

# JOURNAL

de Théorie des Nombres  
de BORDEAUX

*anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*

Ben KANE

**CM liftings of supersingular elliptic curves**

Tome 21, n° 3 (2009), p. 635-663.

<[http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB\\_2009\\_\\_21\\_3\\_635\\_0](http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2009__21_3_635_0)>

© Université Bordeaux 1, 2009, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du*  
*Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques*  
<http://www.cedram.org/>

## CM liftings of supersingular elliptic curves

par BEN KANE

RÉSUMÉ. Sous GRH, nous présentons un algorithme qui, étant donné un nombre premier  $p$ , calcule l'ensemble des discriminants fondamentaux  $D < 0$ , tels que l'application de réduction, modulo un premier aux dessus de  $p$ , des courbes elliptiques avec multiplication complexe par  $\mathcal{O}_D$  vers les courbes elliptiques supersingulières en caractéristique  $p$  est surjective. Dans l'algorithme, nous déterminons d'abord une borne  $D_p$  explicite telle que  $|D| > D_p$  implique que l'application est nécessairement surjective et nous calculons ensuite explicitement les cas  $|D| < D_p$ .

ABSTRACT. Assuming GRH, we present an algorithm which inputs a prime  $p$  and outputs the set of fundamental discriminants  $D < 0$  such that the reduction map modulo a prime above  $p$  from elliptic curves with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_D$  to supersingular elliptic curves in characteristic  $p$  is surjective. In the algorithm we first determine an explicit constant  $D_p$  so that  $|D| > D_p$  implies that the map is necessarily surjective and then we compute explicitly the cases  $|D| < D_p$ .

### 1. Introduction

For  $D < 0$  a fundamental discriminant, consider the imaginary quadratic field  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_D$  and Hilbert class field  $H_K$ . From the work of Deuring [3], given a prime  $p$  which does not split in  $\mathcal{O}_D$  and an elliptic curve  $E/H_K$  with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_D$  the reduction to characteristic  $p$  gives a supersingular elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . Elkies, Ono and Yang [8] have deduced that the reduction map is surjective for  $|D|$  sufficiently large by using an equidistribution result of Duke and Schulze-Pillot [5], based upon bounds for coefficients of half-integral weight cusp forms by Iwaniec [14] and Duke [4], combined with an (ineffective) lower bound for the class number  $h(D)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_D$  due to Siegel [27]. Denote the (finite) set of such  $D$  for which the reduction map is not surjective by  $\mathcal{E}_p$  and define

---

Manuscrit reçu le 17 septembre 2007.

This research was conducted while the author was a student at the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

*Mots clefs.* Quaternion Algebra, Elliptic Curves, Maximal Orders, Half Integer Weight Modular Forms, Kohnen's Plus Space, Shimura Lifts.

*Classification math.* 11G05, 11E20, 11E45, 11Y35, 11Y70.

$\mathcal{E}'_p := \{|D| : D \in \mathcal{E}_p\}$ . We say that  $D_p \in \mathbb{N}$  is a *good bound for  $p$*  if  $\max \mathcal{E}'_p < D_p$  (suppressing  $p$  when the context is clear).

Although  $\mathcal{E}_p$  is finite, no explicit good bound is given above due to the ineffective nature of Siegel's lower bound. In this paper we present an algorithm which takes as an input a prime  $p$  and return the set  $\mathcal{E}_p$ . The algorithm terminates unconditionally, but the correctness is conditional upon the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for Dirichlet L-functions and also the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for the L-series of weight 2 primitive newforms (henceforth simply denoted GRH). The assumption of GRH allows us to use techniques developed by Ono and Soundarajan [23] to explicitly compute a good bound for  $p$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let a prime  $p$  be given. Conditional upon GRH, there is an effectively computable good bound for  $p$ .*

Explicitly computing the bound given by Theorem 1.1 for  $p \leq 113$  we obtain the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Conditional upon GRH,  $1.041 \times 10^{23}$  is a good bound for  $p \leq 113$ .*

Good bounds are obtained individually for each prime  $p \leq 113$  but we simply take the maximum value in the above theorem for brevity. For better bounds for each specific  $p \leq 113$ , the reader is referred to Table 11 in Appendix A.

After obtaining the good bound  $D_p$  from Theorem 1.1, it only remains to explicitly compute the set of  $|D| \leq D_p$  for which the mapping is not surjective. For each supersingular elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  we construct a positive definite (ternary) quadratic form  $Q_E$  such that  $Q_E$  represents  $|D|$  if and only if there exists  $E'$  with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_D$  which reduces to  $E$ . Since there are only finitely many supersingular elliptic curves we then merely need to check which  $|D| \leq D_p$  are represented by each  $Q_E$ .

One may then use the algorithm by Fincke and Pohst [9] to determine a vector of length  $|D|$ . The implementations which the author is aware of return all vectors of length  $|D|$ , of which there are asymptotically  $\Omega_p(h(D)) \gg D^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$  elements. Hence running this algorithm for each  $|D| \leq D_p$  to determine  $\mathcal{E}_p$  is  $\Omega_p(D_p^{3/2-\epsilon})$  and the calculation quickly becomes infeasible for moderately large  $D_p$ . We hence want to take advantage of the fact that we do not need all representations of  $|D|$  but rather only one. In the case where  $E$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  we are able to use a classification result of Ibukiyama [13] to develop a specialized algorithm which determines more efficiently the set of  $|D| < D_p$  which are represented (see Section 5). This algorithm has allowed us to compute the full set  $\mathcal{E}_p$  for  $p = 11, 17$ , and  $19$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assuming GRH, the following hold.*

(1) *The set  $\mathcal{E}_{11}$  is given by*

$$\mathcal{E}'_{11} = \{3, 4, 11, 67, 88, 91, 163, 187, 232, 235, 427499, 595, 627, 715, 907, 1387, 1411, 3003, 3355, 4411, 5107, 6787, 10483, 11803\}.$$

(2) *The set  $\mathcal{E}_{17}$  satisfies  $\#\mathcal{E}_{17} = 91$  and  $\max \mathcal{E}'_{17} = 89563$ .*

(3) *The set  $\mathcal{E}_{19}$  satisfies  $\#\mathcal{E}_{19} = 45$  and  $\max \mathcal{E}'_{19} = 27955$ .*

Having established such surjectivity results, one may ask whether similar results can be shown about the multiplicity of the reduction map. This question was addressed and an unconditional but ineffective solution was given by Elkies, Ono, and Yang [8].

Define the Hilbert class polynomial  $\mathcal{H}_D(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  as the unique monic polynomial whose roots are precisely the  $j$ -invariants of the elliptic curves with complex multiplication by  $\mathcal{O}_D$ . These roots are referred to as *singular moduli of discriminant  $D$* . The degree of the Hilbert class polynomial is  $h(D)$ . Define further  $S_p(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  to be the polynomial with roots precisely the  $j$ -invariants of the supersingular elliptic curves of characteristic  $p$ .

**Theorem** (Elkies-Ono-Yang [8]). *For a prime  $p$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , every sufficiently large fundamental discriminant  $D < 0$  for which  $p$  does not split in  $\mathcal{O}_D$  satisfies*

$$S_p(x)^t \mid \mathcal{H}_D(x)$$

over  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ .

Here the implied constant depends on  $p$  and  $t$ . Their result states that for sufficiently large  $D$  there are at least  $t$  nonisomorphic elliptic curves with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_D$  which reduce to each supersingular elliptic curve of characteristic  $p$ . We are again able to obtain an effective but conditional result of this nature. For a supersingular elliptic curve  $E$ , define  $w_E$  to be the number of automorphisms of  $E$  and take the canonical measure

$$\mu(E) := \frac{1/w_E}{\sum_{E'} 1/w_{E'}},$$

where the sum is taken over all supersingular elliptic curves of characteristic  $p$ . We denote the minimal value of this measure by  $\mu_p$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assume GRH. For a prime  $p$  and  $0 < c < 1$  there is a effectively computable constant  $D_{p,c} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every fundamental discriminant  $D < 0$  with  $|D| \geq D_{p,c}$  for which  $p$  is not split satisfies*

$$S_p(x)^{c\mu_p h(D)} \mid \mathcal{H}_D(x)$$

over  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ .

In Section 3 we see that Theorem 1.4 reduces to the same argument given to show Theorem 1.1. Since  $h(D) \rightarrow \infty$  effectively as  $D \rightarrow -\infty$  (Oesterlé [20] unconditionally showed the growth is  $\Omega(\log(|D|)^{1-\epsilon})$ , but Siegel [27] obtained  $\Omega(|D|^{1/2-\epsilon})$  conditional on GRH), we also get an effective but conditional version of Elkies, Ono, and Yang's result by choosing for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  an integer  $D_{p,t} \geq D_{p,c}$  large enough so that  $c\mu_p h(D) > t$  for every  $|D| \geq D_{p,t}$ .

Elkies, Ono and Yang are particularly interested in the case  $t = 2$  because they obtain a congruence for the Hilbert class polynomial whenever  $S_p(x)^2 \mid \mathcal{H}_D(x)$ . We briefly expound upon this connection. For a function  $f$  with Fourier expansion  $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n)q^n$ , the action of the operator  $U(p)$  is given by  $f|U(p)(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(pn)q^n$ . Elkies, Ono and Yang [8, Theorem 2.3 (1)] showed that if  $S_p(x)^2 \mid f$  then there exist a polynomial  $P_{f,p}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that

$$f(j(z))|U(p) \equiv P_{f,p}(j(z)) \pmod{p}.$$

They hence ineffectively show that such a congruence always exists for  $f = \mathcal{H}_D$  whenever  $D$  is sufficiently large.

Our results will hence give as an immediate corollary an effective but conditional bound beyond which this existence must occur. Effectively computing the bound for  $t = 2$  and noting that Watkins [33] has shown explicitly that  $h(D) > 100$  for every  $|D| > 2383747$ , we can choose  $c = 1/20$  for  $p = 11$  and  $c = 3/50$  for  $p = 19$  to effectively show that  $S_p(x)^2 \mid \mathcal{H}_D(x)$  for  $|D| > 1.370 \times 10^{10}$  and  $|D| > 2.675 \times 10^{13}$ , respectively. Combining the explicit bound given above with Theorem 2.3 (1) of Elkies, Ono, and Yang [8] we obtain the following corollary after a calculation to determine the explicit exceptions for  $p = 19$ .

**Corollary 1.5.** *Assume GRH. If  $D < 0$  is a fundamental discriminant satisfying  $\left(\frac{D}{19}\right) \neq 1$  and  $|D| > 184699$  then there exists a polynomial  $P_{D,p}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that*

$$\mathcal{H}_D(j(z))|U(19) \equiv P_{D,p}(j(z)) \pmod{19}.$$

The paper begins by reviewing the connection between theta series and  $\mathcal{E}_p$  in Section 2. In Section 3, we review how the bound for coefficients of theta series is obtained. Given the connection from Section 2, this gives a good bound for  $p$ , dependent on numerically calculating certain constants. In Section 4, we fix a basis and decompose a certain space of modular forms in order to calculate some of the constants obtained from Section 3. Furthermore, we give explicit algorithms for calculating the remaining constants. In Section 5, we use a trick based on the Ibukiyama's classification [13] of the set of supersingular elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , in order to calculate the set of  $|D| < D_p$  which are generated by  $Q_E$ . Finally,

in Appendix A we give the data obtained by explicitly implementing the algorithms from Sections 4 and 5 for  $p \leq 113$ .

*Acknowledgements.* The author would like to thank T.H. Yang for his help and guidance and would also like to thank K. Bringmann, K. Ono, J. Rouse, and M. M\"uger for useful comments. Finally, the author would like to thank the anonymous referee for an extremely detailed report which aided the exposition greatly.

**2. CM liftings of supersingular elliptic curves and theta series**

For a supersingular elliptic curve  $E$  we say that  $D_E$  is a *good bound* for  $E$  if  $E$  is in the image of the reduction map for every  $|D| > D_E$ . Hence we determine a good bound  $D_p$  for  $p$  piecewise by determining a good bound  $D_E$  for each supersingular elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  and then taking  $D_p := \max_E D_E$ , relying on the fact that there are only finitely many supersingular elliptic curves (up to isomorphism). This also aids in computing the elements  $D \in \mathcal{E}_p$  with  $|D| < D_p$ , since we only need to check all  $|D| < D_E$  for each curve, and not up to the larger bound  $D_p$ . The theory involved in determining  $D_E$  goes through quaternion algebras, quadratic forms, theta series, and modular forms. For background information on elliptic curves a good reference is Silverman’s book [28]. A good reference for quaternion algebras is Vigernas’s book [32], while Ono’s book [22] contains a good introduction to modular forms. Good sources of information about quadratic forms can be found in Jones’ book [15] and O’Meara’s book [21].

Let  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  be a supersingular elliptic curve. An elliptic curve  $\tilde{E}/H_K$  with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_D$  is a *CM (by  $\mathcal{O}_D$ ) lift* of  $E$  if the reduction of  $\tilde{E}$  modulo the unique prime above  $p$  is isomorphic to  $E$ . We now review the connection between CM liftings and theta series. Let  $R_E := \text{End}(E)$  be a maximal order of the quaternion algebra  $B_p/\mathbb{Q}$  ramified precisely at  $p$  and infinity. For  $p$  inert in  $\mathcal{O}_D$  (resp.  $p$  ramified in  $\mathcal{O}_D$ ) there is a one-to-one (resp. two-to-one) correspondence between (non-isomorphic) lifts of  $E$  and embeddings of  $\mathcal{O}_D$  in  $R_E$ . Gross and Zagier [12, Prop. 2.7] cover the case of  $p$  inert and Elkies [7, p. 168] extends this to the case where  $p$  is ramified. Let  $L_E := \{x \in \mathbb{Z} + 2R_E \mid \text{tr}(x) = 0\}$  be the *Gross lattice* with the associated positive definite ternary quadratic form  $Q_E$  given by the reduced norm on  $L_E$ .

Define the *theta series* for  $Q_E$  by

$$\theta_E(z) := \sum_{x \in L_E} q^{Q_E(x)} = \sum_{\substack{d < 0 \\ d \equiv 0,1 \pmod{4}}} a_E(d)q^{|d|},$$

where  $z$  is in the upper half plane and  $q := e^{2\pi iz}$ . Noting that  $w_E = \#R_E^*$ , Gross [11, Prop. 12.9, p. 172] has shown that  $a_E(D)$  equals  $\frac{w_E}{\#\mathcal{O}_D^*}$  times the number of embeddings of  $\mathcal{O}_D$  into  $R_E$ . It is hence sufficient to proceed

by bounding the coefficients of the theta series from below, and showing that they must be positive whenever  $|D| > D_E$ . However, Gross showed that  $\theta_E$  is a weight  $3/2$  modular form in Kohnen’s plus space (see the work of Kohnen [18] or Ono’s book [22, p. 54] for a definition) of level  $4p$  and explicit bounds (conditional on GRH) for coefficients of theta series in this space were established by the author [16]. The methods used to obtain these bounds are reviewed in Section 3.

### 3. Background

This section is a brief summary of the theory used to bound the coefficients of  $\theta_E$ . The theta series is first decomposed into a linear combination of an Eisenstein series and a basis of weight  $3/2$  Hecke eigenforms. Using an isomorphism to weight 2 cusp forms, the coefficients of the Hecke eigenforms are then compared with the central values of quadratic twists of  $L$ -series of weight 2 newforms. The central value of these  $L$ -series are bounded in the authors’s generalization [16] of Ono and Soundararajan’s paper [23] in terms of constants which we introduce here. Section 4 is devoted to explicitly determining the basis of weight  $3/2$  Hecke eigenforms, the isomorphism to weight 2 newforms, and explicitly bounding these constants.

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote the space of (holomorphic) modular forms of weight  $k$  and level  $N$  by  $M_k(N)$ , the cuspidal subspace by  $S_k(N)$ , and the space of newforms by  $S_k^{\text{new}}(N)$ . Moreover, for  $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  we denote Kohnen’s plus space of level  $4N$  by  $M_k^+(4N)$  and the cuspidal subspace by  $S_k^+(4N)$ . For a modular form  $g$ , we denote the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient by  $a_g(n)$ .

For  $p^e$  the highest power of  $p$  dividing the square part of  $|d|$ , we denote  $d_p := \frac{d}{p^{2e}}$ . Define the Eisenstein series

$$H_\theta(z) := \frac{12}{p-1} + \sum_{\substack{d < 0, \\ d \equiv 0,1 \pmod{4}}} \frac{12}{p-1} \cdot \frac{1 - \left(\frac{|d_p|}{p}\right)}{2} H(d_p) q^{|d|},$$

where  $H(d)$  is the Hurwitz class number. In particular, for  $D < 0$  a fundamental discriminant,  $H(D)$  equals the class number of the imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  divided by the number of units modulo  $\pm 1$ . Gross [11] has shown that  $\theta_E - H_\theta \in S_{3/2}^+(4p)$ .

Let  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  be a basis of Hecke eigenforms (a choice is explicitly made in Section 4) for  $S_{3/2}^+(4p)$ . We then decompose our theta series as

$$\theta = H_\theta + \sum_{i=1}^{t_p-1} b_i g_i,$$

for some  $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Here  $t_p$  is the number of distinct conjugacy classes of maximal orders of  $B_p$ , called the *type number*.

For a fundamental discriminant  $D < 0$  with corresponding Kronecker character  $\chi_D$ , define the  $|D|$ -th Shimura correspondence  $S_{|D|}$  by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{g|S_{|D|}}(n)}{n^s} := L(\chi_D, s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_g(|D|n^2)}{n^s}$$

for every  $g \in S_{3/2}^+(4p)$ . Here and throughout we denote the image of  $g$  under an operator  $T$  by  $g|T$ . Shimura [26] showed that  $g|S_{|D|} \in S_2(4p)$  and that  $S_{|D|}$  commutes with every Hecke operator, namely

$$f|_{3/2} T_{\ell^2} |S_{|D|} = (f|S_t)|_2 T_{\ell}$$

for every  $f \in S_{3/2}^+(4p)$  and every prime  $\ell \neq p$ . Let  $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be minimal with  $4 \mid t_i$  and  $-t_i$  a fundamental discriminant satisfying  $a_{g_i}(t_i) \neq 0$ . If  $r_j$  are chosen so that  $\sum_{j=1}^{t_p-1} r_j a_{g_i}(t_j) \neq 0$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq t_p - 1$ , then Kohlen [18] has shown that the linear combination of Shimura correspondences

$$(3.1) \quad S := \sum_{i=1}^{t_p-1} r_i S_{t_i},$$

called a *Shimura lift*, forms an isomorphism from  $S_{3/2}^+(4p)$  to  $S_2^{\text{new}}(p) = S_2(p)$  which sends Hecke eigenforms to Hecke eigenforms.

Denote the Shimura lift of  $g_i$  by  $G_i := g_i|S$ . For a fundamental discriminant  $D < 0$  and  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ , we denote the  $L$ -series of  $\chi := \chi_D$  by

$$L(s) := L(\chi, s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

and for  $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{3}{2}$  we denote the  $L$ -series of  $G_i$  twisted by  $\chi$  as

$$(3.2) \quad L_i(s) := L(G_i, D, s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n) a_{G_i}(n)}{n^s}.$$

The conductor of  $L_i(s)$  is  $q := p|D|^2$ . Denote by  $m_i$  the smallest integer such that  $a_{g_i}(m_i) \neq 0$  with  $(p, m_i) = 1$ .

Using the fact that  $G_i$  is a Hecke eigenform, the author [16] showed that any fundamental discriminant  $D < 0$  satisfying  $a_{\theta}(|D|) = 0$  must also satisfy

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{12}{(p-1)\pi 2^{\frac{v_p(|D|)}{2}}} \cdot |D|^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{t_p-1} |b_i|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{t_p-1} c_i \frac{L_i(1)}{L(1)^2}},$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad c_i := \frac{|a_{g_i}(m_i)|^2}{L(G_i, m_i, 1) m_i^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

is a constant which comes from taking the ratio of the  $|D|$ -th coefficient and the  $m_i$ -th coefficient in the Kohnen-Zagier formula [19]. Define

$$(3.5) \quad F(s) := F_i(s) := \left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{2\pi}\right)^{s-1} \frac{L_i(s)\Gamma(s)}{L(s)L(2-s)}$$

and choose  $1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$ . To obtain a contradiction from equation (3.3) for  $|D|$  sufficiently large, it remains to bound  $F(1) = \frac{L_i(1)}{L(1)^2}$  from above.

Since equation (3.3) is obtained by assuming  $a_\theta(|D|) \leq 0$  and rearranging, we can similarly assume  $a_\theta(|D|) \leq ca_{H_\theta}(|D|)$  for a constant  $0 < c < 1$  and obtain equation (3.3) with the left hand side multiplied by  $1 - c$ . Hence Theorem 1.4 is also reduced to bounding  $F(1)$  and the details are left to the reader.

We describe briefly how Ono and Soundararajan [23] bounded  $F(1)$ . By the functional equation of  $F(s)$  and the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle we have

$$F(1) \leq \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(\sigma + it),$$

so it suffices to bound  $F(\sigma + it)$  from above for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For a real number  $\mathbf{X} > 0$  and an  $L$ -series  $\tilde{L}(s)$  with  $c > 0$  real chosen such that  $s + c$  is in the region of absolute convergence, consider the integral

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\tilde{L}'}{\tilde{L}}(s+w)\Gamma(w)\mathbf{X}^w dw.$$

On the one hand, if  $\tilde{L}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a(n)}{n^s}$  in the region of convergence, then (3.6) can be computed as the sum

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\Lambda(n)a(n)}{n^s} e^{-n/\mathbf{X}}$$

using the fact that

$$\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma(w) \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}}{n}\right)^w dw = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \left(\frac{n}{\mathbf{X}}\right)^m = e^{-n/\mathbf{X}},$$

which follows by shifting the integral  $\text{Re}(w) \rightarrow -\infty$  and counting the residues at negative integers. On the other hand, we can shift the original integral to the left and count the contribution from residues at each of the poles. The contribution from  $w = 0$  gives  $\frac{\tilde{L}'}{\tilde{L}}(s)$ . The assumption of GRH allows us to determine the real part of all of the poles coming from  $\frac{\tilde{L}'}{\tilde{L}}$ , since these correspond to zeros of  $\tilde{L}(s)$ . Rearranging the resulting equation gives a formula for  $\frac{\tilde{L}'}{\tilde{L}}(s)$  which we integrate to get a formula for  $\log|\tilde{L}(s)|$ , as shown by Ono and Soundararajan [23, Lemmas 1-2].

Using the above argument with  $\tilde{L}(s) = L(s)$ , we define for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$  the integral of equation (3.7) by

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{G}(s, \mathbf{X}) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s \log(n)} e^{-n/\mathbf{X}}.$$

Similarly, with  $\lambda_i$  defined so that  $\frac{L'_i}{L_i}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$  when  $\text{Re}(s) > 3/2$ , define

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbf{F}_1(s, \mathbf{X}) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} e^{-n/\mathbf{X}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{F}(w, \mathbf{X}) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i(n)\chi(n)}{n^w \log(n)} e^{-n/\mathbf{X}} = \int \mathbf{F}_1(w, \mathbf{X}) dw.$$

For  $s = \sigma + it$ ,  $s_0 = 2 - \sigma + it$  and  $s_2 = \sigma_2 + it$  for any choice  $\sigma < \sigma_2 < 2$ , the following bound [16] was obtained for  $F(s)$ . For certain explicit constants  $c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, 1}$ ,  $c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, t, 1}$ ,  $c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, m, 1}$ ,  $c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, 2}$ ,  $c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, t, 2}$ , and  $c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, q, 2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \log |F(s)| \leq & \frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X} + 1} \mathbf{F}(s, \mathbf{X}) - \frac{\mathbf{X}((2 + \gamma(\mathbf{X}))\alpha(\mathbf{X}) - \beta(\mathbf{X}))}{(\mathbf{X} + 1)(1 + \gamma(\mathbf{X}))} \mathbf{F}_1(s_2, \mathbf{X}) \\ & - \frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X} - 1 - \delta(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{X}} (\text{Re}(\mathbf{G}(s_0, \mathbf{X})) - \text{Re}(\mathbf{G}(s, \mathbf{X}))) + c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, 2} + c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, t, 2} \\ & + c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, q, 2} - (c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, 1} + c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, t, 1} + c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, m, 1}) + \log |\Gamma(s)| - 2 \log |L(s)|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $\beta(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $\gamma(\mathbf{X})$  and  $\delta(\mathbf{X})$  are defined in the author’s previous paper [16] in equations (7.2), (7.1), the line directly preceding (7.1), and the first equation in Section 6, respectively. Moreover, in Section 8 of that paper, explicit bounds in terms of  $\Gamma$ -factors are given for  $\alpha(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $\beta(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $\gamma(\mathbf{X})$ , and  $\delta(\mathbf{X})$ .

The decay in  $\Gamma(s)$  cancels polynomial growth in  $t$  from  $c_{\theta, \sigma, \mathbf{X}, t, i}$ . Since  $\sigma > 1$ ,  $L(s)$  converges absolutely, so we can explicitly calculate a bound for  $2 \log |L(s)|$  as well. The other terms involving  $\mathbf{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{G}$ , and  $\mathbf{F}_1$  are also dealt with [16] (Here, we use cancellation in the sums for small  $n$  between terms from  $2 \log |L(s)|$ , and then bound the remaining terms separately). We give further details in Section 4 of how to compute better bounds for these constants.

Therefore, the main goal of this paper is to decompose Kohlen’s plus space, make a choice of  $g_i$ , determine a Shimura lift, and then calculate  $b_i$  and  $c_i$ . This is described in Section 4. Moreover, in feasible cases we must determine an algorithm to determine whether  $|D|$  is represented by a fixed form  $Q$ . A specialized algorithm is given in Section 5 to determine this whenever the corresponding elliptic curve is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

#### 4. Algorithm to compute $D_E$ and $D_p$

This section is broken into four main subsections. We first determine the set of theta series  $\theta_E$  for every supersingular elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . We then determine a basis of Hecke eigenforms  $\{g_i : i \in \{1, \dots, t_p - 1\}\}$  for the subspace of  $S_{3/2}^+(4p)$  generated by these theta series and express the cuspidal part of the theta series as a linear combination of these eigenforms. The third step is to compute an explicit Shimura lift  $S$  from  $S_{3/2}^+(4p)$  to  $S_2(p)$  and finally we compute the constants corresponding to  $G_i = g_i|S$ .

**4.1. Calculating the theta series.** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $C > 0$  be an integer. We describe here how to obtain the quadratic forms  $Q_E$  and the first  $C$  coefficients of  $\theta_E$  for every supersingular elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . If  $C$  is chosen too small for the remaining calculations, then we simply double  $C$  and rerun the calculations.

We begin by calculating the maximal orders  $R_E$  using Kohel's implementation [17], based on an algorithm of Pizer [24], which is built into MAGMA. These are obtained by first using a function to calculate a single maximal order  $R$ , then calculating all left ideal classes  $I_i$  of  $R$ , and finally calculating the right order  $R_i$  of  $I_i$ , which gives a full set of maximal orders. It is then straightforward to compute  $L_E$  and the method of Fincke and Pohst [9] may be used to compute the coefficients  $a_E(d)$  for every  $d < C$ .

**4.2. Decomposition of  $\theta_E$ .** We now compute a basis of (cuspidal) Hecke eigenforms  $g_1, \dots, g_{t_p-1}$  of the space spanned by all of our theta series and then decompose the cuspidal part  $g_E := \theta_E - H_\theta$  in terms of these Hecke eigenforms. This gives us the coefficients  $b_i$  from section 3.

A computational solution to the decomposition problem for integral weight forms follows from the work of Stein [29] on modular symbols. We recall that Kohlen [18] has shown that the Hecke algebra on  $S_{3/2}^+(4p)$  is isomorphic to the Hecke algebra on  $S_2^{\text{new}}(p) = S_2(p)$ . Furthermore, Sturm has shown for  $S_2(p)$  that a finite set of Hecke operators generates the Hecke algebra and has given an effectively computable bound  $N$  so that  $\{T_n | n \leq N\}$  generates the Hecke algebra [31]. The Hecke eigenspaces of distinct normalized Hecke eigenforms on  $S_2(p)$  are at most one dimensional (that is,  $S_2(p)$  satisfies *(strong) multiplicity one*, cf. Ono's book [22, p. 29]). Therefore  $S_{3/2}^+(4p)$  also satisfies multiplicity one.

We first note that the space generated by our theta series is invariant under the action of the Hecke algebra, so that the space is generated by the set of  $g_E$  (which have coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Since  $S_{3/2}^+(4p)$  has multiplicity one, we can diagonalize the Hecke operators  $T := T_{n^2}$  simultaneously. We only need to diagonalize the operators for  $n \leq N$ , where  $N$  is the Sturm bound on  $S_2(p)$  as above. Checking computationally, it appears as though

a single  $g_E =: g$  always generates the entire space under the action of the Hecke algebra (we have checked for all  $p < 1000$ ) and we demonstrate how to obtain a basis of Hecke Eigenforms in this case. This assumption is not really restrictive because one merely needs to follow the same argument for the forms  $g_{E_1}, g_{E_2}, \dots$  until the dimension equals  $t_p - 1$ . We may also choose a particular  $T$  such that  $g|T^m$  generates the entire subspace (see Stein’s book [30, p. 167]).

Given  $g$  and  $T$  as above we calculate  $g|T^m$  for every  $0 \leq m < t_p$ . Then using linear algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  we obtain  $g|T^{t_p-1}$  as a linear combination of  $g|T^m$  with  $0 \leq m < t_p - 1$ , giving a matrix  $M_T$ , with rational coefficients, which determines the action of  $T$ . Let  $F$  be the Galois splitting field over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of the characteristic polynomial of  $M_T$  with absolute polynomial  $P(x)$ . We note that all elements of  $F$  may be represented as vectors over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in terms of a generator of  $F$ , or, equivalently, as elements of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(P)$ , allowing for linear algebra over  $F$ . Since  $g|T^m$  has coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$  we can diagonalize  $M_T$  to obtain Hecke eigenforms with coefficients in  $F$ . Because  $S_{3/2}^+(4p)$  has multiplicity one and  $T$  generates the Hecke algebra, the eigenspace of a given eigenvalue has dimension one. Hence we may calculate with linear algebra over  $F$  the unique eigenform  $g_i$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ .

We can now decompose each  $g_E$  as a linear combination of the  $g_i$  by linear algebra over  $F$ . This gives the desired coefficients  $b_i \in F$  in the decomposition

$$g_E = \sum_{i=1}^{t_p-1} b_i g_i.$$

**4.3. Finding a Shimura lift.** Having established the Hecke eigenforms  $g_i$ , we now choose  $t_i$  and  $r_i$  as in equation (3.1) to establish a Shimura lift. We recursively choose  $t_\ell$  and  $r_\ell$  such that

$$S(\ell) := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} r_j S_{t_j}$$

satisfies  $g_i|S(\ell) = 0$  if and only if  $a_{g_i}(t_j) = 0$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ .

At each step we choose  $i$  smallest such that  $g_i|S(\ell - 1) = 0$ . We then choose  $t_\ell$  to be the smallest positive integer with  $4 \mid t_\ell$ ,  $-t_\ell$  a fundamental discriminant, and  $a_{g_i}(t_\ell) \neq 0$ , noting existence has been shown by Kohnen [18]. It remains to choose  $r_\ell$  such that for every  $k$  we have  $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} r_j a_{g_k}(t_j) = 0$  if and only if  $a_{g_k}(t_j) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ . Since  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  is a number field we may consider  $F$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with basis  $\alpha^i$ .

Let  $k$  be given such that  $a_{g_k}(t_j) \neq 0$  for some  $j$ . If  $a_{g_k}(t_\ell) = 0$ , then we know by inductive hypothesis that  $g_k|S(\ell - 1) + r_\ell S_{t_\ell} \neq 0$  for any  $r_\ell$ . If  $a_{g_k}(t_\ell) \neq 0$ , then writing it in terms of the basis, we have  $a_{g_k}(t_\ell) =$

$\sum_m d_m \alpha^m$  with some  $d_{m,k} \neq 0$ . Computing

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} r_j a_{g_k}(t_j)$$

and rewriting in terms of the basis, we write the coefficient  $e_{m,k} \in \mathbb{Q}$  of  $\alpha^m$ . We then take

$$r_{\ell,k} := \left| \frac{e_{m,k}}{d_{m,k}} \right| + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Taking  $r_\ell := \max_k r_{\ell,k}$ , we have

$$|r_\ell d_{m,k}| > |e_{m,k}|$$

and hence  $r_\ell d_{m,k} + e_{m,k} \neq 0$ . It follows that  $g_k|S(\ell) \neq 0$  because the coefficient of  $\alpha^m$  in the first Fourier coefficient is nonzero. Since  $k$  was arbitrary, we have  $g_i|S(\ell) = 0$  if and only if  $a_{g_i}(t_j) = 0$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ , as desired. We then terminate if  $g_i|S(\ell) \neq 0$  for every  $i$ , terminating after at most  $t_p - 1$  recursions, and otherwise continue the recursion.

**4.3.1. Calculating  $c_i$ .** Recall first that

$$c_i = \frac{|a_{g_i}(m_i)|^2}{L(G_i, m_i, 1)m_i^{1/2}}$$

for  $m_i$  a fixed integer such that  $a_{g_i}(m_i) \neq 0$  and  $m_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $G_i = g_i|S$ . We may simply choose  $m_i$  to be the smallest such integer. In the bounds that we obtain it suffices to bound  $|c_i|$  from above.

We have already shown how to calculate  $a_{g_i}(m_i)$ , so it remains to calculate  $L(G_i, m_i, 1)$ . We use the following formula of Cremona [1],

$$L(G_i, m_i, 1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2a_L(n)\chi(n)e^{-2\pi \frac{n}{m_i\sqrt{p}}}.$$

Calculating the partial sum up to a fixed bound  $N$  and noting by Deligne’s optimal bound [2] that  $|a_L(n)| \leq \sigma_0(n)n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we may bound the error easily by pulling the absolute value inside the sum for  $n > N$ .

**4.4. Calculating the other constants.** These constants are actually fairly easy to calculate once we show clearly where they come from, given the theoretical results stated in the author’s previous paper [16]. The methods involved and notation used are similar to those used by Ono and Soundararajan [23].

Most of the constants obtained are explicit in terms of  $\Gamma$  and  $\zeta$  factors along the real line, but we need to do some work to calculate the terms

involving  $F$ ,  $F_1$ , and  $G$  (coming from equation (3.7)). Define  $v(n, \mathbf{X})$  by

$$v(n, \mathbf{X}) := c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 1, F} \frac{\lambda_i(n)e^{-n/\mathbf{X}}}{n^\sigma} + c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 1, F_1} \frac{\log(n)\lambda_i(n)e^{-n/\mathbf{X}}}{n^{\sigma_2}} - c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 2, G} \left( \frac{\Lambda(n)e^{-n/\mathbf{X}}}{n^{\sigma_0}} - \frac{\Lambda(n)e^{-n/\mathbf{X}}}{n^\sigma} \right),$$

where  $\sigma = \operatorname{Re}(s)$ ,  $\sigma_0 = \operatorname{Re}(2 - s)$ , and  $\sigma_2 = \operatorname{Re}(s_2)$ , so that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{it} \log(n)} v(n, \mathbf{X}) \right) = c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 1, F} \operatorname{Re}(F(s, \mathbf{X})) + c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 1, F_1} \operatorname{Re}(F_1(s_2, \mathbf{X})) - c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 2, G} \operatorname{Re}(G(s_0, \mathbf{X}) - G(s, \mathbf{X})).$$

We next bound the following to get a constant independent of the variables involved. From above, we need to bound

$$(4.1) \quad -2 \log |L(s)| + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{N_0} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\chi(n)\Lambda(n)}{n^s \log(n)} \right).$$

Noting that  $s$  is in the region of absolute convergence,

$$\log(|L(s)|) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\chi(n)\Lambda(n)}{n^s} \log(n) \right).$$

Then equation (4.1) becomes

$$-2 \log(|L(s)|) + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{N_0} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\chi(n)\Lambda(n)}{n^s \log(n)} \right) = -2 \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\chi(n)\Lambda(n)}{n^s \log(n)} \right).$$

Therefore, taking the absolute value inside the sum gives

$$2 \left| \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)\Lambda(n)}{n^s \log(n)} \right| \leq 2 \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma \log(n)} = 2 \log(|\zeta(\sigma)|) - \sum_{n=2}^{N_0+1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma \log(n)},$$

and this final finite sum and  $\zeta(\sigma)$  are easily computed.

We also need a bound for the constants depending on  $t$ , the imaginary part of  $s$ . We use the functional equation of the  $\Gamma$  factor to remove the growth from these terms. Since the growth is logarithmic in  $t$  we easily obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \log |\Gamma(s)| + c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 1, t} - c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 2, t} \leq \log |\Gamma(\sigma + r)|$$

for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A computer is then used to bound

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{N_0} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{it} \log(n)} \left( v(n, \mathbf{X}) - \frac{2\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma} \right) \right).$$

Notice that the term we are subtracting is exactly the term being added in equation (4.1). The only nonzero terms are  $p$  powers, so the maximum is taken by calculating  $\frac{1}{\log(n)} \left( v(n, \mathbf{X}) - \frac{2\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma} \right)$  for each  $n = p^k$  and then noting that either  $\chi(p^k) = \chi(p)^k$ , which is either one or alternates. Finding the  $t$  which maximizes this sum for each  $p$ , independent of whether the sum alternates or not, gives the bound, since we then add up the absolute value of each of these terms together.

It remains to bound the terms coming from equation (3.7) with  $n$  large. We hence look at

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{it} \log(n)} (v(n, \mathbf{X})) \right).$$

Notice first, since  $\sigma_2 > \sigma$ , that for  $n$  sufficiently (namely we choose  $N_0$  such that this occurs for  $n > N_0$ ) the term from the  $\mathbf{F}_1$  part of  $v(n, \mathbf{X})$  satisfies the bound

$$c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 1, \mathbf{F}_1} \frac{\log(n)}{n^{\sigma_2}} \leq \frac{c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 1, \mathbf{F}}}{n^\sigma}.$$

Therefore, we see that

$$|v(n, \mathbf{X})| \leq e^{-n/\mathbf{X}} \left( 2c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 1, \mathbf{F}} \frac{|\lambda_i(n)|}{n^\sigma} + c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, 2, G} \Lambda(n) \left( \frac{1}{n^{\sigma_0}} - \frac{1}{n^\sigma} \right) \right).$$

Since  $\lambda_i(n) \leq 2\sqrt{n} \log(n)$ , we can further bound this by

$$c_{\theta, \mathbf{X}, v} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{\min(\sigma-1/2, \sigma_0)}} e^{-n/x}.$$

In [16], we have shown for  $\alpha = \min(\sigma - 1/2, \sigma_0)$  an explicit constant  $c_{N_0}$  such that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(\alpha, \mathbf{X}) &:= \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^\alpha \log(n)} e^{-n/x} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-N_0/\mathbf{X}}}{N_0^\alpha \log(N_0)} (c_{N_0} N_0 - \psi(N_0)) + \frac{c_{N_0} \mathbf{X}^{1-\alpha}}{\log(N_0)} \Gamma(1 - \alpha, N_0/\mathbf{X}). \end{aligned}$$

We then calculate the incomplete Gamma factor  $\Gamma(1 - \alpha, N_0/\mathbf{X})$  (cf. the paper of Gautschi [10]), giving the desired bound.

**5. Determining CM lifts for  $|D| < D_E$  when  $E$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$**

In this section, we give an algorithm to determine whether  $E/\mathbb{F}_p$  is in the image of the reduction map from elliptic curves with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_D$  for a fixed  $D$  to deal with  $|D| < D_E$ . It is based on a classification of  $R_E$  given by Ibukiyama [13] when  $E$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We will first show how to compute the integers represented by a lattice satisfying certain conditions and then we will show that  $L_E$  is one such lattice.

**5.1. Efficient computation for certain lattices.** Let  $L_1$  be a ternary lattice with associated quadratic form  $Q$  and  $L$  be the lattice  $\langle a \rangle \oplus L_2$ , where  $L_2$  is a 2-dimensional lattice and  $a$  is some constant. Assume that  $L_1$  satisfies  $L_1 \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$  and the restriction  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{R}$  on the lattice  $L$  gives the lattice  $L_1$ . We would like to compute the integers  $n \leq N$  for which there is a vector in  $L_1$  of length  $n$ , namely our goal is to compute

$$\begin{aligned} T_N &:= \{n \leq N : \exists x \in L_1, n = Q(x)\} \\ &= \{n \leq N : \exists x \in L, x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{R}, n = Q(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the elements  $(y_1, y_2)$  of  $L_2$  satisfying  $y_1 \equiv i \pmod{R}$  by  $L_{2,i}$ . Define the integers  $n \leq M$  for which there is a vector of length  $n$  in  $L_{2,i}$  by

$$S_{M,i} := \{n \leq M : \exists y \in L_{2,i}, n = Q(0, y)\}.$$

In order to compute  $T_N$ , we address first the simpler problem of computing

$$T_{N,M} := \{n \leq N : \exists m \in S_{M,i} \text{ and } x \equiv i \pmod{R}, ax^2 + m = n\}.$$

Clearly we have  $T_M \subseteq T_{M,N} \subseteq T_N$  and  $T_{N,N} = T_N$ . If the set of possible exceptions

$$X_{N,M} := \{M < n \leq N : n \notin T_{M,N}, n \text{ is locally represented}\}$$

is small, then we can compute  $T_N \setminus T_{M,N}$  rather quickly. Notice in particular that if  $X_{N,M} = \emptyset$ , then  $T_N = T_{M,N}$ . Since all sufficiently large eligible integers with bounded divisibility at the anisotropic primes are represented by  $Q$  (if they are primitively represented by the spinor genus), this implies that we will be able on average to compute  $T_N$  by choosing  $M$  much smaller. The computational gain in doing so is evidenced in the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Denote the discriminant of  $L$  by  $D_L$ . The set  $T_{N,M}$  can be computed in running time  $O(RM + N + D_L^3 + N^{\frac{1}{2}}M)$  and memory  $O(RM + D_L^2)$ . Moreover, if we denote  $X := \#X_{N,M}$ , then  $T_N$  can be computed in  $O(D_L^3 + RN + N^{\frac{1}{2}}(M + X))$  with storage  $O(RN + X + D_L^2)$ .*

*Proof.* We first trivially compute the integers locally represented by  $Q$ , taking running time  $O(D_L^3)$  and we need to store the answer for the resulting  $4D_L^2$  integers. We next use the algorithm of Fincke and Pohst [9] to precompute  $S_{M,i}$ , with a running time of  $O(M)$ . Repeating this for each  $0 \leq i < R$  gives an overall precomputation time of  $O(RM + D_L^3)$  and storage is  $O(RM + D_L^2)$  bits. If we represent these sets as a bit array, then checking for membership is clearly  $O(1)$ .

Now let  $n \leq N$  be given such that  $n$  is locally represented (checked in  $O(1)$ ). If  $n \in T_{N,M}$  then there exists an  $x \equiv i \pmod{R}$  such that  $n - ax^2 \in S_{M,i}$ , and hence  $0 \leq n - ax^2 \leq M$  or  $\sqrt{\max\left(\frac{n-M}{a}, 0\right)} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}$ .

We thus simply check membership of  $n - ax^2 \in S_{M,x \pmod R}$  for each such choice of  $x$ . For  $n \leq M$  there are  $\sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}$  choices and checking these takes  $O(M^{\frac{3}{2}}) = O(MN^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . For  $n \geq M$  there are  $\left\lfloor \frac{M}{\sqrt{an} + \sqrt{a(n-M)}} \right\rfloor$  such choices. We then bound against the integral  $\int_{x=M}^N \frac{M}{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  to obtain  $O(MN^{\frac{1}{2}})$ .

Thus, we can compute  $T_{N,M}$  (and simultaneously the complementary set  $X_{N,M}$ ) in  $O(RM + N + D_L^3 + MN^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . To compute  $T_N$  it only remains to compute  $T_N \setminus T_{M,N}$  or in other words we must determine for each  $n \in X_{N,M}$  whether  $n \in T_N$  or  $n \notin T_N$ . To do so we compute  $S_{N,i}$ , using the algorithm of Fincke and Pohst again, taking  $O(RN)$ . Then for each  $n \in X_{N,M}$  we check membership for  $n - ax^2 \in S_{N,i}$  for  $O(N^{\frac{1}{2}})$  choices of  $x$ . This is precisely the computation to determine membership of  $n$  in  $T_{N,N} = T_N$ , so we can conclude decisively whether  $n \in T_N$  or not. □

In practice we will then start with  $M = N^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and dyadically increase  $M$  until  $X \leq M$ . Moreover, the above algorithm will compute  $T_N$  quickly on average.

**Theorem 5.2.** *The set  $T_N$  may be computed on average in  $O(N^{1+\epsilon})$ , where the implied constant depends on the lattice  $L_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be an integer which is locally represented by  $Q$  on  $L_1$ . Moreover, assume that  $n$  is not a primitive spinor exception. This condition may be checked in constant time, using the classification given by Schulze-Pillot [25] or an explicit generalization given by Earnest, Hsia, and Hung [6], and furthermore there are only finitely many square classes  $tn^2$  for which this condition may not be satisfied, so we could nonetheless check these in  $O(N)$  again using the algorithm above after adding  $N^{\frac{1}{2}}$  exceptions to  $X_{N,M}$ .

Under these conditions, there are  $\Omega(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})$  vectors of length  $n$  in the lattice  $L_1$ . This follows by decomposing the theta series for  $L_1$  into an Eisenstein series and a cuspidal contribution. Since  $n$  is locally represented, the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of the Eisenstein series is a class number by the work of Jones [15, Theorem 86], which Siegel [27] has (ineffectively) shown is  $\Omega(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})$ . The fact that  $n$  is primitively represented by the spinor genus implies that the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of the cusp form is the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of a cusp form in the orthogonal complement of one dimensional theta series. Duke [4] has shown that these coefficients are bounded by  $O(n^{\frac{13}{28}+\epsilon})$ . Moreover, since the Fourier coefficients of the theta series of a binary quadratic form are  $O(1)$  and  $L_2$  is orthogonal to  $\langle a \rangle$ , there are  $\Omega(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})$  choices of  $x$  for which there exists a vector  $(x, y_1, y_2) \in L_1$  of length  $n$ .

There are  $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$  choices of  $x$  for which the vector  $(x, y_1, y_2) \in L_1$  may have length  $n$  and  $\Omega(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})$  actually have length  $n$ . Hence if we choose one  $x$  there is a probability of  $\Omega(n^{-\epsilon})$  that there is some  $(y_1, y_2) \in L_2$  for which the vector has length  $n$ , and we may treat this as a binomial distribution with  $p = n^{-\epsilon}$ . We now take  $M := N^{\frac{1}{2}+2\epsilon}$ . Running the algorithm, the expected number of choices of  $x$  for which we will find a vector of length  $n$  is

$$\Omega\left(\frac{M}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot n^{-\epsilon}\right) = \Omega(N^\epsilon).$$

We then note that  $n \in X_{N,M}$  if and only if there is no such  $x$  chosen. Chernoff’s approximation of the cumulative distribution function implies that

$$Pr(n \in X_{N,M}) \ll e^{-\frac{1}{2}N^\epsilon}.$$

Hence the expected value for  $X$  is  $E(X) \ll Ne^{-\frac{1}{2}N^\epsilon} \ll M$ , and it follows that the running time of the above algorithm is  $O(N^{1+\epsilon})$  on average.  $\square$

**5.2. Calculating which  $|D|$  are represented by the Gross lattice.**

Assume that  $E$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We next show that  $L_E$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition 5.1 with either  $R = 1$  or  $R = 2$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $E$  be a supersingular elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $L_E$  be its associated Gross lattice, and  $R_E^0$  be the lattice of trace zero coefficients. Then there exists a lattice  $L$  satisfying  $L_E \subseteq L \subset R_E^0$  such that the reduced norm on  $L$  is*

$$Q(x, y, z) = px^2 + (by^2 + fyz + cz^2).$$

*Proof.* Since  $E$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , Ibukiyama [13] has shown that  $R_E$  is of one of the following two types,

$$(5.1) \quad R(q, r) := \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1 + \beta}{2} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{\alpha(1 + \beta)}{2} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{(r + \alpha)\beta}{q}$$

or

$$(5.2) \quad R'(q, r') := \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1 + \alpha}{2} + \mathbb{Z}\beta + \mathbb{Z}\frac{(r' + \alpha)\beta}{2q},$$

where  $q$  is a prime satisfying  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  and  $\left(\frac{-q}{p}\right) = -1$ ,  $\alpha^2 = -p$ ,  $\beta^2 = -q$ ,  $\alpha\beta = -\beta\alpha$ ,  $r^2 + p \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$  and  $r'^2 + p \equiv 0 \pmod{4q}$  in the case when  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

For  $R_E = R(q, r)$  with basis  $\frac{1+\beta}{2}$ ,  $\gamma_1 := \beta$ ,  $\gamma_2 := \frac{\alpha(1+\beta)}{2}$ , and  $\gamma_3 := \frac{(r+\alpha)\beta}{q}$ ,  $R_E^0$  is generated by  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$  while  $L_E$  is generated by  $\gamma_1, 2\gamma_2, 2\gamma_3$ . We take  $L$  to be the lattice generated by  $\gamma_1, 2\gamma_2, \gamma_3$ . If an arbitrary element of  $L$

is written  $x\gamma_1 + 2y\gamma_2 + z\gamma_3$ , then the change of variables  $x' := x - ry$ ,  $y' := z + qy$  and  $z' := y$  gives the reduced norm

$$p(x')^2 + \frac{r^2 + p}{q}(y')^2 + p(z')^2 + 2rx'y',$$

as desired. Changing  $z$  to  $2z$  above implies that  $z' \equiv y' \pmod{2}$ , so that the reduced norm on  $L_E$  is precisely the quadratic form given above with  $z' \equiv y' \pmod{2}$ .

If  $R_E = R'(q, r')$ , we have a simpler task. In this case, the reduced norm on  $R_E^0$  is simply

$$px^2 + qy^2 + \frac{(r')^2 + p}{4q}z^2 + r'yz.$$

To get the elements of the Gross lattice, we simply multiply  $y$  and  $z$  by 2 to get

$$Q'(x, y, z) := px^2 + (4q)y^2 + \frac{(r')^2 + p}{q}z^2 + (4r')yz.$$

□

Given Lemma 5.3, the reduced norm on  $L_E$  is either of the form

$$Q(x', y', z') := q(x')^2 + \frac{r^2 + p}{q}(y')^2 + p(z')^2 + 2rx'y',$$

with  $z' \equiv y' \pmod{2}$ , or

$$Q'(x, y, z) := px^2 + (4q)y^2 + \frac{(r')^2 + p}{q}z^2 + (4r')yz.$$

We then compute the integers represented by the reduced norm on  $L_E$  by using the algorithm given in Proposition 5.1 with  $R = 2$  or  $R = 1$ , respectively.

### Appendix A. Data

We now use the algorithm from Section 4 to compute good bounds for  $p \leq 113$ , using  $\mathbf{X} = 455$ ,  $\sigma = 1.15$ ,  $N_0 = 1000$ , and  $\sigma_2 = 1.3256$  (These were chosen by a binary search for  $\sigma$  and a heuristically based search for  $\sigma_2$  given  $\sigma$ ). Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 give the good bounds for  $E$ . Combining the good bounds for every  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  we obtain good bounds for  $p$  in Table 11. For each maximal order  $R_E$ , we list the prime  $p$ , then the size of the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  ( $q = p$  or  $q = p^2$ ) which the corresponding elliptic curve is defined over. We then list the corresponding ternary quadratic form as  $[a, b, c, d, e, f] = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dxy + exz + fyz$ . We next list a good bound  $D_0$  for  $E$  which suffices when  $(D, p) = 1$ , and a good bound  $D_1$  which also suffices when  $p \mid D$ . We separate these cases since a better bound is obtained for  $D$  relatively prime to  $p$  and skipping  $(D, p) = 1$  is

a computational gain. We omit here the primes 3, 5, 7, and 13, since we have  $D_p = 1$  trivially.

For  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_E^N$  be the set of positive integers  $n \leq N$  with  $p^2 \nmid n$  not represented by  $Q_E$ . We omit those  $n$  with  $p^2 \mid n$  since  $p$  is an anisotropic prime and hence  $n$  is represented if and only if  $\frac{n}{p^2}$  is represented. In Tables 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 we list  $\mathcal{E}_E^N$  computed using the method described in section 5 when  $E$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and otherwise using the standard method [9]. For each elliptic curve we have chosen  $N_0$  and  $N_1$  and compute  $\mathcal{E}_E^{N_0}$  and  $\{n \in \mathcal{E}_E^{N_1} \mid n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$ . When  $\#\mathcal{E}_E^N$  is small we list the full set  $\mathcal{E}_E^N$ , while we otherwise simply list  $\#\mathcal{E}_E^N$  and  $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N)$ . Although we are only able to determine  $\mathcal{E}_p$  for  $p = 11, 17, 19$  under GRH, we are able to determine  $\mathcal{E}_E := \mathcal{E}_E^\infty$  for a number of forms, which we denote by an asterisk next to the form.

TABLE 1. Good Bounds  $D_E$  for  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ .

$p$	$\#\mathbb{F}_q$	Quadratic Form	$D_0$	$D_1$
11	$p$	[4,11,12,0,4,0]	$1.813 \times 10^8$	$3.163 \times 10^8$
11	$p$	[3,15,15,-2,2,14]	$5.142 \times 10^8$	$8.973 \times 10^9$
17	$p$	[7,11,20,-6,4,8]	$1.002 \times 10^{10}$	$1.748 \times 10^{11}$
17	$p$	[3,23,23,-2,2,22]	$8.652 \times 10^{13}$	$1.510 \times 10^{15}$
19	$p$	[7,11,23,-2,6,10]	$3.020 \times 10^9$	$5.270 \times 10^{10}$
19	$p$	[4,19,20,0,4,0]	$9.198 \times 10^{11}$	$1.606 \times 10^{13}$
23	$p$	[8,12,23,4,0,0]	$7.459 \times 10^{10}$	$3.700 \times 10^{11}$
23	$p$	[4,23,24,0,4,0]	$2.050 \times 10^{14}$	$2.522 \times 10^{15}$
23	$p$	[3,31,31,-2,2,30]	$8.297 \times 10^{14}$	$6.955 \times 10^{15}$
29	$p$	[11,12,32,8,4,12]	$6.739 \times 10^{11}$	$1.008 \times 10^{12}$
29	$p$	[8,15,31,4,8,2]	$3.836 \times 10^{13}$	$3.130 \times 10^{14}$
29	$p$	[3,39,39,-2,2,38]	$1.900 \times 10^{16}$	$1.550 \times 10^{17}$
31	$p$	[7,19,36,-6,4,16]	$3.836 \times 10^{12}$	$4.359 \times 10^{13}$
31	$p$	[8,16,31,4,0,0]	$1.245 \times 10^{13}$	$2.069 \times 10^{14}$
31	$p$	[4,31,32,0,4,0]	$8.558 \times 10^{14}$	$1.008 \times 10^{16}$
37	$p^2$	[15,20,23,-4,14,8]	$2.101 \times 10^{11}$	$3.667 \times 10^{12}$

TABLE 2. Good Bounds  $D_E$  for  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ .

$p$	$\#\mathbb{F}_q$	Quadratic Form	$D_0$	$D_1$
37	$p$	[8,19,39,4,8,2]	$6.399 \times 10^{13}$	$1.117 \times 10^{15}$
41	$p$	[11,15,47,-2,10,14]	$1.834 \times 10^{14}$	$3.201 \times 10^{15}$
41	$p$	[12,15,44,8,12,4]	$2.520 \times 10^{14}$	$7.830 \times 10^{15}$
41	$p$	[7,24,47,4,2,24]	$1.967 \times 10^{15}$	$1.447 \times 10^{16}$
41	$p$	[3,55,55,-2,2,54]	$4.375 \times 10^{17}$	$3.579 \times 10^{18}$
43	$p^2$	[15,23,24,2,8,12]	$2.565 \times 10^{12}$	$4.476 \times 10^{13}$
43	$p$	[11,16,47,4,2,16]	$4.056 \times 10^{13}$	$7.079 \times 10^{14}$
43	$p$	[4,43,44,0,4,0]	$1.364 \times 10^{16}$	$2.379 \times 10^{17}$
47	$p$	[12,16,47,4,0,0]	$1.492 \times 10^{14}$	$2.604 \times 10^{15}$
47	$p$	[7,27,55,-2,6,26]	$1.080 \times 10^{15}$	$1.527 \times 10^{16}$
47	$p$	[8,24,47,4,0,0]	$1.056 \times 10^{15}$	$1.842 \times 10^{16}$
47	$p$	[4,47,48,0,4,0]	$2.339 \times 10^{17}$	$4.082 \times 10^{18}$
47	$p$	[3,63,63,-2,2,62]	$3.702 \times 10^{17}$	$6.461 \times 10^{18}$
53	$p^2$	[20,23,32,-12,4,20]	$1.174 \times 10^{14}$	$1.428 \times 10^{15}$
53	$p$	[12,19,56,8,12,4]	$4.015 \times 10^{15}$	$6.101 \times 10^{16}$
53	$p$	[8,27,55,4,8,2]	$5.825 \times 10^{16}$	$4.883 \times 10^{17}$
53	$p$	[3,71,71,-2,2,70]	$6.918 \times 10^{18}$	$5.467 \times 10^{19}$
59	$p$	[15,16,63,4,2,16]	$5.715 \times 10^{15}$	$4.395 \times 10^{16}$
59	$p$	[12,20,59,4,0,0]	$6.442 \times 10^{15}$	$3.794 \times 10^{16}$
59	$p$	[15,19,64,-14,8,12]	$3.103 \times 10^{16}$	$3.982 \times 10^{17}$
59	$p$	[7,35,68,-6,4,32]	$1.330 \times 10^{16}$	$1.739 \times 10^{17}$
59	$p$	[4,59,60,0,4,0]	$4.413 \times 10^{18}$	$4.573 \times 10^{19}$
59	$p$	[3,79,79,-2,2,78]	$6.579 \times 10^{18}$	$8.386 \times 10^{19}$
61	$p^2$	[23,24,32,16,4,12]	$8.254 \times 10^{14}$	$6.927 \times 10^{15}$
61	$p$	[7,35,71,-2,6,34]	$5.007 \times 10^{15}$	$2.545 \times 10^{16}$
61	$p$	[8,31,63,4,8,2]	$5.892 \times 10^{16}$	$2.803 \times 10^{17}$
61	$p$	[11,23,68,-6,8,20]	$5.240 \times 10^{16}$	$3.797 \times 10^{17}$
67	$p^2$	[23,24,35,8,2,12]	$5.517 \times 10^{14}$	$9.628 \times 10^{15}$
67	$p^2$	[15,36,39,-4,14,16]	$1.105 \times 10^{15}$	$1.928 \times 10^{16}$
67	$p$	[16,19,71,12,16,6]	$1.207 \times 10^{16}$	$1.987 \times 10^{17}$
67	$p$	[4,67,68,0,4,0]	$2.623 \times 10^{18}$	$2.642 \times 10^{19}$
71	$p$	[15,20,76,8,4,20]	$7.313 \times 10^{16}$	$5.485 \times 10^{17}$
71	$p$	[12,24,71,4,0,0]	$3.235 \times 10^{16}$	$2.936 \times 10^{17}$
71	$p$	[15,19,79,-2,14,18]	$1.343 \times 10^{17}$	$5.962 \times 10^{17}$

TABLE 3. Good Bounds  $D_E$  for  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ .

$p$	$\#\mathbb{F}_q$	Quadratic Form	$D_0$	$D_1$
71	$p$	[16,20,71,12,0,0]	$1.693 \times 10^{17}$	$1.667 \times 10^{18}$
71	$p$	[8,36,71,4,0,0]	$1.450 \times 10^{17}$	$2.531 \times 10^{18}$
71	$p$	[4,71,72,0,4,0]	$2.876 \times 10^{19}$	$1.379 \times 10^{20}$
71	$p$	[3,95,95,-2,2,94]	$2.725 \times 10^{19}$	$2.191 \times 10^{20}$
73	$p^2$	[15,39,40,2,8,20]	$8.979 \times 10^{15}$	$1.147 \times 10^{17}$
73	$p^2$	[20,31,44,-12,4,28]	$6.740 \times 10^{16}$	$4.422 \times 10^{17}$
73	$p$	[7,43,84,-6,4,40]	$1.025 \times 10^{17}$	$5.334 \times 10^{17}$
73	$p$	[11,28,80,8,4,28]	$1.767 \times 10^{18}$	$1.452 \times 10^{19}$
79	$p^2$	[23,31,44,18,16,20]	$2.150 \times 10^{15}$	$2.481 \times 10^{16}$
79	$p$	[16,20,79,4,0,0]	$2.923 \times 10^{16}$	$3.458 \times 10^{17}$
79	$p$	[19,20,84,16,8,20]	$5.009 \times 10^{16}$	$8.741 \times 10^{17}$
79	$p$	[11,31,87,-10,6,26]	$1.112 \times 10^{17}$	$1.305 \times 10^{18}$
79	$p$	[8,40,79,4,0,0]	$1.169 \times 10^{17}$	$1.503 \times 10^{18}$
79	$p$	[4,79,80,0,4,0]	$6.499 \times 10^{18}$	$1.121 \times 10^{20}$
83	$p^2$	[23,31,44,-14,8,12]	$4.054 \times 10^{15}$	$6.477 \times 10^{16}$
83	$p$	[12,28,83,4,0,0]	$1.721 \times 10^{16}$	$2.591 \times 10^{17}$
83	$p$	[7,48,95,4,2,48]	$3.913 \times 10^{16}$	$6.251 \times 10^{17}$
83	$p$	[16,23,87,12,16,6]	$8.775 \times 10^{16}$	$1.328 \times 10^{18}$
83	$p$	[11,31,92,-6,8,28]	$1.574 \times 10^{16}$	$2.514 \times 10^{18}$
83	$p$	[3,111,111,-2,2,110]	$4.776 \times 10^{18}$	$7.089 \times 10^{19}$
83	$p$	[4,83,84,0,4,0]	$6.461 \times 10^{18}$	$1.033 \times 10^{20}$
89	$p^2$	[23,31,48,2,12,16]	$3.896 \times 10^{17}$	$4.145 \times 10^{18}$
89	$p$	[19,23,95,-18,10,14]	$1.236 \times 10^{19}$	$2.906 \times 10^{19}$
89	$p$	[15,27,96,-14,8,20]	$2.636 \times 10^{19}$	$5.543 \times 10^{19}$
89	$p$	[12,31,92,8,12,4]	$4.535 \times 10^{19}$	$1.108 \times 10^{20}$
89	$p$	[15,24,95,4,2,24]	$1.052 \times 10^{20}$	$1.811 \times 10^{20}$
89	$p$	[7,51,103,-2,6,50]	$2.994 \times 10^{20}$	$3.541 \times 10^{20}$
89	$p$	[3,119,119,-2,2,118]	$1.017 \times 10^{22}$	$6.887 \times 10^{22}$
97	$p^2$	[23,39,51,-22,6,14]	$1.241 \times 10^{17}$	$6.289 \times 10^{17}$
97	$p^2$	[15,52,55,-4,14,24]	$4.517 \times 10^{17}$	$2.630 \times 10^{18}$
97	$p$	[7,56,111,4,2,56]	$2.204 \times 10^{18}$	$4.357 \times 10^{18}$
97	$p^2$	[20,39,59,-4,8,38]	$5.923 \times 10^{18}$	$1.541 \times 10^{19}$
97	$p$	[19,23,104,-14,12,16]	$2.188 \times 10^{19}$	$7.815 \times 10^{19}$

TABLE 4. Good Bounds  $D_E$  for  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ .

$p$	$\#\mathbb{F}_q$	Quadratic Form	$D_0$	$D_1$
101	$p^2$	[32,39,44,-12,28,20]	$8.477 \times 10^{15}$	$3.603 \times 10^{16}$
101	$p$	[12,35,104,8,12,4]	$1.709 \times 10^{17}$	$1.223 \times 10^{18}$
101	$p$	[15,28,108,8,4,28]	$1.572 \times 10^{18}$	$3.193 \times 10^{18}$
101	$p$	[15,27,111,-2,14,26]	$5.261 \times 10^{17}$	$3.388 \times 10^{18}$
101	$p$	[8,51,103,4,8,2]	$2.948 \times 10^{18}$	$7.940 \times 10^{18}$
101	$p$	[7,59,116,-6,4,56]	$2.341 \times 10^{18}$	$1.015 \times 10^{19}$
101	$p$	[11,39,111,-10,6,34]	$4.559 \times 10^{18}$	$2.415 \times 10^{19}$
101	$p$	[3,135,135,-2,2,134]	$9.667 \times 10^{19}$	$5.296 \times 10^{20}$
103	$p^2$	[23,36,59,-4,22,16]	$1.076 \times 10^{16}$	$1.620 \times 10^{16}$
103	$p$	[16,28,103,12,0,0]	$9.459 \times 10^{15}$	$4.236 \times 10^{16}$
103	$p^2$	[15,55,56,2,8,28]	$4.016 \times 10^{16}$	$5.313 \times 10^{16}$
103	$p$	[19,23,111,-10,14,18]	$1.645 \times 10^{17}$	$5.558 \times 10^{17}$
103	$p$	[7,59,119,-2,6,58]	$1.765 \times 10^{17}$	$1.861 \times 10^{18}$
103	$p$	[8,52,103,4,0,0]	$1.032 \times 10^{18}$	$2.160 \times 10^{18}$
103	$p$	[4,103,104,0,4,0]	$2.647 \times 10^{19}$	$8.748 \times 10^{19}$
107	$p^2$	[35,39,44,-18,32,4]	$1.769 \times 10^{16}$	$9.442 \times 10^{16}$
107	$p^2$	[23,40,56,16,4,20]	$1.352 \times 10^{16}$	$2.102 \times 10^{17}$
107	$p$	[16,27,111,-4,16,2]	$7.861 \times 10^{16}$	$1.256 \times 10^{18}$
107	$p$	[12,36,107,4,0,0]	$1.061 \times 10^{17}$	$1.694 \times 10^{18}$
107	$p$	[19,23,116,-6,16,20]	$9.625 \times 10^{17}$	$5.827 \times 10^{18}$
107	$p$	[11,39,119,-2,10,38]	$1.105 \times 10^{18}$	$1.732 \times 10^{19}$
107	$p$	[4,107,108,0,4,0]	$4.853 \times 10^{19}$	$4.368 \times 10^{20}$
107	$p$	[3,143,143,-2,2,142]	$1.102 \times 10^{20}$	$1.761 \times 10^{21}$
109	$p^2$	[32,44,47,20,28,36]	$4.420 \times 10^{16}$	$7.714 \times 10^{17}$
109	$p^2$	[23,39,59,10,14,22]	$5.539 \times 10^{16}$	$9.666 \times 10^{17}$
109	$p$	[8,55,111,4,8,2]	$3.843 \times 10^{17}$	$5.604 \times 10^{18}$
109	$p^2$	[24,39,56,16,12,4]	$8.005 \times 10^{18}$	$1.397 \times 10^{20}$
109	$p$	[11,40,119,4,2,40]	$4.199 \times 10^{19}$	$7.329 \times 10^{20}$
109	$p$	[19,23,119,-2,18,22]	$1.341 \times 10^{20}$	$1.841 \times 10^{21}$
113	$p^2$	[35,39,47,-6,34,10]	$1.141 \times 10^{18}$	$1.133 \times 10^{19}$
113	$p^2$	[23,40,59,8,2,20]	$2.158 \times 10^{18}$	$2.062 \times 10^{19}$
113	$p^2$	[20,47,68,-12,4,44]	$4.539 \times 10^{18}$	$3.297 \times 10^{19}$
113	$p$	[23,24,119,20,10,24]	$3.219 \times 10^{19}$	$1.853 \times 10^{20}$
113	$p$	[19,24,119,4,2,24]	$1.649 \times 10^{19}$	$2.877 \times 10^{20}$
113	$p$	[12,39,116,8,12,4]	$1.610 \times 10^{19}$	$1.029 \times 10^{20}$
113	$p$	[3,151,151,-2,2,150]	$1.105 \times 10^{22}$	$1.041 \times 10^{23}$

TABLE 5. The set of exceptions  $\mathcal{E}_E^N$ .

$p$	Quadratic Form	$N_0/N_1$	$\mathcal{E}_E$ or ( $\#\mathcal{E}_E$ and $\max(\mathcal{E}_E)$ )
11	[4,11,12,0,4,0]*	$3 \times 10^9$	3, 67, 235, 427
11	[3,15,15,-2,2,14]*	$10^{10}$	4, 11, 88, 91, 163, 187, 232, 499, 595, 627, 715, 907, 1387, 1411, 3003, 3355, 4411, 5107,6787, 10483, 11803
17	[7,11,20,-6,4,8]*	$2 \times 10^{11}$	3, 187, 643
17	[3,23,23,-2,2,22]*	$9 \times 10^{13} / 2 \times 10^{15}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 88$ $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 89563$
19	[7,11,23,-2,6,10]*	$10^{11}$	4, 19, 163, 760, 1051
19	[4,19,20,0,4,0]*	$10^{12} / 2 \times 10^{13}$	7, 11, 24, 43, 115, 123, 139, 228, 232, 267, 403, 424, 435, 499, 520, 568, 627, 643, 691, 883, 1099, 1411, 1659, 1659, 1672, 1867, 2139, 2251, 2356, 2851, 3427, 4123, 5131, 5419, 5707, 6619, 7723, 8968, 12331, 22843, 27955
23	[8,12,23,4,0,0]*	$4 \times 10^{11}$	3,4,27, 115, 123,163,403,427, 443, 667,1467, 2787, 3523
23	[4,23,24,0,4,0]	$3 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 78$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 72427$
23	[3,31,31,-2,2,30]	$3 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 196$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 286603$
29	[11,12,32,8,4,12]*	$7 \times 10^{11} / 2 \times 10^{12}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 24$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 22243$
29	[8,15,31,4,8,2]*	$4 \times 10^{13} / 4 \times 10^{14}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 23$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 7987$
29	[3,39,39,-2,2,38]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 382$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1107307$
31	[7,19,36,-6,4,16]*	$2 \times 10^{13} / 3 \times 10^{14}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 29$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 15283$
31	[8,16,31,4,0,0]*	$4 \times 10^{12} / 5 \times 10^{13}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 36$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 17515$
31	[4,31,32,0,4,0]	$10^{11}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 166$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 174003$

TABLE 6. The set of exceptions  $\mathcal{E}_E^N$ .

$p$	Quadratic Form	$N_0/N_1$	$\mathcal{E}_E^N$ or ( $\#\mathcal{E}_E^N$ and $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N)$ )
37	[15,20,23,-4,14,8]	$10^9$	8,19,43,163,427,723,2923,3907
37	[8,19,39,4,8,2]*	$6.5 \times 10^{13} / 2 \times 10^{15}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 55$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 24952$
41	[11,15,47,-2,10,14]	$10^{10}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 65$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 48547$
41	[12,15,44,8,12,4]	$10^{10}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 60$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 82123$
41	[7,24,47,4,2,24]	$3 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 82$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 83107$
41	[3,55,55,-2,2,54]	$10^{10}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 896$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 5017867$
43	[15,23,24,2,8,12]	$3.6 \times 10^{10}$	4, 11, 16, 52, 67, 187, 379, 403, 568, 883, 1012, 2347, 2451
43	[11,16,47,4,2,16]*	$4.5 \times 10^{13} / 8 \times 10^{14}$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 81$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 73315$
43	[4,43,44,0,4,0]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 439$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1079467$
47	[12,16,47,4,0,0]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 106$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 272083$
47	[7,27,55,-2,6,26]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 112$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 78772$
47	[8,24,47,4,0,0]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 108$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 85963$
47	[4,47,48,0,4,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 556$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 5345827$
47	[3,63,63,-2,2,62]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 1165$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 4812283$
53	[20,23,32,-12,4,20]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 30$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 33147$
53	[12,19,56,8,12,4]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 138$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 178027$
53	[8,27,55,4,8,2]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 152$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 137323$
53	[3,71,71,-2,2,70]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 1604$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 6474427$
59	[15,16,63,4,2,16]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 158$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 304027$
59	[12,20,59,4,0,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 193$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 316747$
59	[15,19,64,-14,8,12]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 174$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 318091$
59	[7,35,68,-6,4,32]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 228$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 132883$
59	[4,59,60,0,4,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 920$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 3136219$
59	[3,79,79,-2,2,78]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 2072$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 8447443$

TABLE 7. The set of exceptions  $\mathcal{E}_E^N$ .

$p$	Quadratic Form	$N_0/N_1$	$\mathcal{E}_E^N$ or $(\#\mathcal{E}_E^N$ and $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N))$
61	[23,24,32,16,4,12]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 43, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 11923$
61	[7,35,71,-2,6,34]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 271, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1096867$
61	[8,31,63,4,8,2]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 233, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 363987$
61	[11,23,68,-6,8,20]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 201, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 190747$
67	[23,24,35,8,2,12]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 59, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 126043$
67	[15,36,39,-4,14,16]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 57, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 20707$
67	[16,19,71,12,16,6]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 264, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 421579$
67	[4,67,68,0,4,0]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 1271, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 3846403$
71	[15,20,76,8,4,20]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 275, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 321883$
71	[12,24,71,4,0,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 307, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 635947$
71	[15,19,79,-2,14,18]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 273, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 267883$
71	[16,20,71,12,0,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 310, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1540771$
71	[8,36,71,4,0,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 346, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1053427$
71	[4,71,72,0,4,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 1450, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 6463627$
71	[3,95,95,-2,2,94]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 3170, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 15135283$
73	[15,39,40,2,8,20]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 81, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 53188$
73	[20,31,44,-12,4,28]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 72, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 111763$
73	[7,43,84,-6,4,40]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 420, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 364708$
73	[11,28,80,8,4,28]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 336, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 723795$
79	[23,31,44,18,16,20]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 88, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 50955$
79	[16,20,79,4,0,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 383, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1419867$
79	[19,20,84,16,8,20]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 391, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1210675$
79	[11,31,87,-10,6,26]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 409, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 12778803$
79	[8,40,79,4,0,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 495, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1116507$
79	[4,79,80,0,4,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 1886, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 25575460$

TABLE 8. The set of exceptions  $\mathcal{E}_E^N$ .

$p$	Quadratic Form	$N_0/N_1$	$\mathcal{E}_E^N$ or ( $\#\mathcal{E}_E^N$ and $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N)$ )
83	[23,31,44,-14,8,12]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 97$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 36763$
83	[12,28,83,4,0,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 432$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 635347$
83	[7,48,95,4,2,48]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 529$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1358107$
83	[16,23,87,12,16,6]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 416$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1202587$
83	[11,31,92,-6,8,28]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 469$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1381867$
83	[3,111,111,-2,2,110]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 4639$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 62337067$
83	[4,83,84,0,4,0]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 2134$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 9405643$
89	[23,31,48,2,12,16]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 118$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 137707$
89	[19,23,95,-18,10,14]	$5 \times 10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 540$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 981403$
89	[15,27,96,-14,8,20]	$5 \times 10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 464$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1534723$
89	[12,31,92,8,12,4]	$5 \times 10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 478$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 653227$
89	[15,24,95,4,2,24]	$5 \times 10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 502$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 682147$
89	[7,51,103,-2,6,50]	$5 \times 10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 646$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1427827$
89	[3,119,119,-2,2,118]	$2 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 5357$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 28654707$
97	[23,39,51,-22,6,14]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 283$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 74011$
97	[15,52,55,-4,14,24]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 295$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 94963$
97	[7,56,111,4,2,56]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 814$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 851272$
97	[20,39,59,-4,8,38]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 277$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 118243$
97	[19,23,104,-14,12,16]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 636$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1336483$
101	[32,39,44,-12,28,20]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 158$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 123523$
101	[12,35,104,8,12,4]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 652$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1157083$
101	[15,28,108,8,4,28]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 625$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1299163$
101	[15,27,111,-2,14,26]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 652$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 901363$
101	[8,51,103,4,8,2]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 803$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1996467$
101	[7,59,116,-6,4,56]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 881$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1720048$
101	[11,39,111,-10,6,34]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 723$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1305627$
101	[3,135,135,-2,2,134]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 7304$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 24487147$

TABLE 9. The set of exceptions  $\mathcal{E}_E^N$ .

$p$	Quadratic Form	$N_0/N_1$	$\mathcal{E}_E^N$ or ( $\#\mathcal{E}_E^N$ and $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N)$ )
103	[23,36,59,-4,22,16]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 174, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 121027$
103	[16,28,103,-12,0,0]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 696, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1004347$
103	[15,55,56,2,8,28]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 200, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 353728$
103	[19,23,111,-10,14,18]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 709, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1086547$
103	[7,59,119,-2,6,58]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 903, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1959163$
103	[8,52,103,4,0,0]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 896, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1019467$
103	[4,103,104,0,4,0]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 2358, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 6390532$
107	[35,39,44,-18,32,4]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 186, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 169467$
107	[23,40,56,16,4,20]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 209, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 274387$
107	[16,27,111,-4,16,2]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 769, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 2998675$
107	[12,36,107,4,0,0]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 817, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 695179$
107	[19,23,116,-6,16,20]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 813, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 3142483$
107	[11,39,119,-2,10,38]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 856, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 838987$
107	[4,107,108,0,4,0]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 3873, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 13204228$
107	[3,143,143,-2,2,142]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 8410, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 44363163$
109	[32,44,47,20,28,36]	$10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 205, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 193747$
109	[23,39,59,10,14,22]	$10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 215, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1034083$
109	[8,55,111,4,8,2]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 1039, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 2522587$
109	[24,39,56,16,12,4]	$10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 225, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 215659$
109	[11,40,119,4,2,40]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 891, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 947755$
109	[19,23,119,-2,18,22]	$10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 857, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1300915$
113	[35,39,47,-6,34,10]	$10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 213, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 142267$
113	[23,40,59,8,2,20]	$10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 220, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 146787$
113	[20,47,68,-12,4,44]	$10^8$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 247, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 253363$
113	[23,24,119,20,10,24]	$5 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 904, \max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1800643$

TABLE 10. The set of exceptions  $\mathcal{E}_E^N$ .

$p$	Quadratic Form	$N_0/N_1$	$\mathcal{E}_E^N$ or $(\#\mathcal{E}_E^N$ and $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N))$
113	[19,24,119,4,2,24]	$5 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 1005$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1997835$
113	[12,39,116,8,12,4]	$5 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 907$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 1130803$
113	[3,151,151,-2,2,150]	$5 \times 10^9$	$\#\mathcal{E}_E^N = 9302$ , $\max(\mathcal{E}_E^N) = 30158683$

TABLE 11. Good Bounds  $D_p$  from Theorem 1.1 for  $p \leq 113$ .

$p$	$D_p$	$p$	$D_p$
3, 5, 7, 13	1	61	$3.797 \times 10^{17}$
11	$8.973 \times 10^9$	67	$2.642 \times 10^{19}$
17	$1.510 \times 10^{15}$	71	$2.191 \times 10^{20}$
19	$1.606 \times 10^{13}$	73	$1.452 \times 10^{19}$
23	$6.955 \times 10^{15}$	79	$1.121 \times 10^{20}$
29	$1.550 \times 10^{17}$	83	$1.033 \times 10^{20}$
31	$1.008 \times 10^{16}$	89	$6.887 \times 10^{22}$
37	$1.117 \times 10^{15}$	97	$7.815 \times 10^{19}$
41	$3.579 \times 10^{18}$	101	$5.296 \times 10^{20}$
43	$1.364 \times 10^{17}$	103	$8.748 \times 10^{19}$
47	$6.461 \times 10^{18}$	107	$1.761 \times 10^{21}$
53	$5.467 \times 10^{19}$	109	$1.841 \times 10^{21}$
61	$8.396 \times 10^{19}$	113	$1.041 \times 10^{23}$

## References

- [1] J. CREMONA, *Algorithms for elliptic curves*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.
- [2] P. DELIGNE, *La conjecture de weil i*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math **43** (1974), 273–307.
- [3] M. DEURING, *Die Typen der Multiplikatorenringe elliptischer Funktionenkörpern*. Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ. **14** (1941), 197–272.
- [4] W. DUKE, *Hyperbolic distribution problems and half-integral weight maass forms*. Invent. Math. **92** (1998), 73–90.
- [5] W. DUKE AND R. SCHULZE-PILLOT, *Representation of integers by positive ternary quadratic forms and equidistribution of lattice points on ellipsoids*. Invent. Math. **99** (1990), no. 1, 49–57.
- [6] A. EARNEST, J. HSIA, AND D. HUNG, *Primitive representations by spinor genera of ternary quadratic forms*. J. London Math. Soc. (2) **50** (1994), no. 2, 222–230.
- [7] N. ELKIES, *Supersingular primes for elliptic curves over real number fields*. Compositio Mathematica **72** (1989), 165–172.
- [8] N. ELKIES, K. ONO, AND T. YANG, *Reduction of CM elliptic curves and modular function congruences*. Int. Math. Res. Not. **44** (2005), 2695–2707.

- [9] U. FINCKE AND M. POHST, *Improved methods for calculating vectors of short length in a lattice, including a complexity analysis*. Math. Comp. (1985), 463–471.
- [10] W. GAUTSCHI, *A computational procedure for incomplete Gamma functions*. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software **5** (1979), 466–481.
- [11] B. GROSS, *Heights and the special values of L-series*. Number theory (Montreal, Que., 1985), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 115–187.
- [12] B. GROSS AND D. ZAGIER, *On singular moduli*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **335** (1985), 191–220.
- [13] T. IBUKIYAMA, *On maximal order of division quaternion algebras over the rational number field with certain optimal embeddings*. Nagoya Math J. **88** (1982), 181–195.
- [14] H. IWANIEC, *Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight*. Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 385–401.
- [15] B. JONES, *The arithmetic theory of quadratic forms*. Carus Monograph Series, no. 10, The Mathematical Association of America, Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, 1950.
- [16] B. KANE, *Representations of integers by ternary quadratic forms*. preprint (2007).
- [17] D. KOHEL, *Endomorphism rings of elliptic curves over finite fields*. University of California, Berkeley, Ph.D. Thesis (1996), pp. 1–96.
- [18] W. KOHNEN, *Newforms of half integral weight*. J. reine angew. Math. **333** (1982), 32–72.
- [19] W. KOHNEN AND D. ZAGIER, *Values of L-series of modular forms at the center of the critical strip*. Invent. Math. **64** (1981), 175–198.
- [20] J. OESTERLÉ, *Nombres de classes des corps quadratiques imaginaires*. Astérisque **121-122** (1985), 309–323.
- [21] O. T. O’MEARA, *Introduction to quadratic forms*. Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000, Reprint of the 1973 edition.
- [22] K. ONO, *Web of modularity: Arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and q-series*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, no. 102, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [23] K. ONO AND K. SOUNDARAJAN, *Ramanujan’s ternary quadratic form*. Invent. Math. **130** (1997), 415–454.
- [24] A. PIZER, *An algorithm for compute modular forms on  $\Gamma_0(N)$* . J. Algebra **64** (1980), no. 2, 340–390.
- [25] R. SCHULZE-PILLOT, *Darstellungsmaße von Spinorgeschlechtern ternärer quadratischer Formen*. J. Reine Angew. Math., **352** (1984), 114–132.
- [26] G. SHIMURA, *On modular forms of half integer weight*. Ann. of Math. **97** (1973), 440–481.
- [27] C. SIEGEL, *Über die klassenzahl quadratischer zahlkörper*. Acta Arith. **1** (1935), 83–86.
- [28] J. SILVERMAN, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.
- [29] W. STEIN, *Explicit approaches to modular abelian varieties*. Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley (2000), pp. 1–96.
- [30] ———, *Modular forms, a computational approach*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 79, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007, Appendix by P. Gunnells.
- [31] J. STURM, *On the congruence of modular forms*. Number theory (New York, 1984–1985), Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 275–280.
- [32] M.-F. VIGNÉRAS, *Arithmétique des algèbres de quaternions*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 800, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [33] M. WATKINS, *Class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields*. Math. Comp. **73** (2004), 907–938.

Ben KANE  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen  
 Heijendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, Netherlands  
 E-mail: bkane@science.ru.nl