

JOURNAL

de Théorie des Nombres

de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Jonathan JENVRIN

Explicit lower bounds for the height in Galois extensions of number fields

Tome 37, n° 2 (2025), p. 469-477.

<https://doi.org/10.5802/jtnb.1329>

© Les auteurs, 2025.



Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 4.0 FRANCE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/fr/>



*Le Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux est membre du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte*

<http://www.centre-mersenne.org/>

e-ISSN : 2118-8572

Explicit lower bounds for the height in Galois extensions of number fields

par JONATHAN JENVRIN

RÉSUMÉ. Amoroso et Masser ont prouvé que, pour tout réel $\epsilon > 0$, il existe une constante $c(\epsilon) > 0$, avec la propriété suivante : pour tout nombre algébrique α tel que $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ est une extension galoisienne, la hauteur de α est soit nulle, soit au moins $c(\epsilon)[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]^{-\epsilon}$. Dans le présent article, nous établissons une version explicite de ce théorème.

ABSTRACT. Amoroso and Masser proved that for every real $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c(\epsilon) > 0$, with the property that, for every algebraic number α such that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension, the height of α is either 0 or at least $c(\epsilon)[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]^{-\epsilon}$. In the present article, we establish an explicit version of the aforementioned theorem.

1. Introduction

In this article, we let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a fixed algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} . For an algebraic number α of degree d over \mathbb{Q} , we denote by $h(\alpha)$ its absolute logarithmic Weil height defined as

$$h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{d} \left(\log \left(|a| \prod_{i=1}^d \max(1, |\alpha_i|) \right) \right)$$

where a is the leading coefficient of the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Z} , and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ are the conjugates of α over \mathbb{Q} . Notice in particular that $h(\alpha) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

While, by Kronecker's theorem (see for instance [9, Theorem 1.5.9]), it is well-known that $h(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if α is either 0 or a root of unity, in [14] Lehmer raised the question of whether there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$h(\alpha) \geq \frac{c}{d}$$

whenever $h(\alpha)$ is not zero. The existence of such a constant is nowadays known as Lehmer's conjecture and has been proved for various classes of algebraic numbers, but is still open in general. For instance, the conjecture is obviously true for α not a unit with $c = \log(2)$. While for α non-reciprocal

(which is always the case for d odd) its validity was proved in [19] with $c = 3h(\theta) = \log(\theta)$, where θ is the real root > 1 of $X^3 - X - 1$. The most notable progress toward Lehmer's conjecture is Dobrowolski's result [11, Theorem 1], later made explicit by Voutier in [20, Theorem on p. 83], proving that if $h(\alpha) \neq 0$, then

$$h(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{4d} \left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d} \right)^3.$$

This implies that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an explicit constant $\tilde{c}(\epsilon) > 0$ such that either $h(\alpha) = 0$ or $h(\alpha) \geq \tilde{c}(\epsilon)d^{-1-\epsilon}$.

While Dobrowolski's result remains the only unconditional one on this problem, it is possible to prove that specific classes of algebraic numbers satisfy even stronger variants of Lehmer's conjecture, such as the Bogomolov property introduced by Bombieri and Zannier in [10]. A set of algebraic numbers S has the *Bogomolov property* (B) if there exists a constant $c = c(S) > 0$ such that for every $\alpha \in S$, either $h(\alpha) = 0$ or $h(\alpha) \geq c$.

A set of algebraic numbers that has garnered attention in recent years is that of all $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois.

Amoroso and David proved that Lehmer's conjecture holds for elements of this set (see [2, Corollary 1.7]). Later, Amoroso and Masser [6, Theorem 3.3] showed an even stronger result: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive effective constant $c(\epsilon)$ such that, for every $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of degree d over \mathbb{Q} and not a root of unity, such that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, one has

$$(\star) \quad h(\alpha) \geq c(\epsilon)d^{-\epsilon}.$$

This strong result raises the question of whether the set of algebraic numbers that generate a Galois extension over \mathbb{Q} satisfies Property (B). A natural way to tackle this question is to fix the Galois group G of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$. The answer is positive when G is abelian [5], dihedral [8, Corollary 1.3], has an exponent bounded by an absolute constant [3, Corollary 1.7] or has odd order (since the field of totally real numbers satisfies Property (B) by [18, Corollary 1]). An even stronger result has been proven for some classes of generators of Galois extensions of \mathbb{Q} of group \mathfrak{S}_n in [1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3], or \mathfrak{A}_n in [12, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5]; in these cases the height of such generators goes to infinity with n . All these results give evidences for a positive answer to the aforementioned question, which is still open in general.

The goal of our article is to give an explicit version of (\star) . Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ is of degree d over \mathbb{Q} . If α is not a root of unity and $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, then*

$$h(\alpha) \geq 10^{-8} \exp\left(-\frac{49}{2} \log(3d)^{3/4} \log(\log(3d))\right).$$

As an easy corollary, we obtain an explicit version of Amoroso and Masser's result [6, Theorem 3.3].

Corollary 1.2. *For every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every α of degree d , not a root of unity, such that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, one has*

$$h(\alpha) \geq c(\epsilon) d^{-\epsilon}$$

where

$$c(\epsilon) = 10^{-8} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^\epsilon \exp\left(-181 \left(\frac{724}{5\epsilon}\right)^4 - \left(\frac{724}{5\epsilon}\right)^5\right).$$

Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are proved in Section 3. Our proof strategy relies on that of Amoroso and Masser's result in [6, Theorem 3.3].

In particular, we divide our proof in two cases, according to the relative magnitude of the multiplicative rank $\rho(\alpha)$ of the subgroup of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ generated by the conjugates of α , and the quantity $\log(3 \deg(\alpha))^{1/4}$, where $d(\alpha) = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]$. When $\rho(\alpha) > \log(3 \deg(\alpha))^{1/4}$, we conclude using a result of Amoroso and Viada [7], which we recall in Theorem 2.1, bounding from below the products of heights of multiplicatively independent algebraic numbers. On the other hand, when $\rho(\alpha) \leq \log(3 \deg(\alpha))^{1/4}$ we conclude by applying a result of Amoroso and Delsinne [4], providing an explicit relative version of Dobrowolski's lower bound, where the degree $\deg(\alpha) = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]$ is replaced by a relative degree $[L(\alpha) : L]$, where L/\mathbb{Q} is a finite abelian extension. This is in contrast with Amoroso and Masser's proof of (\star) , which uses an older higher-dimensional generalization of Dobrowolski's lower bound proven by Amoroso and David [2] when $\rho(\alpha) > \log(3d(\alpha))^{1/4}$, and the relative Dobrowolski lower bound proven by Amoroso and Zannier when $\rho(\alpha) \leq \log(3d(\alpha))^{1/4}$.

2. Auxiliary Results

We state two important results that will be the key ingredients in our proof. The first was proved by Amoroso and Viada in [7, Corollary 1.6], where, more generally, they give an explicit version of a generalized Dobrowolski result on Lehmer's problem.

Theorem 2.1 ([7, Corollary 1.6]). *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be multiplicatively independent algebraic numbers in a number field K . Then*

$$h(\alpha_1) \dots h(\alpha_n) \geq [K : \mathbb{Q}]^{-1} (1050n^5 \log(3[K : \mathbb{Q}]))^{-n^2(n+1)^2}.$$

The second result was proved by Amoroso and Delsinne in [4, Théorème 1.3], and provides a relative version of Dobrowolski's lower bound, when the base field considered is abelian. We recall a special case of this theorem, which is enough for our purposes.

Theorem 2.2 ([4, Théorème 1.3]). *Let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ be not a root of unity and let L be a number field. Then if L/\mathbb{Q} is a finite abelian extension and $D = [L(\alpha) : L]$, we have*

$$h(\alpha) \geq D^{-1} \frac{\log \log(5D)^3}{\log(2D)^4}.$$

Proof. We apply [4, Théorème 1.3], with $\mathbb{L} = L$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$. Notice that the lower bound in [4] depends on a certain quantity $(g([\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]) \cdot \Delta_{\mathbb{K}})^{-c}$ which is 1 for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$. This gives the desired result. \square

The following lemma is a relative version of [6, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let F/K be a finite Galois extension and $\alpha \in F \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ be the conjugates of α over K , i.e. the orbit of α under the action of $\text{Gal}(F/K)$. Moreover let ρ be the rank of the multiplicative group generated by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$, and suppose that $\rho \geq 1$. Then there exists a subfield $L \subset F$ which is Galois over K of degree $[L : K] \leq n(\rho)$, such that F contains a primitive k -th root of unity ζ_k and $\alpha^k \in L$, where k is the order of the group of roots of unity in F . We can take*

$$n(\rho) = \rho!2^\rho \text{ for } \rho = 1, 3, 5 \text{ and } \rho > 10.$$

Otherwise we have

$n(\rho)$	2	4	6	7	8	9	10
ρ	12	1152	103680	2903040	696729600	1393459200	8360755200

Proof. Define $\beta_i = \alpha_i^k$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $L = K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$. We have $L \subset F$ because F/K is Galois, and we easily check that L/K is Galois. The \mathbb{Z} -module

$$M = \{\beta_1^{a_1} \dots \beta_d^{a_d} \mid a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is torsion free by the choice of k and so, by the classification of finitely generated abelian groups ([13, Theorem 8.1 and Theorem 8.2]), is free, of rank ρ . This shows that the action of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ over M defines an injective representation $\text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \text{GL}_\rho(\mathbb{Z})$. We obtain that $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ identifies to a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}_\rho(\mathbb{Z})$. To conclude, we use a theorem stated by Feit in 1996, and proved by Rémond in [15, Théorème 7.1], which computes the largest cardinality of a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}_\rho(\mathbb{Z})$. \square

Remark 2.4. The constant $n(\rho)$ in Lemma 2.3 is somehow optimal, since it is equal to the largest cardinality of a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}_\rho(\mathbb{Z})$.

We continue this section with the following lemma, which gives an explicit upper bound for the quotient of an integer over his Euler's totient.

Lemma 2.5. *Let ϕ be the Euler's totient function. For every positive integer $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\frac{n}{\phi(n)} \leq \frac{\log(\log(3n))}{\log(\log(3))}$$

and

$$\phi(n) \geq \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Proof. The first inequality can be easily deduced from [17, Theorem 15] for $n \geq 100$ and verified for smaller values of n . Indeed, if we denote by γ the Euler's constant, then for $n \geq 100$, we have

$$e^\gamma \log(\log(n)) + \frac{2.50637}{\log(\log(n))} \leq 3 \log(\log(3n)) \leq \frac{\log(\log(3n))}{\log(\log(3))}.$$

The second inequality is well-known, and can be deduced easily from the previous one. \square

We conclude this section by recalling Stirling's upper bound ([16, (1) and (2) on p. 26]), which will be use in the next section.

Lemma 2.6. *For every $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\frac{n!}{n^n} \leq \sqrt{2\pi n} e^{\frac{1}{12n}} e^{-n}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We can now establish the proof of Theorem 1.1. To this end, we fix for the rest of this section an algebraic number $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois. Firstly, we will prove a lower bound for $h(\alpha)$ depending on the multiplicative rank ρ of the conjugates of α , and on the degree d of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Lemma 3.1. *Let*

$$g_1(\rho, d) = \min_{1 \leq r \leq \rho} \left(d^{1/r} \left(1050r^5 \log(3d) \right)^{r(r+1)^2} \right)$$

and

$$g_2(\rho, d) = 6.5 \cdot 10^7 \rho^{\rho+5} \log \left(\log(6d^2) \right)^5.$$

Then:

$$h(\alpha)^{-1} \leq \min(g_1(\rho, d), g_2(\rho, d)).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\rho$ be multiplicatively independent conjugates of α , and fix $r \in \{1, \dots, \rho\}$. Since $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois by assumption, we have that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Therefore, applying Theorem 2.1 to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ we see that

$$h(\alpha) = (h(\alpha_1) \cdots h(\alpha_r))^{1/r} \geq d^{-1/r} \left(1050r^5 \log(3d) \right)^{-r(r+1)^2},$$

which implies that $h(\alpha) \geq g_1(\rho, d)^{-1}$.

Let k be the number of roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Then, by Lemma 2.3 there exists a subfield $L \subset \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ whose degree can be bounded as follows:

$$[L : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 135\rho!2^{\rho-1},$$

such that the extension L/\mathbb{Q} is Galois and $\alpha^k \in L$. Set $M = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$. We have $M(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = L(\alpha)$. Notice that

$$[M(\alpha) : M] = [L(\alpha) : L] \frac{[L : \mathbb{Q}]}{[M : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

Since α is a root of $X^k - \alpha^k \in L[X]$, we have that $[L(\alpha) : L] \leq k$. We also notice that $\phi(k) = [M : \mathbb{Q}]$. Therefore, we obtain the following chain of inequalities:

$$[M(\alpha) : M] \leq k \frac{[L : \mathbb{Q}]}{[M : \mathbb{Q}]} \leq \frac{k}{\phi(k)} [L : \mathbb{Q}].$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have that

$$\frac{k}{\phi(k)} \leq \frac{\log(\log(3k))}{\log(\log(3))},$$

so we obtain

$$[M(\alpha) : M] \leq 135 \frac{\log(\log(3k))}{\log(\log(3))} \rho! 2^{\rho-1}.$$

Since k is the number of roots of unity contained in L , we have that $\phi(k) \leq d$. By the last statement of Lemma 2.5, we have that $\sqrt{k/2} \leq \phi(k)$, hence $k \leq 2d^2$ and

$$[M(\alpha) : M] \leq 135 \frac{\log(\log(6d^2))}{\log(\log(3))} \rho! 2^{\rho-1} \leq 718\rho! 2^\rho \log(\log(6d^2)).$$

By Lemma 2.6, applied to ρ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [M(\alpha) : M] &\leq 718\rho^\rho \sqrt{2\pi\rho} e^{\frac{1}{12\rho}} e^{-\rho} 2^\rho \log(\log(6d^2)) \\ &\leq 1440\rho^\rho \log(\log(6d^2)) \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2, applied to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)/\mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$h(\alpha) \geq [M(\alpha) : M]^{-1} \frac{\log(\log(5[M(\alpha) : M]))^3}{\log(2[M(\alpha) : M])^4}.$$

Since

$$x \mapsto \frac{1}{x} \frac{\log(\log(5x))^3}{\log(2x)^4}$$

is a decreasing map on $[1, +\infty[$, we deduce, by setting

$$X(\rho, d) = 1440\rho^\rho \log(\log(6d^2))$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha)^{-1} &\leq X(\rho, d) \log(2X(\rho, d))^4 \\ &= 1440\rho^\rho \log(\log(6d^2)) \log(4884\rho^\rho \log(\log(6d^2)))^4 \\ &\leq 12448\rho^{\rho+4} \log(\log(6d^2))^5 \log(4884^{1/\rho}\rho)^4 \\ &\leq 6.5 \cdot 10^7 \rho^{\rho+5} \log(\log(6d^2))^5 \end{aligned}$$

This gives the second desired lower bound for $h(\alpha)$, and therefore proves the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. Suppose, first, that $\rho \leq \log(3d)^{1/4}$. By Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha)^{-1} &\leq g_2(\rho, d) \leq 6.5 \cdot 10^7 (\log(3d)^{1/4})^{\log(3d)^{1/4}+5} \log(\log(6d^2))^5 \\ &\leq 6.5 \cdot 10^7 \exp\left(\left(\log(3d)^{1/4} + 5\right) \log(\log(3d)^{1/4}) + 5 \log(\log(\log(6d^2)))\right) \\ &\leq 6.5 \cdot 10^7 \exp\left(\frac{3}{2} \log(3d)^{1/4} \log(\log(3d)) + 2 \log(3d)^{1/4} \log(\log(3d))\right). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$(3.1) \quad h(\alpha)^{-1} \leq 6.5 \cdot 10^7 \exp\left(\frac{7}{2} \log(3d)^{1/4} \log(\log(3d))\right).$$

Now, we suppose that $\rho \geq \log(3d)^{1/4}$. We let $r = \lceil \log(3d)^{1/4} \rceil$. In particular $r \leq \rho$. Then, thanks again to Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha)^{-1} &\leq g_1(\rho, d) = d^{1/r} (1050r^5 \log(3d))^{r(r+1)^2} \\ &\leq d^{1/\log(3d)^{1/4}} \left(1050 (\log(3d)^{1/4} + 1)^5 \log(3d)\right)^{(\log(3d)^{1/4}+1)(\log(3d)^{1/4}+2)^2} \\ &\leq d^{1/\log(3d)^{1/4}} \left(31693 \log(3d)^{9/4}\right)^{6 \log(3d)^{3/4}} \\ &\leq \exp\left(\log(3d)^{3/4} + 6 \log(3d)^{3/4} \log(31693 \log(3d)^{9/4})\right). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$(3.2) \quad h(\alpha)^{-1} \leq 31693 \exp\left(\log(3d)^{3/4} + \frac{27}{2} \log(3d)^{3/4} \log(\log(3d))\right).$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$h(\alpha)^{-1} \leq 6.5 \cdot 10^7 \exp\left(\frac{49}{2} \log(3d)^{3/4} \log(\log(3d))\right). \quad \square$$

Proof of Corollary 1.2. We fix $\epsilon > 0$. Notice that the function

$$f(x) = \frac{\log(\log(3x))}{\log(3x)^{1/20}}$$

has a maximum at

$$x = \frac{e^{e^{20}}}{3}.$$

Hence, we have that

$$\log(\log(3d)) \leq \frac{20}{e} \log(3d)^{1/20},$$

which implies

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{49}{2} \log(3d)^{3/4} \log(\log(3d)) - \epsilon \log(d) \leq 181 \log(3d)^{4/5} - \epsilon \log(d).$$

Also, the function $f_\epsilon(x) = 181 \log(3x)^{4/5} - \epsilon \log(x)$ has its maximum at

$$x = \frac{1}{3} \exp\left(\frac{724}{5\epsilon}\right)^5.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.4) \quad 181 \log(3d)^{4/5} - \epsilon \log(d) \leq 181 \left(\frac{724}{5\epsilon}\right)^4 - \epsilon \log(1/3) - \left(\frac{724}{5\epsilon}\right)^5.$$

Hence, by (3.3) and (3.4), we have that

$$-\frac{49}{2} \log(3d)^{3/4} \log(\log(3d)) \geq -181 \left(\frac{724}{5\epsilon}\right)^4 + \epsilon \log(1/3) + \left(\frac{724}{5\epsilon}\right)^5 - \epsilon \log(d).$$

and we conclude by Theorem 1.1. \square

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Gaël Rémond for comments and suggestions on an earlier draft of this article, and for pointing out the reference [15]. I am also very grateful to the anonymous referee for the numerous insightful remarks and suggestions, which improved the quality of this paper. In particular I thank the referee for pointing out reference [17] which improved Lemma 2.5.

References

- [1] F. AMOROSO, “Mahler measure on Galois extensions”, *Int. J. Number Theory* **14** (2018), no. 6, p. 1605-1617.
- [2] F. AMOROSO & S. DAVID, “Le problème de Lehmer en dimension supérieure”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **513** (1999), p. 145-179.
- [3] F. AMOROSO, S. DAVID & U. ZANNIER, “On fields with property (B)”, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **142** (2014), no. 6, p. 1893-1910.
- [4] F. AMOROSO & E. DELSINNE, “Une minoration relative explicite pour la hauteur dans une extension d’une extension abélienne”, in *Diophantine geometry*, Centro di Ricerca Matematica Ennio De Giorgi (CRM) Series (Nuova Serie), vol. 4, Edizioni della Normale, 2007, p. 1-24.
- [5] F. AMOROSO & R. DVORNICICH, “A lower bound for the height in abelian extensions”, *J. Number Theory* **80** (2000), no. 2, p. 260-272.
- [6] F. AMOROSO & D. MASSER, “Lower bounds for the height in Galois extensions”, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **48** (2016), no. 6, p. 1008-1012, erratum in *ibid.* **48** (2016), no. 6, p. 1050.
- [7] F. AMOROSO & E. VIADA, “Small points on rational subvarieties of tori”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **87** (2012), no. 2, p. 355-383.
- [8] F. AMOROSO & U. ZANNIER, “A uniform relative Dobrowolski’s lower bound over Abelian extensions”, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **42** (2010), no. 3, p. 489-498.
- [9] E. BOMBIERI & W. GUBLER, *Heights in Diophantine geometry*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 4, Cambridge University Press, 2006, xvi+652 pages.
- [10] E. BOMBIERI & U. ZANNIER, “A note on heights in certain infinite extensions of \mathbb{Q} ”, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., IX. Ser., Rend. Lincei, Mat. Appl.* **12** (2001), no. 1, p. 5-14.
- [11] E. DOBROWOLSKI, “On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial”, *Acta Arith.* **34** (1979), p. 391-401.
- [12] J. JENVRIN, “On the height of some generators of galois extensions with big galois group”, 2024, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.00500>.
- [13] S. LANG, *Algebra*, 3rd revised ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211, Springer, 2002.
- [14] D. H. LEHMER, “Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions”, *Ann. Math. (2)* **34** (1933), p. 461-479.
- [15] G. RÉMON, “Degré de définition des endomorphismes d’une variété abélienne”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **22** (2020), no. 9, p. 3059-3099.
- [16] H. ROBBINS, “A remark on Stirling’s formula”, *Am. Math. Mon.* **62** (1955), p. 26-29.
- [17] J. B. ROSSER & L. SCHOENFELD, “Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers”, *Ill. J. Math.* **6** (1962), p. 64-94.
- [18] A. SCHINZEL, “On the product of the conjugates outside the unit circle of an algebraic number”, *Acta Arith.* **24** (1973), p. 385-399.
- [19] C. J. SMYTH, “On the product of the conjugates outside the unit circle of an algebraic integer”, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **3** (1971), p. 169-175.
- [20] P. M. VOUTIER, “An effective lower bound for the height of algebraic numbers”, *Acta Arith.* **74** (1996), no. 1, p. 81-95.

Jonathan JENVRIN

100 Rue des Mathématiques, 38610 Gières
France

E-mail: jonathan.jenvrin@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/jonathan-jenvrin-johnny-john/home>