

JOURNAL

de Théorie des Nombres
de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

et József SOLYMOSI

On sum-sets and product-sets of complex numbers

Tome 17, n° 3 (2005), p. 921-924.

<http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2005__17_3_921_0>

© Université Bordeaux 1, 2005, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

On sum-sets and product-sets of complex numbers

par JÓZSEF SOLYMOSI

RÉSUMÉ. On donne une preuve simple que pour tout ensemble fini de nombres complexes A , la taille de l'ensemble de sommes $A + A$ ou celle de l'ensemble de produits $A \cdot A$ est toujours grande.

ABSTRACT. We give a simple argument that for any finite set of complex numbers A , the size of the the sum-set, $A + A$, or the product-set, $A \cdot A$, is always large.

1. Introduction

Let A be a finite subset of complex numbers. The *sum-set* of A is $A + A = \{a + b : a, b \in A\}$, and the *product-set* is given by $A \cdot A = \{a \cdot b : a, b \in A\}$. Erdős conjectured that for any n -element set the sum-set or the product-set should be close to n^2 . For integers, Erdős and Szemerédi [7] proved the lower bound $n^{1+\varepsilon}$.

$$\max(|A + A|, |A \cdot A|) \geq |A|^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

Nathanson [9] proved the bound with $\varepsilon = 1/31$, Ford [8] improved it to $\varepsilon = 1/15$, and the best bound is obtained by Elekes [6] who showed $\varepsilon = 1/4$ if A is a set of real numbers. Very recently Chang [3] proved $\varepsilon = 1/54$ to finite sets of complex numbers. For further results and related problems we refer to [4, 5] and [1, 2].

In this note we prove Elekes' bound for complex numbers.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a positive absolute constant c , such that, for any finite sets of complex numbers A, B , and Q ,*

$$c|A|^{3/2}|B|^{1/2}|Q|^{1/2} \leq |A + B| \cdot |A \cdot Q|,$$

whence $c|A|^{5/4} \leq \max\{|A + A|, |A \cdot A|\}$.

Manuscrit reçu le 26 aout 2003.

This research was supported by NSERC and OTKA grants.

2. Proof

For the proof we need some simple observations and definitions. For each $a \in A$ let us find "the closest" element, an $a' \in A$ so that $a' \neq a$ and for any $a'' \in A$ if $|a - a'| > |a - a''|$ then $a = a''$. If there are more than one closest elements, then let us select any of them. This way we have $|A|$ ordered pairs, let us call them *neighboring pairs*.

Definition. We say that a quadruple (a, a', b, q) is *good* if (a, a') is a neighboring pair, $b \in B$ and $q \in Q$, moreover

$$|\{u \in A + B : |a + b - u| \leq |a - a'|\}| \leq \frac{28|A + B|}{|A|}$$

and

$$|\{v \in A \cdot Q : |aq - v| \leq |aq - a'q|\}| \leq \frac{28|A \cdot Q|}{|A|}.$$

When a quadruple (a, a', b, q) is good, then it means that the neighborhoods of $a + b$ and aq are not very dense in $A + B$ and in $A \cdot Q$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $b \in B$ and $q \in Q$ the number of good quadruples (a, a', b, q) is at least $|A|/2$.*

Proof. Let us consider the set of disks around the elements of A with radius $|a - a'|$ (i.e. for every $a \in A$ we take the largest disk with center a , which contains no other elements of A in its interior). A simple geometric observation shows that no complex number is covered by more than 7 disks. Therefore

$$\sum_{a \in A} |\{u \in A + B : |a + b - u| \leq |a - a'|\}| \leq 7|A + B|$$

and

$$\sum_{a \in A} |\{v \in A \cdot Q : |aq - v| \leq |aq - a'q|\}| \leq 7|A \cdot Q|$$

providing that at least half of the neighboring pairs form good quadruples with b and q . Indeed, if we had more than a quarter of the neighboring pairs so that, say,

$$|\{v \in A \cdot Q : |aq - v| \leq |aq - a'q|\}| > \frac{28|A \cdot Q|}{|A|}$$

then it would imply

$$7|A \cdot Q| \geq \frac{|A|}{4} |\{v \in A \cdot Q : |aq - v| \leq |aq - a'q|\}| > 7|A \cdot Q|.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1 To prove the theorem, we count the good quadruples (a, a', b, q) twice. For the sake of simplicity let us suppose that $0 \notin Q$. Such a quadruple is uniquely determined by the quadruple $(a + b, a' + b, aq, a'q)$. Now observe that there are $|A + B|$ possibilities for the first element, and given the value of $a + b$, the second element $a' + b$ must be one of the $28|A + B|/|A|$ nearest element of the sum-set $A + B$. We make the same argument for the third and fourth component to find that the number of such quadruples is at most

$$|A + B| \frac{28|A + B|}{|A|} |A \cdot Q| \frac{28|A \cdot Q|}{|A|}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1 the number of such quadruples is at least

$$\frac{|A|}{2} |B| |Q|$$

that proves the theorem.

A similar argument works for quaternions and for other hypercomplex numbers. In general, if T and Q are sets of similarity transformations and A is a set of points in space such that from any quadruple $(t(p_1), t(p_2), q(p_1), q(p_2))$ the elements $t \in T$, $q \in Q$, and $p_1 \neq p_2 \in A$ are uniquely determined, then

$$c|A|^{3/2} |T|^{1/2} |Q|^{1/2} \leq |T(A)| \cdot |Q(A)|,$$

where c depends on the dimension of the space only.

References

- [1] J. BOURGAIN, S. KONJAGIN, *Estimates for the number of sums and products and for exponential sums over subgroups in finite fields of prime order*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **337** (2003), no. 2, 75–80.
- [2] J. BOURGAIN, N. KATZ, T. TAO, *A sum-product estimate in finite fields, and applications*. Geometric And Functional Analysis GAFA **14** (2004), no. 1, 27–57.
- [3] M. CHANG, *A sum-product estimate in algebraic division algebras over R* . Israel Journal of Mathematics (to appear).
- [4] M. CHANG, *Factorization in generalized arithmetic progressions and applications to the Erdős-Szemerédi sum-product problems*. Geometric And Functional Analysis GAFA **13** (2003), no. 4, 720–736.
- [5] M. CHANG, *Erdős-Szemerédi sum-product problem*. Annals of Math. **157** (2003), 939–957.
- [6] GY. ELEKES, *On the number of sums and products*. Acta Arithmetica **81** (1997), 365–367.
- [7] P. ERDŐS, E. SZEMERÉDI, *On sums and products of integers*. In: Studies in Pure Mathematics; To the memory of Paul Turán. P. Erdős, L. Alpár, and G. Halász, editors. Akadémiai Kiadó – Birkhauser Verlag, Budapest – Basel-Boston, Mass. 1983, 213–218.
- [8] K. FORD, *Sums and products from a finite set of real numbers*. Ramanujan Journal, **2** (1998), (1-2), 59–66.
- [9] M. B. NATHANSON, *On sums and products of integers*. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), (1-2), 9–16.

József SOLYMOSI
Department of Mathematics,
University of British Columbia
1984 Mathematics Road, Vancouver,
Colombie-Britannique, Canada V6T 1Z2
E-mail : `solymosi@math.ubc.ca`