be the Galois extension of L defined by $(I^t)^2$. Since $I^t \simeq \bigoplus_{l \neq p, \ l \ \text{prime}} \mathbb{Z}_l$, we see that [J':L] = 2. In the last paragraph, we showed that $\text{Tor}(A(L^t)) \cap C(\bar{L})$ is fixed by $(I^t)^2$. In other words, we have shown that $\text{Tor}(A(L^t)) \cap C(\bar{L}) \subseteq A(J')$ where J' is the unique tamely ramified quadratic extension of L. In the notation of the introduction, J' = L'.

Summing up, we see that

$$\operatorname{Tor}(A(L^t)) \cap C(\bar{L}) \subseteq A(L')$$

if C is hyperelliptic.

¹This calculation is partly the motivation for Ribet's definition of an "almost rational point" (see [2, Lemma 2.7]) and is the starting point of Tamagawa's article [10] (see Prop. 0.2 in that reference).

If p = 0, then $L^t = \bar{K}$ so this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 in that case.

Now let $x \in \text{Tor}(A(\bar{L})) \cap C(\bar{L}) \setminus C(L^t)$. Let $x = x^p + x_p$ be the decomposition of x into its components of prime-to-p torsion and p-primary torsion, respectively. Lemma 2.3 implies that $x_p \in A(\bar{L}) \setminus A(L^t)$. Also, using Boxall's lemma 2.4 and the fact that L contains the coordinates of the p-torsion points, we see that there exists $\sigma_x \in I^w$ such that $\sigma_x(x) - x = \sigma_x(x_p) - x_p \in A[p](L) \setminus \{0\}$. Hence

(2.6)
$$\sigma_x(x) \in \bigcup_{\tau \in A[p](L) \setminus \{0\}} C(\bar{L}) \cap (C(\bar{L}) + \tau).$$

Lemma 2.6 now implies that

for all $\tau \in A[p](L)\setminus\{0\}$. Notice that if $\sigma_x(x) \in C(\bar{L}) \cap (C(\bar{L}) + \tau_0)$ for a particular $\tau_0 \in A[p](L)\setminus\{0\}$, then we have

$$\{\sigma_x(x), \sigma_x^2(x), \dots, \sigma_x^p(x)\} \subseteq C(\bar{L}) \cap (C(\bar{L}) + \tau_0)$$

(remember that by construction τ_0 is fixed by I^w). On the other hand

$$\{\sigma_x(x), \sigma_x^2(x), \dots, \sigma_x^p(x)\} = \{x + \tau_0, x + 2\tau_0, \dots, x + p\tau_0 = x\}.$$

Since p > 2 and τ_0 has exact order p in $A(\bar{K})$, this leads to a contradiction. Thus we have

$$\operatorname{Tor}(A(\bar{L})) \cap C(\bar{L}) \subseteq A(L^t).$$

Now remember that we have shown above (see the italicized sentences) that $\operatorname{Tor}(A(L^t)) \cap C(\bar{L}) \subseteq A(L)$ if C is not hyperelliptic and that $\operatorname{Tor}(A(L^t)) \cap C(\bar{L}) \subseteq A(L')$ if C is hyperelliptic. This concludes the proof of (a) and (b).

References

- [1] Baker, M; Poonen, B., Torsion packets on curves. Compositio Math. 127 (2001), 109-116.
- BAKER, M.; RIBET, K., Galois theory and torsion points on curves. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 15 (2003), 11–32.
- [3] BOXALL, J., Autour d'un problème de Coleman. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), 1063–1066.
- [4] BOXALL, J., Sous-variétés algébriques de variétés semi-abéliennes sur un corps fini. Number theory 1992-1993. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 215, 69–80. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [5] COLEMAN, R., Ramified torsion points on curves. Duke Math. J. 54 (1987), 615-640.
- [6] Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. I. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 288. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 I); Dirigé par A. Grothendieck. Avec la collaboration de M. Raynaud et D. S. Rim. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972
- [7] HARTSHORNE, R., Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [8] RÖSSLER, D., A note on the Manin-Mumford conjecture. Number fields and function fields two parallel worlds. Progr. Math. 239, 311–318. Birkhäuser, 2005.

- [9] SERRE, J.-P., Local fields. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 67. Springer-Verlag, 1979. Duke Math. J. 106 (2001), 281–319.
- [10] TAMAGAWA, A., Ramification of torsion points on curves with ordinary semistable Jacobian varieties. Duke Math. J. 106 (2001), 281–319.

Damian RÖSSLER
Département de Mathématiques
Bâtiment 425
Faculté des Sciences d'Orsay
Université Paris-Sud
91405 Orsay Cedex, FRANCE
E-mail: damian.rossler@math.u-psud.fr
URL: http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~rossler