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#### Abstract

Résumé. Nous donnons une nouvelle description géométrique du fait qu'un élément du groupe de classes d'un corps quadratique, vu comme une forme quadratique $q$, soit de $n$-torsion. Nous montrons que $q$ correspond à un élément de $n$-torsion si et seulement s'il existe un polynôme de degré $n$ dont le résultant $\operatorname{avec} q$ est $\pm 1$. Ceci est motivé par une paramétrisation géométrique plus précise, qui donne un lien direct entre la torsion dans les groupes de classes de corps quadratiques et certains groupes de Selmer de courbes de genre 1 singulières.


Abstract. We give a new geometric description of when an element of the class group of a quadratic field, thought of as a quadratic form $q$, is $n$-torsion. We show that $q$ corresponds to an $n$-torsion element if and only if there exists a degree $n$ polynomial whose resultant with $q$ is $\pm 1$. This is motivated by a more precise geometric parameterization which directly connects torsion in class groups of quadratic fields to Selmer groups of singular genus 1 curves.

## 1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to construct geometric spaces which parameterize $n$-torsion elements in class groups of quadratic fields. More generally, we prove a structure theorem in algebraic geometry which describes a simple quotient presentation for a stack approximately parameterizing $\mu_{n}$ torsors on degree 2 covers. More precisely, this stack has $B$ points parameterizing $n$-coverings of generically singular relative genus 1 curves over $B$, as is discussed further in Remark 1.4.

To begin, we give an easy to state consequence of our main results. For $K$ a number field, we use $\mathrm{Cl}(K)$ to denote the class group of $K$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(K)[n]$ to denote its $n$-torsion. For the statement of the following theorem, it will

[^0]be helpful to recall the classical correspondence between elements of the class group of a quadratic field of discriminant $d$ and primitive quadratic forms of discriminant $d$ with integer coefficients, as is well exposited in [20, Theorem 1.5].

Theorem 1.1. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, and let $K$ be a quadratic number field of discriminant d. A primitive quadratic form $q:=a x^{2}+b x y+$ $c y^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ of discriminant $d$ corresponds to an element in the subgroup $\mathrm{Cl}(K)[n] \subset \mathrm{Cl}(K)$ if and only if there exists a degree $n$ polynomial $\xi:=\sum_{i=0}^{n} t_{i} x^{i} y^{n-i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ such that the resultant of $q$ and $\xi$ is either 1 or -1 .

We prove a stronger form of Theorem 1.1 in Theorem 2.1, which also applies when $K$ is replaced with an order in a quadratic field. We give a geometric proof in Section 2.2 and an algebraic proof in Section 2.3.

However, Theorem 1.1 is not completely satisfactory for enumerating $n$ torsion elements in class groups of quadratic fields. In order to enumerate such elements, given a quadratic form $q$, we will also need a good understanding of the set of possible elements $\xi$ such that the resultant $\operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)= \pm 1$.

We now introduce notation to give the description of these possible elements $\xi$ in terms of orbits of a certain group action over Spec $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $V_{n}$ denote the $3+(n+1)$ dimensional affine space defined in Definition 4.1 parameterizing coefficients of pairs of polynomials $(q, \xi)$. Let $V_{n}^{\text {Res } \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} \subset V_{n}$ denote the open subscheme defined in Definition 9.3 parameterizing pairs $(q, \xi)$ whose resultant is a unit. Let $G_{n}$ denote the algebraic group defined in Definition 4.2 , which is generated by $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ acting on the $x$ and $y$ coordinates, $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ diagonally scaling $q$ and $\xi$, and $\mathbb{G}_{a}^{n-1}$ adding multiples of $q$ to $\xi$. Also recall the definition of the $n$-Selmer group of a number field $K$, $\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(K)$, as defined in Remark 10.1.

Theorem 1.2. Let $K$ be a quadratic number field of discriminant $d$. There is a bijection from orbits $(q, \xi)$ in $V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}(\mathbb{Z}) / G_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) \text { satisfying }}$ $\operatorname{disc}(q)=d$ to the set quotient of $\operatorname{coker}\left(\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}_{n}(K)\right)$ by the action of inversion coming from the nontrivial automorphism of $K$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 1.2 follows fairly immediately from Theorem 1.3 by combining it with the more or less self-contained Lemma 10.2. In fact, the significantly more general parameterization Theorem 1.3 works over an arbitrary normal integral base scheme. To state this more general result, let $\Pi_{n}$ denote the natural map from $\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / G_{n}\right]$ to the stack of degree 2 finite locally free covers. Locally, $\Pi_{n}$ is given by sending $(q, \xi)$ to the vanishing locus $V(q)$, viewed as a degree 2 finite locally free cover. Here and throughout, we use cohomology with abelian sheaf coefficients to mean flat cohomology.

Theorem 1.3. Let $B$ be a normal integral scheme and $n \geq 3$ an integer. Fix a degree 2 locally free generically étale cover $g: X \rightarrow B$. There is an injection from orbits $(q, \xi) \in V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}(B) / G_{n}(B)$ such that $V(q) \simeq X$ to $\Pi_{n}^{-1}([X]) \subset\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / G_{n}\right](B)$. In turn, $\Pi_{n}^{-1}([X])$ is identified bijectively with elements of $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}_{X / B}(B)$. The above injection is a bijection if $H^{1}\left(B, \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{a}\right)=0$.

We prove Theorem 1.3 and a further statement identifying corresponding stabilizers in Theorem 9.6. See Section 3 for the geometric construction which gives the idea behind the proof of Theorem 1.3. We now describe a number of salient features of our approach.

Remark 1.4. There have been many conjectures regarding Selmer groups of elliptic curves which are eerily similar to those governing class groups. We provide a seemingly new link between these two sets of heuristics by observing that $n$-torsion class groups can understood in terms of the $n$-covering group of a certain associated singular genus 1 curve, constructed in Notation 8.1. The $n$-covering group of the smooth locus of this genus 1 curve over $B$ is isomorphic to $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$. In the case $B=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$, this hypercohomology group is isomorphic to $\operatorname{coker}\left(\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}_{n}(K)\right)$. On the other hand, using cohomological exact sequences, the $n$-covering group is closely related to the $n$-Selmer group of the 1-dimensional algebraic group $g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}$. This suggests a way to realize conjectures regarding torsion of class groups (such as those in $[8,9]$ ) and $n$-Selmer groups of abelian varieties (such as those in $[4,18]$ ) both as special cases of conjectures regarding $n$-covering groups of (not necessarily proper) algebraic groups. In particular, we believe conjectures on $n$-torsion in class groups of quadratic fields and $n$-Selmer groups of elliptic curves should be special cases of conjectures on $n$-covering groups of 1-dimensional algebraic groups.

Remark 1.5. Theorem 1.3 is proven via a geometric perspective which works over an arbitrary base. Some previous results in arithmetic statistics adapting a geometric perspective include [20, Theorem 2.1], [21, Theorem 1.1 and 2.1], and [22, Theorem 1.4]. We find this perspective helps clarify the assumptions on the base scheme needed to obtain the desired orbit parameterization. This perspective gives a natural motivation, described in Section 3, for how one might come up with the description of the relevant moduli stack as a global quotient. Specifically, the affine space can be understood as that associated to a certain linear system on a Hirzebruch surface, and the group we quotient by is the automorphism group of that Hirzebruch surface.

Remark 1.6. The construction appearing in Section 3 generalizes to give a new way to understand $n$-torsion line bundles on covers of arbitrary degree.

It seems that in several cases, structure theorems similar to Theorem 1.3 should exist. For example, it seems an interesting and tractable problem to work out an analog of Theorem 1.3 for $n$-torsion line bundles on degree 3 covers (in place of degree 2 covers).

We next discuss some connections to parameter spaces for the class groups in quadratic fields previously appearing in the literature.
Remark 1.7. Although the orbit parameterization of Theorem 1.3 is new, a different parameterization of nearly the same stack in the case $n=3$ was given in [3, Theorem 13]. See also [6, Corollary 11]. There is a map from our parameterization to that in [3, Theorem 13] given by "taking the inflection subscheme," a construction is discussed in [14, Chapter 4].

It appears to us that this construction has some semblance of the construction of "bigger spaces which separate invariants" in the literature. For example, there is a space $W$ appearing in [5, Section 2] used in the proof of [5, Theorem 1.5]. The relation between this "bigger space" $W$ and the space of squarefree polynomials seems similar to the relation between $V_{n}^{\text {Res } \in G_{m}}$ and the space parameterizing these inflection subschemes. This space parameterizing inflection subschemes turns out to be an open whose complement has codimension 2 in $\mathbb{A}^{4}$ when $n=3$ but for higher $n$ is a variety $X_{n}$ of dimension 4 embedded in $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$. More precisely, $X_{n}$ is the affine cone over the complement of the rational normal curve in its secant variety. The fact that $X_{n}$ is not a dense open in $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ explains why the same counting procedure that works when $n=3$ does not immediately apply for higher $n$.

When working over function fields and taking the Weil restriction along $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{q}$, related Hurwitz stacks have appeared in [10]. However, the Hurwitz stacks there parameterize $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ torsors over degree 2 covers and so correspond to order $n$ quotients of the class group. On the other hand, the stacks appearing in this paper parameterize $\mu_{n}$ torsors over degree 2 covers, and so yield order $n$ subgroups of the class group (together with data relating to the units). Of course, the number of order $n$ subgroups and quotients of a finite abelian group have the same cardinality, but the relevant moduli stacks are different.

We now comment on the difficulty in using this result to determine the average size of $n$-torsion in class groups of quadratic fields.

Remark 1.8. For this remark, we refer to an open subscheme of affine space whose complement has codimension 1 as a small open and an open subscheme of affine space whose complement has codimension at least 2 as a big open. A seemingly new aspect of the orbit parameterization given in Theorem 1.3 is that it can be understood as the points of the quotient stack $\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / G_{n}\right]$ where $V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}$ is a small open subscheme of affine space.

To our knowledge, these quotient stacks of small opens have not previously appeared in arithmetic statistics research. Given this, one might surmise such quotient stacks of small opens are a rare phenomenon. Surprisingly, we believe this phenomenon is quite ubiquitous, and have found similar such stacks appearing in preliminary investigations of many other problems. It seems likely that similar parameterizations naturally appear when investigating $n$-torsion in cubic fields, higher moments of $n$-torsion in quadratic fields, and $n$-torsion in fields associated to binary forms. However, we have not carefully verified the existence of these parameterizations, and believe it would be quite interesting and nontrivial to construct such parameterizations.

We conclude this remark by pointing out a general feature which explains why counting points on quotients stacks of small opens by group actions is typically much more difficult than of big opens. If our small open is of the form $\mathbb{A}^{m}-H$ for $H \subset \mathbb{A}^{m}$ a hypersurface, nearly all maps $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{m}$ will meet $H$ nontrivially. However, in a big open, Spec $\mathbb{Z}$ points will rarely meet the codimension 2 complement, and so may typically be counted by a sieving procedure. In fact, in the stacks associated to small opens that come up, it is typically the case that Spec $\mathbb{Z}$ points lying in this small open agree with $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ points of a certain related hypersurface. This stems from the fact that $\mathbb{Z}$ has finitely many units. For example, in this paper, we investigate the small open $V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}$ where the resultant of two polynomials is a unit. Because the only units in $\mathbb{Z}$ are $\pm 1$, the $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ points of this open agrees with the Spec $\mathbb{Z}$ points of the hypersurface where the resultant is $\pm 1$. If one could asymptotically count points on this hypersurface, one could make great progress toward proving many of the conjectures of CohenLenstra as in $[8,9]$.
1.9. Overview. We now give an overview of the remaining sections of the paper. In Section 2, we give two direct and simple proofs of Theorem 1.1. In our opinion, the most important section for understanding the proof of Theorem 9.6 is Section 3, which describes the main geometric idea for parameterizing $n$-torsion in class groups. Strictly speaking, the rest of the paper is independent of Section 3, but this seems to be a more intuitive way to understand what is going on than the actual proof. In Section 4, we introduce the relevant group $G_{n}$ of automorphisms we will be quotienting by and describe its relation to Hirzebruch surfaces. Following this, we collect definitions of stacks used throughout the paper in Section 5 and prove basic facts about them. Next, we give numerous equivalent characterizations of the stack parameterizing $n$-coverings of genus 1 curves in Section 6 . The heart of the algebro-geometric argument occurs in Section 7, where we relate singular genus 1 curves to divisors on Hirzebruch surfaces. We then explain the connection between singular genus 1 curves and degree 2


Figure 1.1. A schematic diagram depicting the structure of the proof of Theorem 9.6, a slightly stronger form of Theorem 1.3.
covers in Section 8, which will enable us to connect the preceding discussion regarding genus 1 curves to quadratic field extensions. Using the analysis thus far, we deduce Theorem 9.6, and hence Theorem 1.3, in Section 9. In Section 10, when the base $B=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$, we connect the relevant $n$ covering groups to $n$-Selmer groups of number fields to finish the proof of Theorem 1.2. We conclude Section 10 with several examples, starting in Section 10.3.

See Figure 1.1 for a schematic depiction of how the proof of Theorem 9.6 fits together.

At various points the reader may find it useful to consult [14], which spells out some of the arguments of this paper in more detail.
1.10. Notation. We collect some notation used throughout the paper.
1.10.1. Notation for Hirzebruch surfaces. For $n \geq 3$, define $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}:=$ $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(n-2)\right)$ as the the Hirzebruch surface over Spec $\mathbb{Z}$. By construction, we have a factorization $\mathbb{F}_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{h} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$. More generally, for $B$ a base scheme, let $\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{B}$ denote $\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n-2)\right)$. When the base $B$ is clear from context, we denote $\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{B}$ simply by $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ and denote the projections $\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{B} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{P}_{B}^{1} \xrightarrow{h} B$ by $g$ and $h$. Consider the surjection $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n-2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}$ of sheaves on $\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}$. This surjection is unique up to scaling on $B$, and so defines a distinguished divisor $E \subset\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{B}$, whose class we denote by $e$. We call this divisor the directrix. We also refer to a section $\mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{B}$ not meeting $E$ as a codirectrix. If $\sigma: B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}$ is a

Table 1.1. Notation introduced in the paper

| Notation | Description | Defined in |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathscr{W}$ | Stack of Weierstrass curves | Def. 5.2 |
| $\widetilde{\mathscr{E}}$ | Universal curve over $\mathscr{W}$ | Def. 5.6 |
| $\mathscr{E}$ | Smooth locus of $\widetilde{\mathscr{E}}$ over $\mathscr{W}$ | Def. 5.6 |
| $\mathscr{W}_{\text {cusp }}$ | Substack of cuspidal curves in $\mathscr{W}$ | Def. 5.19 |
| $\mathscr{W}_{\text {node }}$ | Substack of nodal curves in $\mathscr{W}$ | Def. 5.19 |
| $\mathscr{W}_{\text {sing }}$ | Substack of singular curves in $\mathscr{W}$ | Def. 5.3 |
| $\widetilde{W}_{\text {sing }}$ | Stack of Weierstrass curves with a marked section in the singular locus | Def. 5.3 |
| $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {cusp }}$ | Substack of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$ parameterizing cuspidal curves | Def. 5.7 |
| $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {node }}$ | Substack of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$ parameterizing nodal curves | Def. 5.7 |
| $\mathscr{H}^{(n)}$ | Hilbert scheme of geometrically integral degree $n$ genus 1 curves in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ | Def. 5.13 |
| $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)}$ | Curves in $\mathscr{H}^{(n)}$ with a marked singular point | Def. 5.16 |
| $\mathscr{S}^{(n)}$ | Stack $n$-coverings for Weierstrass curves | Def. 5.11 |
| $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ | Stack of genus 1 degree $n$ curves | Def. 5.13 |
| $\mathscr{M}_{1, \text { node }}^{(n)}$ | Substack of $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ parameterizing nodal curves | Def. 5.19 |
| $\mathscr{M}_{1, \text { cusp }}^{(n)}$ | Substack of $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ parameterizing cuspidal curves | Def. 5.19 |
| $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ | Stack of genus 1 degree $n$ curves with a section in the singular locus | Def. 5.14 |
| $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { node }}^{(n)}$ | Substack of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ parameterizing nodal curves | Def. 5.15 |
| $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { cusp }}^{(n)}$ | Substack of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ parameterizing cuspidal curves | Def. 5.15 |
| $\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ | Scheme of smooth curves in the linear system $e+n f$ on $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ | Def. 5.24 |
| $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile,(n) }}$ | Stack of smooth curves in the linear system $e+n f$ on $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twists | Def. 5.28 |
| $V_{n}$ | The affine space associated to $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathscr{O}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}(n)\right)$ | Def. 4.1 |
| $E_{g}$ | The singular genus 1 curve associated to a degree 2 finite locally free map $g$ | Not. 8.1 |
| $V_{n}^{\text {Res } \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}$ | Open locus of $V_{n}$ for which the resultant is a unit | Def. 9.3 |
| $G_{n}$ | Group of automorphisms acting on $V_{n}$ | Def. 4.2 |
| $G_{n}^{\prime}$ | Subgroup of $G_{n}$ "fixing the base $\mathbb{P}^{1} "$ | Def. 4.2 |
| $U_{n}$ | Unipotent radical of $G_{n}$ | Def. 4.2 |
| $A_{n}$ | Projective quotient of $G_{n}$ | Def. 4.2 |
| $A_{n}^{\prime}$ | Projective quotient of $G_{n}^{\prime}$ | Def. 4.2 |
|  |  |  |

section of $h$, we use $f$ denote the class of the "fiber" divisor $g^{-1}(\sigma)$. See [2, Proposition IV.1] for general background on the Picard group of Hirzebruch surfaces.
1.10.2. Table of notation. For the reader's convenience, in Table 1.1 we collect notation introduced throughout the paper, roughly in order of appearance. The descriptions are intended to be terse and not precise.
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Figure 2.1. A visualization of why the existence of $\xi$ with $\operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)= \pm 1$ forces $q$ to be $n$-torsion.
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## 2. Direct Proofs of Theorem 1.1

We now state the generalization of Theorem 1.1 to orders and give two simple proofs. One may give a third proof using Theorem 9.6, as we do in [14, Section 3.3.14].

Theorem 2.1. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and fix an integral degree 2 free $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $R$ of discriminant $d$. A primitive quadratic form $q:=a x^{2}+$ $b x y+c y^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ of discriminant $d$ corresponds to an element in the subgroup $\mathrm{Cl}(R)[n] \subset \mathrm{Cl}(R)$ if and only if there exists a polynomial $\xi:=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{n} t_{i} x^{i} y^{n-i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ such that the resultant of $q$ and $\xi$ is either 1 or -1 .
2.2. Geometric proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $R$ and $q$ be as in Theorem 2.1. We wish to show $q$ is $n$-torsion if and only if there exists $\xi \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ with $\operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)= \pm 1$. We accomplish this by the following geometric construction, which is visualized in Figure 2.1. Let $X:=\operatorname{Spec} R$, so that $X \simeq \operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{Z}[x, y] /(q)$. Then, $q$ determines an embedding $i: X \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}$ corresponding to an invertible sheaf $\mathscr{L}_{q}:=i^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(1)$ on $X$. See the proof of [20, Theorem 1.4] in [20, Section 3] for further description of how this bijection works. An isomorphism $\phi: \mathscr{O}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{L}_{q}^{\otimes n}$ corresponds to a section $s \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathscr{L}_{q}^{\otimes n}\right)$ vanishing nowhere on $X$. The restriction map $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(n)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X, \mathscr{L}_{q}^{\otimes n}\right)$ is surjective because the cokernel injects into $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(n) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(X)^{\vee}\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(n-2)\right)=0$. Therefore, such an $s$ as above exists if and only if there exists $\xi \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(n)\right)$ restricting to $s$. Therefore, the existence of $\phi$ and $s$ is equivalent to the existence
of a section $\xi$ so that $V(\xi)$ does not meet $X=V(q)$. The condition that $V(\xi)$ does not meet $V(q)$ can be rephrased as $\operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)= \pm 1$.
2.3. Algebraic proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $I_{q}$ denote the ideal class corresponding to $q$. Using the standard correspondence between equivalence classes of quadratic forms and ideal classes in quadratic algebras, we can write $q=\frac{\operatorname{Nm}_{R / \mathbb{Z}}(-\beta x+\alpha y)}{\mathrm{Nm}_{R / \mathbb{Z}}(\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle)}$, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{O}_{K}$ and $I_{q}=\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$. For any given $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ homogeneous of degree $n$, we will show $\operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)=$ $\pm 1$ if and only if $I_{q}^{n}=(\xi(\alpha, \beta))$. This will imply the theorem because $I_{q}^{n}=\left\langle\alpha^{n}, \alpha^{n-1} \beta, \ldots, \beta^{n}\right\rangle$, and so if $I_{q}^{n}$ is principal, it must be generated by an element of the form $I_{q}^{n}=(\xi(\alpha, \beta))$ for some degree $n$ homogeneous $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$.

By multiplicativity of the resultant,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}\left(\operatorname{Nm}_{R / \mathbb{Z}}(-\beta x+\alpha y), \xi\right) & =\operatorname{Res}\left(\operatorname{Nm}_{R / \mathbb{Z}}(\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle) q, \xi\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Nm}_{R / \mathbb{Z}}(\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle)^{n} \operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\sigma$ denote the unique nontrivial automorphism of $R$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Using basic properties of the resultant, such as [16, Proposition 8.3],

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(\operatorname{Nm}_{R / \mathbb{Z}}(-\beta x+\alpha y), \xi\right)=\xi(\alpha, \beta) \cdot \sigma(\xi(\alpha, \beta))=\operatorname{Nm}_{R / \mathbb{Z}}(\xi(\alpha, \beta))
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{Nm}_{R / \mathbb{Z}}(\xi(\alpha, \beta))=\operatorname{Nm}_{R / \mathbb{Z}}(\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle)^{n} \operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)$. Since we always have $\xi(\alpha, \beta) \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle^{n}$, the two ideals $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle^{n}$ and $(\xi(\alpha, \beta))$ are equal if and only if $\operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)= \pm 1$.

## 3. The geometric bijection

In Section 2.2, we have already given a direct proof of Theorem 2.1. However, for the purposes of proving Theorem 9.6, we need a more precise bijection, established in Theorem 9.6, which tells us exactly when two pairs $(q, \xi)$ correspond to the same element of the class group. In this section, we will describe a geometric construction to explain when two pairs $(q, \xi)$ and $\left(q, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ as in Theorem 2.1 correspond to the same element of the class group. This construction takes as input a degree 2 finite free cover $g: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$, a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $X$, and an isomorphism $\iota: \mathscr{L}^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}$. The pair $(g:$ $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \mathscr{L})$ is determined by $q$ while $\iota$ is determined by the additional data of $\xi$. The construction outputs a particular divisor on a Hirzebruch surface, up to isomorphism. Whether $(q, \xi)$ and $\left(q, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ correspond to the same element of the class group is closely tied to whether they are related by the action of a certain group $G_{n}$. The group $G_{n}$ can be understood as a certain linearization of the automorphism group of the above mentioned Hirzebruch surface.


Figure 3.1. A visualization of the bijection of Section 3.1.
3.1. The construction on fibers. For the rest of this section, we assume $B=\operatorname{Spec} k$ is a field and $g: X \rightarrow B$ is a double cover with $X=B_{1} \amalg B_{2}$ so that $B_{1} \simeq B_{2} \simeq B$. Much of what follows easily generalizes to the case $B$ is arbitrary and $X$ is any degree 2 finite locally free cover, but we work in the above case to simplify the exposition.

We now give the geometric construction relating $(g: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} B, \mathscr{L}, \iota$ : $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}$ ) to certain smooth sections on a Hirzebruch surface. Figure 3.1 may be helpful in visualizing the geometric construction described in this subsection.

To start, we set up notation. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on $X$, (which, in this degenerate case must be isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{X}$,) and suppose we are given an isomorphism $\iota: \mathscr{L}^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}$. Recalling the notation for Hirzebruch surfaces from Section 1.10.1, we describe how to obtain a section of class $e+n f$ in the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ over $B$.

We now construct the desired section of class $e+n f$ in a series of steps. With reference to Figure 3.1, the line bundle $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}$ gives the map $X \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Saying this more precisely, the sheaf $g_{*} \mathscr{L}$ corresponds to a rank 2
vector space $V$ over $k$. There is a natural $n$-Veronese embedding $\mathbb{P} V \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n}$ realizing $\mathbb{P} V$ as a rational normal curve $R$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.

Next, we use the trivialization $\iota: \mathscr{L}^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}$ to construct the line $L$ containing the image $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$. The surjection $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} V=\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{L}\right) \rightarrow$ $g_{*}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}\right) \xrightarrow{g_{*} L} g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ gives a line $L$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Note that $L \cap R$ consists of two points corresponding to the two further surjections $g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{B_{i}} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{B}$ associated to the inclusions $B_{i} \rightarrow X$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$.

Having constructed the line $L$, we now use the structure map $\mathscr{O}_{B} \rightarrow g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ to obtain a point $p$ on $L$ missing $X$. Let $Q$ denote the cokernel of $\mathscr{O}_{B} \rightarrow$ $g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$. We obtain a composite $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} V \rightarrow g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow Q$ which corresponds to a point $p$ on $L$. We claim this point $p$ is not one of the two intersection points $L \cap R$. Indeed, the two intersection points with $R$ correspond to two idempotent basis vectors $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ associated to the inclusions $B_{i} \rightarrow X$, while the point $p$ corresponds to the diagonal inclusion $k \rightarrow k e_{1} \oplus k e_{2} \simeq W$ sending $1 \mapsto e_{1}+e_{2}$.

We next explain why projecting $R$ from $p$ yields a curve $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ lying in a cone over a rational normal curve $R^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$. Project $R$ from the point $p$ to obtain a singular genus 1 curve $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ from $R$ by gluing the two points $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ of $L \cap R$. Let $\tau$ denote the singular point of $C$. We claim that in fact $C$ lies in the cone over a rational normal curve in $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$. To see this, note that further projecting $C$ from $\tau$ is equivalent to projecting the original curve $R$ from the line $L$. Since $L$ meets $R$ in two points, this projection is a rational normal curve $R^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$. Therefore, the projection of $C$ from $\tau$ is a rational normal curve, and so $C$ lies in the cone $F^{\prime}$ over $R^{\prime}$ passing through $\tau$.

Finally, we blow up $C$ and $F^{\prime}$ at $\tau$ to obtain the desired divisor on a Hirzebruch surface of class $e+n f$. When we blow $F^{\prime}$ up at $\tau$, we will obtain a Hirzebruch surface isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$. One can also verify that the blow up of $C$ at $\tau$ is then a smooth curve in the linear system $e+n f$ on $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$. This is the completes our construction. See [14, Section 1.5.2] for a detailed generalization of the above construction to the case $B=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$.

## 4. The automorphism group scheme of a Hirzebruch surface

In this section, we will describe a certain homogeneous space $V_{n}$ for a group action $G_{n}$ which will enable us to count $n$-torsion elements of class groups. In Lemma 4.3, we identify the quotient of $G_{n}$ by a central copy of $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ with the automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$.

To describe $G_{n}$ directly, it is easiest to describe it in terms of its left action on the rank $n+4$ free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(n)\right)$. This module will be one of the central objects of this paper, and so we give the corresponding scheme a name.
Definition 4.1. For $n \geq 3$, define $V_{n}:=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(n)\right)\right)$.

Choosing a basis $\mathbb{Z} x \oplus \mathbb{Z} y$ for $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(1)\right)$, we can identify points of $V_{n}$ with pairs $(q, \xi):=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2} a_{i} x^{i} y^{2-j}, \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{j} x^{j} y^{n-j}\right)$ for $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq i \leq 2,0 \leq j \leq n$. We can now realize actions of $\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{a}^{n-1}$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$ on $V_{n}$ as those induced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{G}_{m} \times V_{n} \longrightarrow V_{n} \\
&(\chi,(q, \xi)) \longmapsto(\chi q, \chi \xi),  \tag{4.1}\\
& \mathbb{G}_{a}^{n-1} \times V_{n} \longrightarrow V_{n} \\
&\left(\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-2}\right),(q, \xi)\right) \longmapsto\left(q, \xi+\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha_{i} x^{i} y^{n-2-i} q\right),  \tag{4.2}\\
& \mathrm{GL}_{2} \times V_{n} \longrightarrow V_{n} \\
&\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right),\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2} a_{i} x^{i} y^{2-j}, \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{j} x^{j} y^{n-j}\right)\right) \\
& \longmapsto \frac{1}{a d-b c}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2} a_{i}(a x+b y)^{i}(c x+d y)^{2-j}, \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{j}(a x+b y)^{j}(c x+d y)^{n-j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 4.2. Define $G_{n}$ as the subgroup of GL $\left(V_{n}\right)$ generated the subgroups $\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{a}^{n-1}$, and $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$ induced by the actions defined in (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3), respectively. Define $G_{n}^{\prime} \subset G_{n}$ as the subgroup generated by $\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{a}^{n-1}$, and the central $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ sitting inside $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$ under the actions (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3). Define $U_{n} \subset G_{n}$ as the subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{a}^{n-1} \subset G_{n}$ coming from (4.2).

Let $A_{n}$ denote the image of $G_{n}$ under the map to $\operatorname{GL}\left(V_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}\left(V_{n}\right)$ and $A_{n}^{\prime}$ denote the image of $G_{n}^{\prime}$ under the map $\operatorname{GL}\left(V_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}\left(V_{n}\right)$.

So far, it is not clear whether the group $G_{n}$, which is generated by $U_{n}, \mathbb{G}_{m}$, and $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$, contains elements which are not products of elements of these three subgroups. The following lemma establishes that that all element of $G_{n}$ are products of elements from these three subgroups and also relates $G_{n}$ to the automorphism group of a Hirzebruch surface.

Lemma 4.3. For any $n \geq 3$,
(1) $G_{n}^{\prime} \simeq U_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}^{2}$,
(2) we have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow G_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow G_{n} \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the induced map $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2} \rightarrow G_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$ by its central $\mathbb{G}_{m} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$,
(3) $G_{n} \simeq U_{n} \rtimes\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}\right)\right)$,
(4) $A_{n} \simeq U_{n} \rtimes \mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$ and is identified with the automorphism group scheme Aut $_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. We first prove (1). This will follow from the direct calculation that for any ring $R$ and any $g, g^{\prime} \in U_{n}(R)$ and $h, h^{\prime} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}^{2}(R)$ we have $h^{-1} g h \in$ $U_{n}(R)$. To check this identity, we may work on a flat cover of $R$, and hence assume the $R$ points $h, h^{\prime} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}^{2}(R) \subset\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}\right)(R)$ lift to $R$ points of $\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2}$. Let $h$ be given by $(\chi, \zeta) \in \mathbb{G}_{m}^{2}(R) \subset\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2}\right)(R)$ and let $g$ correspond to a tuple $\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-2}\right)$ as in (4.2). Define $\alpha:=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} y^{i} x^{n-2-i}$. Then, for $(q, \xi) \in V_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{-1} g h(q, \xi)=h^{-1} g\left(\chi q, \chi \zeta^{n-2} \xi\right) \\
&=h^{-1}\left(\chi q, \chi \zeta^{n-2} \xi+\alpha \chi q\right)=\left(q, \xi+\alpha \zeta^{2-n} q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $h^{-1} g h=\zeta^{2-n} \cdot g \in U_{n}(R)$.
The above calculation implies that we may find $h^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}^{2}(R)$ so that $(g h)\left(g^{\prime} h^{\prime}\right)=\left(g g^{\prime}\right)\left(h^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore every element in $G_{n}^{\prime}$ is a product of an element of $U_{n}$ and an element of $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{2}$. This shows $G_{n}^{\prime}$ is an extension of $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{2}$ by $U_{n}$, and it is in fact a semidirect product because $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{2}$ embeds in $G_{n}^{\prime}$ by construction.

Next, we check (2). As a first step, we verify $G_{n}^{\prime} \subset G_{n}$ is a normal subgroup and every element of $G_{n}$ can be written as a product of an element of $G_{n}^{\prime}$ and an element of $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$. Analogously to our computation for (1), it is enough to show that for any ring $R$ and any $h \in$ $G_{n}^{\prime}(R), g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}(R)$, we have $g h g^{-1} \in G_{n}^{\prime}(R)$. Again, to check this identity, we may work on a flat cover of $R$ so as to assume $g$ lifts to a point of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. By construction of $G_{n}^{\prime}, h$ acts on the quotient $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2)\right)$ of $V_{n}=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(n)\right)$ only by scaling via the central copy of $\mathbb{G}_{m} \subset \operatorname{GL}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2)\right)\right)$. Via a direct calculation, the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ acting via this central $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ on $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2)\right)$ is precisely the central $\mathbb{G}_{m} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2}$, which already factors through $G_{n}^{\prime} \subset G_{n}$. Therefore, $G_{n}^{\prime}$ is characterized as the subgroup of $G_{n}$ whose action on $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2)\right)$ factors through the central copy of $\mathbb{G}_{m} \subset \mathrm{GL}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(2)\right)\right)$. Therefore, $G_{n}^{\prime}$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{n}$.

We conclude the verification of (2) by showing $G_{n} / G_{n}^{\prime} \simeq \mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ and identifying the composition $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2} \rightarrow G_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2}$. The quotient $G_{n} / G_{n}^{\prime}$ is generated by $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$. As mentioned above, $G_{n}^{\prime}$ is characterized as the subgroup of $G_{n}$ which acts by the central $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ on $\operatorname{GL}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2)\right)\right)$. However, the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$ intersecting the central $\mathbb{G}_{m} \subset$ $\operatorname{GL}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2)\right)\right)$ is the central $\mathbb{G}_{m} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$. Therefore, the quotient $G_{n} / G_{n}^{\prime}$ is identified with $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}\right) / \mathbb{G}_{m} \simeq \mathrm{PGL}_{2}$, with the induced map $\mathrm{GL}_{2} \rightarrow G_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ the natural quotient map by the central $\mathbb{G}_{m} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$.

Now, we check (3). Since we have already shown $U_{n}$ is the unipotent radical of $G_{n}^{\prime}$, it is a characteristic subgroup, i.e., it is preserved by automorphisms of $G_{n}^{\prime}$. (Although there may not be a good notion of unipotent radical for general relative group schemes, here we simply mean that $U_{n}$ is a flat subgroup scheme of $G_{n}$ which base changes to the unipotent radical on every geometric fiber over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$.) Since $G_{n}^{\prime}$ is normal in $G_{n}$, and $U_{n} \subset G_{n}^{\prime}$ is a characteristic subgroup, we obtain $U_{n}$ is normal in $G_{n}$. The quotient of $G_{n}$ by $U_{n}$ is then generated by $\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$ induced by (4.3) together with the $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ of (4.1), which is central in $G_{n}$. Because $\mathbb{G}_{m} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}=1$, this quotient $G_{n} / U_{n}$ is $\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$. Since the quotient $G_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}$ has a section, it follows that $G_{n} \simeq U_{n} \rtimes\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2} / \mu_{n-2}\right)\right)$.

Finally, the first part of (4) follows from (3) because, by definition, $A_{n}$ is the quotient of $G_{n}$ by its central copy of central $\mathbb{G}_{m}$. The identification with $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}}$ was shown in [15, Lemma 2.5].

## 5. Defining various stacks

In this section, we construct various moduli stacks related to genus 1 curves. The relation between genus 1 curves and degree 2 covers is not described until much later in the construction of Notation 8.1. See Table 1.1 for pithy descriptions of many of the stacks we will construct. We begin by constructing stacks related to Weierstrass curves starting in Section 5.1, then construct stacks related to $n$-coverings of the smooth locus of Weierstrass curves starting in Section 5.9, and finally construct stacks related to divisors on Hirzebruch surfaces starting in Section 5.21.
5.1. Weierstrass stacks. We begin by defining the stack of Weierstrass curves. By this we mean genus 1 geometrically integral curves with a section in the smooth locus. We also define various substacks such as the nodal and cuspidal substacks.

Definition 5.2. We define the stack of Weierstrass curves $\mathscr{W}$ as the fibered category whose points are tuples $(B, f: C \rightarrow B, e: B \rightarrow C)$ where $f$ : $C \rightarrow B$ is a proper flat finitely presented genus 1 curves with geometrically integral fibers and $e: B \rightarrow C$ isa section to $f$, (i.e., $f \circ e=\operatorname{id}_{B}$,) lying in the smooth locus of $f$. The morphisms $(B, f: C \rightarrow B, e: B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow$ $\left(B^{\prime}, f^{\prime}: C^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}, e^{\prime}: B^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime}\right)$ in this fibered category are morphisms $\alpha: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}, \beta: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ such that

satisfies $\beta \circ e=e^{\prime} \circ \alpha, \alpha \circ f=f^{\prime} \circ \beta$ and $C \simeq B \times{ }_{B^{\prime}} C^{\prime}$.

Next, we introduce the stack of Weierstrass curves with a marked singular point. Throughout, we will indicate the marking of this singular point by including a tilde in the notation, and we will omit the tilde when we do not mark the singular point.
Definition 5.3. Let $\widetilde{W}_{\text {sing }}$ denote the fibered category whose $B$ points are tuples $(B, f: C \rightarrow B, e: B \rightarrow C, \tau: B \rightarrow C)$ where $(B, f: C \rightarrow B, e$ : $B \rightarrow C) \in \mathscr{W}(B)$ and $\tau: B \rightarrow C$ is a morphism such that $f \circ \tau=\mathrm{id}$ and $\tau$ factors through the singular locus of $f$. Morphisms $(B, f: C \rightarrow B, e: B \rightarrow$ $C, \tau: B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}, f^{\prime}: C^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}, e^{\prime}: B^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}: B^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime}\right)$ consist of maps $\alpha: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}, \beta: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ such that the square

satisfies $C \simeq B \times{ }_{B^{\prime}} C^{\prime}, \beta \circ e=e^{\prime} \circ \alpha$, and $\beta \circ \tau=\tau^{\prime} \circ \alpha$.
Let $\widetilde{W}_{\text {sing }} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ denote the natural map forgetting the section $\tau$, and let $\mathscr{W}_{\text {sing }}$ denote the image of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$ in $\mathscr{W}$.

Next, we wish to show the above defined stacks are algebraic. To do so, we will construct them as quotients of certain Hilbert schemes, which we introduce next.

Definition 5.4. Let $\mathcal{H}^{1,3 t}$ denote the flag Hilbert scheme parameterizing $p \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ where $p$ is a section and $X$ is a relative plane cubic. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\circ, 1,3 t}$ denote the locally closed subscheme of $\mathcal{H}^{1,3 t}$ parameterizing those $p \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that $X$ is geometrically integral, $p \in X$ lies in the smooth locus of $X$, and $p$ is a flex point of $X$ (i.e., the tangent line to $X$ at $p$ meets $X$ in a subscheme of degree 3 ).

Let $\mathcal{H}^{1,1,3 t}$ denote the flag Hilbert scheme parameterizing $(p, q, X)$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ two points, $X$ a plane cubic, and $p \in X, q \in X$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\text {sing }}^{\circ, 1,3 t}$ denote the locally closed subscheme of $\mathcal{H}^{1,1,3 t}$ such that $p$ lies in the singular locus of $X, X$ is geometrically integral, and $q$ is a flex point in the smooth locus of $X$.

Both $\mathcal{H}^{\circ, 1,3 t}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\text {sing }}^{\circ, 1,3 t}$ have actions of $\mathrm{PGL}_{3}$ induced by its action on the ambient $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
Lemma 5.5. We have equivalences $\mathscr{W} \simeq\left[\mathcal{H}^{\circ, 1,3 t} / \mathrm{PGL}_{3}\right]$ and $\widetilde{W}_{\text {sing }} \simeq$ $\left[\mathcal{H}_{\text {sing }}^{\circ, 1,3 t} / \mathrm{PGL}_{3}\right]$. In particular, $\mathscr{W}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$ are algebraic stacks.
Proof. First, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}^{0,1,3 t}}$ denote the functor assigning to a scheme $B$ the set of geometrically integral genus 1 curves $f: C \rightarrow B$ with a flex point $e$ in the smooth locus together with an isomorphism $f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{C}(3 e) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{B}^{\oplus 3}$. Since
$\mathcal{H}^{\circ, 1,3 t} \simeq\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{H}^{\circ, 1,3 t}} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right]$ with $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ scaling $\mathscr{O}_{B}$, and $\mathscr{W} \simeq\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{H}^{\circ, 1,3 t}} / \mathrm{GL}_{3}\right]$, it follows that $\mathscr{W} \simeq\left[\mathcal{H}^{\circ, 1,3 t} / \mathrm{PGL}_{3}\right]$.

The second isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }} \simeq\left[\mathcal{H}_{\text {sing }}^{0,1,3 t} / \mathrm{PGL}_{3}\right]$ follows similarly because $\mathcal{H}_{\text {sing }}^{\circ, 1,3 t}$ represents the functor assigning to a scheme $B$ the set of geometrically integral genus 1 curves $f: C \rightarrow B$ with a flex point $e$ in the smooth locus and $\tau$ in the singular locus, together with an isomorphism $f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{C}(3 e) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{B}^{\oplus 3}$, modulo the scaling action of $\mathbb{G}_{m}$.

Definition 5.6. Define $\overline{\mathscr{E}} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ as the universal relative proper genus 1 curve, which is the quotient of the universal curve over $\mathcal{H}^{\circ, 1,3 t}$ by the $\mathrm{PGL}_{3}$ action as in Lemma 5.5. Define $\mathscr{E} \subset \overline{\mathscr{E}}$ as the open substack given as the smooth locus of $\overline{\mathscr{E}} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$.

We next define the nodal and cuspidal substacks of $\widetilde{W}_{\text {sing }}$. Loosely speaking, the nodal substack $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {node }}$ is the open substack of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$ parameterizing nodal curves, while the cuspidal substack $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {cusp }}$ is the closed substack of $\widetilde{W}_{\text {sing }}$ parameterizing cuspidal curves.

Definition 5.7. Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {node }}$ be the substack of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$ parameterizing those tuples $(B, f: C \rightarrow B, e: B \rightarrow C, \tau: B \rightarrow C) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}(B)$ such that $\tau$ maps $B$ isomorphically to the singular locus of $f$. Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {cusp }}$ denote the substack of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$ defined as the fibered category whose fiber over $B$ is a tuple ( $B, f: C \rightarrow B, e: B \rightarrow C, \tau: B \rightarrow C$ ) as in (5.3) with the following property: Let $X \subset C$ denote the singular locus of $f: C \rightarrow B$. Then $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\tau(B)}\right)$ is not the pushforward of a sheaf from any proper closed subscheme of $B$.

Remark 5.8. We note that $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {node }} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$ is an open substack and the substack $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {cusp }} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$ is a closed substack. Indeed, $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {node }}$ is open as it can be described as the substack where the singular locus of $f: C \rightarrow B$ has degree 1. Also, $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {cusp }}$ is closed as it can be defined as the substack where the singular locus has degree more than 1, i.e., where $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\tau(B)}\right)$ of Definition 5.7 is supported.
5.9. Stacks of $\boldsymbol{n}$-coverings. We next define various stacks and schemes associated to $n$-coverings of Weierstrass curves. Recall from Definition 5.6 that $\mathscr{E}$ is the smooth locus of the universal curve over $\mathscr{W}$. It turns out this relative curve is naturally a relative group scheme.

Lemma 5.10. The natural map $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\tilde{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}}^{0}$ sending a section $p$ to $\mathscr{O}_{\bar{E}}(p-e)$, for $e$ the identity section, is an equivalence. This gives $\mathscr{E}$ the structure of a commutative group, and in particular endows it with a notion of multiplication by $n$.

Proof. This may be verified on geometric fibers by the fibral isomorphism criterion [12, 17.9.5]. It is then straightforward to directly check for the three cases of smooth, nodal, and cuspidal genus 1 curves over algebraically closed fields $k$. For example, for smooth curves, this the usual isomorphism of an elliptic curve $E$ over $k$ with $\operatorname{Pic}_{E / k}^{0}$.

Definition 5.11. Let $\mathscr{E}$ act on itself via the multiplication by $n$ map $\mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathscr{E}$ via Lemma 5.10 relatively over $\mathscr{W}$. Define the stack of $n$-coverings of Weierstrass curves $\mathscr{S}^{(n)}:=[\mathscr{E} / n \mathscr{E}]$ as the quotient stack of $\mathscr{E}$ with respect to the above action of $\mathscr{E}$ on itself.

Example 5.12. When $n=1$, we have $\mathscr{S}^{(n)} \simeq \mathscr{W}$.

It turns out that $\mathscr{S}^{(n)}$ is actually isomorphic to a certain quotient of a Hilbert scheme by an action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$, which we call $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ and introduce next.

Definition 5.13. Let $n \geq 3$ and let $\mathscr{H}^{(n)}$ denote the open subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ whose geometric points are geometrically integral genus 1 degree $n$ curves. Note this is indeed open by [11, Théorème 12.2 .4 (viii)].

The embedding of the universal family over $\mathscr{H}^{(n)}$ into $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ induces an action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ on $\mathscr{H}^{(n)}$. We next introduce analogs of the constructions we have just made where we additionally mark a section in the singular locus of the genus 1 curve.

Definition 5.14. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$. Define $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ as the fibered category whose $B$-points are tuples $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow C)$ such that
(1) $f: P \rightarrow B$ is a Brauer-Severi scheme of relative dimension $n-1$ over $B$,
(2) $\iota: C \rightarrow P$ is a closed immersion,
(3) $f \circ \iota: C \rightarrow B$ is a proper flat finitely presented arithmetic genus 1 curve with geometrically integral fibers which has degree $n$ fppf locally on $B$,
(4) $\tau: B \rightarrow C$ a morphism so that $f \circ \iota \circ \tau=$ id so that $\tau$ factors through the singular locus of $f \circ \iota$.

A morphism $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}, f^{\prime}: P^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}, \iota^{\prime}:\right.$ $C^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}: B^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ ) is given by maps $\alpha: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}, \beta: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}, \gamma: C \rightarrow$
$C^{\prime}$ so that all squares in

are fiber squares with $\gamma \circ \tau=\tau^{\prime} \circ \alpha$.
We next define the nodal and cuspidal loci of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}, \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { node }}^{(n)}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { cusp }}^{(n)}$. The argument for why these are open an closed substacks of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ is completely analogous to the argument given in in the case of Weierstrass curves in Remark 5.8.

Definition 5.15. For $n \geq 3$, let $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { node }}^{(n)}$ be the open substack of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ parameterizing those tuples $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow C) \in$ $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}(B)$ such that $\tau$ maps $B$ isomorphically to the singular locus of $f \circ \iota$. Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { cusp }}^{(n)}$ denote the closed substack of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ defined as the fibered category whose fiber over $B$ is a tuple $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow$ $C)$ as in Definition 5.14 with the following property: Let $X \subset C$ denote the singular locus of $f: C \rightarrow B$. Then $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\tau(B)}\right)$ is not the pushforward of a sheaf from any proper closed subscheme of $B$.

In order to show $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ is an algebraic stack, we will construct it as the quotient of a certain Hilbert scheme by $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$. We now define this Hilbert scheme.

Definition 5.16. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)}$ denote the functor whose $B$ points are $\left(B, \iota: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{B}^{n-1}, \tau: B \rightarrow C\right)$ defined as follows. Let $f: \mathbb{P}_{B}^{n-1} \rightarrow$ $B$ denote the structure morphism. Then $\iota: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{B}^{n-1}$ is a closed immersion, $f \circ \iota: C \rightarrow B$ a proper flat finitely presented arithmetic genus 1 degree $n$ curve with geometrically integral fibers, and $\tau: B \rightarrow C$ a morphism so that $f \circ \iota \circ \tau=$ id such that $\tau$ factors through the singular locus of $f \circ \iota$.

Note that $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)}$ is represented by a scheme as it is a locally closed subscheme of a flag Hilbert scheme.

There is a natural map $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ sending a $B$-point of $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)}$, $\left(B, \iota: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{B}^{n-1}, \tau: B \rightarrow C\right)$, to the tuple $\left(B, f: \mathbb{P}_{B}^{n-1} \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{P}_{B}^{n-1}, \tau: B \rightarrow C\right)$, considered as a point over $B$ in the fibered category $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$. Observe that $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ acts naturally on $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)}$ via its action on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

By definition of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$, the map $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ is invariant for this action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$. Therefore, we obtain a map $\phi:\left[\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n}\right] \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$. Corollary 5.17. For $n \geq 3$, the $\operatorname{map} \phi:\left[\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n}\right] \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ constructed above is an equivalence of fibered categories. In particular, $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ is an algebraic stack.

Proof. We construct the inverse map. Given a point $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota$ : $C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow C)$ of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ over $B$, we need to construct a $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ torsor over this point with a $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ equivariant map to $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)}$. Indeed, because $P$ is a Brauer-Severi scheme, we have a $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ torsor $T:=\operatorname{isom}_{B}\left(\mathbb{P}_{B}^{n}, P\right)$ over $B[13,8.1]$. By the universal property of isom, we obtain an isomorphism $P_{T} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{T}^{n-1}$. Pulling back $C$ to $T$ gives a closed subscheme $C_{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{T}^{n-1}$ which has degree $n$ because it had degree $n$ over $B$ fppf locally. Altogether, this yields the desired $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ equivariant map $T \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)}$ which is inverse to $\phi$.

We next introduce various nodal and cuspidal substacks of $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$. The following lemma will be used to define nodal and cuspidal loci without a marked section.
Lemma 5.18. For $n \geq 3$, the maps $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \mathrm{sing}}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ are finite. Similarly, the map $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ is finite.

Proof. The idea will be to use the valuative criterion for properness. We will begin with verifying the statement for $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$. Using Corollary 5.17 the map $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ is in fact the quotient of a map of Hilbert schemes $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{(n)}$ by the respective $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ actions. Therefore, it is enough to check $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{(n)}$ is finite. We will do so by checking it is proper and quasi-finite. Quasi-finiteness follows because the singular locus of any geometrically integral genus 1 curve over a field is quasi-finite. The valuative criterion for properness is also readily verified because given a genus 1 curve $E$ over a discrete valuation ring $R$ with a $\operatorname{map} \tau_{K}: \operatorname{Spec} K(R) \rightarrow E$ in the singular locus of $E \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$, the closure of $\tau_{K}$ in $E$ defines the unique extension of $\tau_{K}$ to a section in the singular locus of $E \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$.

The proof that $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ is finite is analogous, where one uses Lemma 5.5 and the map of covers $\mathcal{H}_{\text {sing }}^{\circ, 1,3 t} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\circ, 1,3 t}$ in place of $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{(n)}$.

Since the maps $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ and $\widetilde{W}_{\text {sing }} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ are finite by Lemma 5.18, and in particular proper, we can make sense of the nodal and cuspidal loci inside $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ and $\mathscr{W}$ as the images of those in $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ and
$\widetilde{W}_{\text {sing }}$. One can alternatively define these loci in terms of their schematic covers by respective Hilbert schemes.

Definition 5.19. For $n \geq 3$, let $f_{n}: \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ be the natural map forgetting the singular section and define $\mathscr{M}_{1, \text { cusp }}^{(n)}$ as the closed substack of $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ which is the image $f_{n}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { cusp }}^{(n)}\right)$. Let $\mathscr{M}_{1, \text { node }}^{(n)} \subset \mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ denote the locally closed substack given as $f_{n}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1}(n\right.$ sing $)-\mathscr{M}_{1, \text { cusp }}^{(n)}$.

Similarly, let $f: \widetilde{W}_{\text {sing }} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ denote the projection forgetting the singular section, let $\mathscr{W}_{\text {cusp }}$ denote the closed substack of $\mathscr{W}$ given by $f\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {cusp }}\right)$ and let $\mathscr{W}_{\text {node }}$ denote the locally closed substack of $\mathscr{W}$ given by $f\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}\right)-\mathscr{W}_{\text {cusp }}$.

We informally say a point of $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ or $\mathscr{W}$ lies in the nodal locus if it factors through $\mathscr{M}_{1, \text { node }}^{(n)}$ or $\mathscr{W}_{\text {node }}$ and lies in the cuspidal locus if it factors through $\mathscr{M}_{1, \text { cusp }}^{(n)}$ or $\mathscr{W}_{\text {cusp }}$.

To conclude our discussion of $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ for the moment, we note the following lemma, which will allow us to lift certain points of $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ to points of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$.

Lemma 5.20. Let $B$ be a normal integral scheme with generic point $\eta$ and let $n \geq 3$. If $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ is a map such that $\eta$ factors through $\mathscr{M}_{1, \text { node }}^{(n)}$, then $\phi$ factors through $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$.

Proof. The map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ corresponds to a proper flat family of genus 1 curves $C \rightarrow B$ with a closed embedding $\iota: C \rightarrow P$ for $f: P \rightarrow B$ an ( $n-1$ )-dimensional Brauer-Severi scheme over $B$. Further, by assumption, the generic fiber is a nodal curve.

By definition of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$, we only need produce a section $\tau: B \rightarrow C$ contained in the singular locus of $f \circ \iota: C \rightarrow B$. Because the generic fiber of $f \circ \iota$ is nodal by assumption, a local calculation shows that the singular locus over the generic point of $B$ maps isomorphically to the generic point of $B$.

Let $Z \subset C$ denote the singular locus of the map $f \circ \iota$. Let $\widetilde{Z}$ denote the normalization of $Z$. Then, $\widetilde{Z} \rightarrow B$ is a finite birational map of normal integral schemes, hence an isomorphism. By inverting this isomorphism and composing with the map $\widetilde{Z} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow C$, we obtain the desired section $\tau: B \rightarrow C$ such that $f \circ \iota \circ \tau=\mathrm{id}$. Note that $\tau(B)$ factors through the singular locus of $C$ because $\tau(B)$ is closed by properness of $\tau$ and the generic point of $B$ maps to the singular locus of $f \circ \iota$ by assumption.
5.21. The stack of Hirzebruch surface sections. We now define various stacks and schemes relating to sections on Hirzebruch surfaces. One of the main tasks of this paper is to connect these to the above stack of $n$-coverings, $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$. We accomplish this in Theorem 7.22.

Recall the notation for Hirzebruch surfaces from Section 1.10.1.
Notation 5.22. The Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ has an invertible sheaf $\mathscr{N}:=$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(1) \otimes g^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2)$. Let $\mathscr{F}:=(h \circ g)_{*} \mathscr{N}$. By construction, $\mathscr{F} \simeq$ $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}}(n)\right)$.

Remark 5.23. A map $B \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \mathscr{F}$ corresponds to a flat finitely presented family $X \rightarrow B$ with an embedding $X \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{B}$ with each fiber in the linear system associated to $\mathscr{N}$ of Notation 5.22. This yields a description of $\mathbb{P} \mathscr{F}$ as a subscheme of a component of the Hilbert scheme of subschemes of $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ over Spec $\mathbb{Z}$. There is a corresponding universal family $\mathcal{U}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \subset$ $\mathbb{P} \mathscr{F} \times \mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ with projection map $\pi: \mathcal{U}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \mathscr{F}$.

We next define $\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile,(n) }}$ as the subscheme of $\mathbb{P} \mathscr{F}$ parameterizing smooth members of the linear system associated to $\mathscr{N}$.

Definition 5.24. With $\mathcal{U}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ as defined in Remark 5.23 , let $Z \subset$ $\mathcal{U}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ denote the singular locus of $\pi: \mathcal{U}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \mathscr{F}$ and let $\pi(Z)$ denote the image of $Z$ in $\mathbb{P} \mathscr{F}$. Define $\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}:=\mathbb{P} \mathscr{F}-\pi(Z)$.

We will soon define the stack $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }}(n)$ in Definition 5.28. We will later see this stack is equivalent to $\left[\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile,(n) }} / \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n} / \mathbb{Z}}\right]$ in Lemma 5.30. This stack $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ will involve twists of Hirzebruch surfaces, which we now define.

Definition 5.25. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $B$ a scheme, we define an $n$-Hirzebruch twist over $B$ as a tuple $(B, h: X \rightarrow B, g: F \rightarrow X)$ where
(1) $h: X \rightarrow B$ is a 1 -dimensional Brauer-Severi scheme over $B$,
(2) $g: F \rightarrow X$ is a relative dimension 1 projective bundle over $X^{1}$ such that there is an fppf cover $B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ having the property that $B^{\prime} \times_{B} F \simeq\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)_{B^{\prime}}$.

A basic property of Hirzebruch surfaces that continues to hold for $n$ Hirzebruch twists is the following.

Lemma 5.26. For any $n \geq 1$, any $n$-Hirzebruch twist $F \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{g} B$ possesses a relative effective Cartier divisor $E \subset F$ and an invertible sheaf $\mathscr{M}$ satisfying the following property: for $B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ a cover with $F_{B^{\prime}} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{n}$, the pullback of $E$ to $B^{\prime}$ is the relative directrix on $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ over $B^{\prime}$ and the pullback of $\mathscr{M}$ has class $2 f$ over $B^{\prime}$.

[^1]Proof. We may explicitly take $\mathscr{M}$ to be $h^{*}\left(\Omega_{X / B}^{1}\right)^{\vee}$ on $F$. The directrix $E^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{F}_{n-2}$, an effective Cartier divisor, is preserved scheme theoretically by automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ as it is the unique section in the unique divisor class of negative self intersection. Therefore, $E^{\prime}$ descends to the desired subscheme $E \subset F$.

Notation 5.27. In light of Lemma 5.26, we will continue to use $e$ to denote the class of a directrix $E$ on an $n$-Hirzebruch twist, and we use $2 f$ to denote the class of $\mathscr{M}$ as in Lemma 5.26. Keep in mind there may be no invertible sheaf $\mathscr{N}$ with $\mathscr{N}^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathscr{M}$, hence no sheaf "of class $f$ " on $F$.
Definition 5.28. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$. Define $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$, the stack of volatility smiles, as the fibered category over schemes whose objects over a scheme $B$ are tuples $(B, h: X \rightarrow B, g: F \rightarrow X, i: Z \rightarrow F)$ where $(B, h: X \rightarrow$ $B, g: F \rightarrow X)$ is an $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twist over $B$ and $i: Z \rightarrow F$ is a closed subscheme which fppf locally on $B$ induces a map to $\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile,(n) }}$; in other words there is an fppf cover $B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ having the property that $B^{\prime} \times_{B} F \simeq\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{B^{\prime}}$ and $B^{\prime} \times_{B} Z \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{B^{\prime}}$ is a subscheme smooth over $B^{\prime}$ which lies in the linear system associated to $\mathscr{N}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$, as defined in Notation 5.22.

A morphism $(B, h: X \rightarrow B, g: F \rightarrow X, i: Z \rightarrow F) \rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}, h^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.B^{\prime}, g^{\prime}: F^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}, i^{\prime}: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow F^{\prime}\right)$ consists of maps $\alpha: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}, \beta: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}, \gamma:$ $F \rightarrow F^{\prime}, \delta: Z \rightarrow Z^{\prime}$ making all squares in the diagram

fiber squares.
We next wish to show $\mathscr{V}$ smile, $(n)$ is algebraic for $n \geq 3$. (In fact $\mathscr{V}$ smile, $(n)$ can be analogously defined for $n=1,2$ and shown to be algebraic in those cases as well. However, this requires a separate definition, and we omit it because we will not need it.) To show $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ is algebraic, we will construct an equivalence $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \rightarrow\left[\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} / \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}}\right]$. The first step to doing so is to understand the stack of $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twists. We then bootstrap by equipping these twists with a section of class $e+n f$. The next lemma verifies that the stack of $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twists is equivalent to the stack quotient $\left[\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z} / \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n} / \mathbb{Z}}\right]$.

Lemma 5.29. Let $B$ be a scheme. There is an equivalence of categories between Aut $_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / B}$ torsors over $B$ and $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twists $F \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{h} B$.

Proof. Given $F \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$, we obtain an associated Aut $_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / B}$ torsor $\operatorname{isom}_{B}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}, F\right)$.

Conversely, given an $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / B}$ torsor $T$, we describe the inverse construction by producing the associated $F \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$. First, we construct $F$ as the contracted product $T \times{ }^{\text {Aut }_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / B}} \mathbb{F}_{n-2}$, which we recall is the quotient of $T \times \mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ by the functorial action of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / B}$ given by an element $g$ sending $(t, x) \mapsto\left(t g^{-1}, g x\right)$. A priori, this quotient is only an algebraic space.

Recall that by Lemma 4.3, $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / B}$ can be written as an extension of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ by a certain normal subgroup $A_{n}^{\prime}$, as defined in Definition 4.2. Let $T / A_{n}^{\prime}$ denote the quotient algebraic space which we note has an action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ and define $X:=T / A_{n}^{\prime} \times{ }^{\mathrm{PGL}_{2}} \mathbb{P}^{1}$. There are maps $T \rightarrow T / A_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ which induce a map $F \rightarrow X$. Since Aut $_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / B}$ is an affine group scheme, $T$ is a scheme by effectivity of descent for affine morphisms. Further $T / A_{n}^{\prime}$ is a $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ torsor over $B$ and hence also a scheme. The contracted product $X$ is then a Brauer-Severi scheme, as this contracted product is the standard way to obtain a Severi schemes from the associated torsor [13, 8.1].

To conclude, we know $F \rightarrow X$ is fppf locally isomorphic to a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ bundle over $X$, but we need to show it is a scheme and even a Zariski $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ bundle over $X$. Let $E^{\prime}$ denote the section of $\mathbb{F}_{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ corresponding to the surjection $\mathscr{O} \oplus \mathscr{O}(n-2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}$. Then $E^{\prime}$ has divisor class $e$. Note that $A_{n}^{\prime}$ scheme theoretically preserves $E^{\prime}$ as follows from Lemma 5.26. Therefore, $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e)$ descends to an invertible sheaf on $F$, which we also call $\mathscr{O}_{F}(e)$. This sheaf $\mathscr{O}_{F}(e)$ is relatively very ample for the map $F \rightarrow X$. So, by descent for polarized schemes, we obtain that $F$ is a scheme, and contains a closed subscheme $E \subset F$ which fppf locally becomes the directrix in $\mathbb{F}_{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. By cohomology and base change, $h_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}(E)\right)$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 2 on $B$, and so $\mathscr{O}_{F}(E)$ induces the desired map $F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(h_{*} \mathscr{O}_{F}(E)\right)$ over $X$. This map is an isomorphism, as may be verified on geometric fibers by the fibral isomorphism criterion [12, 17.9.5].

Let $n \geq 3$. We are now ready to construct the equivalence $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \rightarrow$ $\left[\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} /\right.$ Aut $\left._{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}}\right]$. To start, we construct the map. A map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ corresponds to the data $(B, h: X \rightarrow B, g: F \rightarrow X, i: Z \rightarrow F)$. Define $I:=\operatorname{isom}_{B}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}, F\right)$. This yields an isomorphism $\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{I} \simeq F_{I}$ together with a subscheme $X_{I} \subset F_{I} \simeq\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{I}$ that induces a map $I \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$. Since $I$ is a torsor over $B$ using the equivalence from Lemma 5.29, this altogether yields our desired map $\phi: \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \rightarrow\left[\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} /\right.$ Aut $\left._{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}}\right]$.

Lemma 5.30. For $n \geq 3$, the map $\phi$ constructed above is an equivalence of fibered categories. In particular, $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ is an algebraic stack.

Proof. We will construct the inverse map. Given an Aut $_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ torsor $I$ over $B$ and a map $I \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ corresponding to a divisor $\widetilde{Z} \subset\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{I}$ which is equivariant for the $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ action, we wish to construct a map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$. Using Lemma 5.29 , we obtain an $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twist $(B, h: X \rightarrow B, g: F \rightarrow X)$ which pulls back to $\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{I}^{1} \rightarrow I$ over $I$. Because the subscheme $\widetilde{Z} \subset\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{I}$ is $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ equivariant, it descends to a closed subscheme $i: Z \rightarrow F$ which induces the map $B \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$. Note that $Z$ is smooth and has class $e+n f$, as may be verified fppf locally on $B$.

We claim this map is inverse to the map $\phi$. This bijection follows from the bijection established in Lemma 5.29, together with uniqueness of descent for the closed immersion $i: Z \rightarrow F$.

## 6. Equivalent descriptions of $\boldsymbol{n}$-coverings

The main goal of this section is to prove Proposition 6.8, which gives several equivalent descriptions of $n$-coverings of a genus 1 curves. As preparation for proving these equivalent descriptions, we now review some generalities on derived functor cohomology of complexes.
6.1. Derived functor cohomology of two-term complexes. Given a space $X$ and a complex of sheaves $\mathscr{C}:=[\mathscr{F} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{G}]$ with $\mathscr{F}$ in degree 0 and $\mathscr{G}$ in degree 1 , there are two distinguished triangles

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathscr{G}[-1] \longrightarrow \mathscr{C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \rightarrow  \tag{6.1}\\
\operatorname{ker} \phi \longrightarrow \mathscr{C} \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \phi[-1] \rightarrow \tag{6.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We will be most interested in the case that $\phi: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{G}$ is the specific two-term complex associated to multiplication by $n$ on the smooth locus of a Weierstrass curve.

Example 6.2. Suppose $g: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ is a finite locally free degree 2 map and take $\mathscr{F}=\mathscr{G}=g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}$ and $\phi=\times n$. Then, taking cohomology associated to (6.1) and (6.2) we obtain the exact sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \frac{H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)}{n H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)  \tag{6.3}\\
& \stackrel{\rho}{\rightarrow} H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)[n] \rightarrow 0 ;
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0 \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}[n]\right) \xrightarrow{v} H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)  \tag{6.4}\\
\rightarrow H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{coker}\left(g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

To obtain (6.3), we are using that the boundary map in the cohomology sequence associated to (6.1) is given by $\times n$ because the boundary map in (6.1) is identified with $\phi: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{G}$.
6.3. Relative genus 1 curves. Much of the remainder of this section was inspired by [1, Section 2]. Our primary goal in this section is to prove Proposition 6.8 , which gives several equivalent characterizations of points of $\mathscr{S}^{(n)}$, and relates it to $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$. Let $E \rightarrow B$ be a genus 1 curve with geometrically integral fibers and smooth locus $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$. One of the main issues in characteristic dividing $n$ is that $\mathbb{G}_{a} \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathbb{G}_{a}$ is the 0 map, and hence is not surjective. In order to deal with this issue, instead of working with $H^{1}\left(B, E^{\mathrm{sm}}[n]\right)$, we work with the hypercohomology group $H^{1}\left(B, E^{\mathrm{sm}} \xrightarrow{\times n}\right.$ $\left.E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right)$. The next two lemmas relate hypercohomology to points of $\mathscr{S}^{(n)}$.

Lemma 6.4. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ be a map of smooth commutative group schemes over $B$. Let $[H / \phi(G)]$ denote the quotient stack of $H$ by the action of $G$ via $\phi$. Then $H^{1}(B, H \xrightarrow{\phi} G)=H^{0}(B,[H / \phi(G)])$. These sets are also in bijection with pairs $\left(T, \psi: \phi_{*} T \rightarrow H\right)$ up to isomorphism of torsors, where $T$ is a $G$ torsor and $\psi$ is an isomorphism of $H$ torsors.

Proof. Given a $G$ torsor $T^{\prime}$, let $\phi_{*} T^{\prime}$ denote the $H$ torsor given explicitly as the image of $\left[T^{\prime}\right] \in H^{1}(B, G)$ under the map $H^{1}(B, G) \rightarrow H^{1}(B, H)$ induced by $\phi$.

We will first show elements of $H^{0}(B,[H / \phi(G)])$, i.e., maps $B \rightarrow[H / \phi(G)]$, are in bijection with pairs $(T, \psi)$ where $T \rightarrow B$ is a $G$ torsor and $\psi$ : $\phi_{*} T \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism of $H$-torsors. From the definition, an element of $H^{0}(B,[H / \phi(G)])$ corresponds to a $G$ torsor $T \rightarrow B$ and a $G$ equivariant map $\alpha: T \rightarrow H$. Such $G$-equivariant maps are in bijection with $H$-equivariant maps $\psi: \phi_{*} T \rightarrow H$ via precomposition with the natural $\operatorname{map} \theta: T \rightarrow \phi_{*} T$ induced by $\phi: G \rightarrow H$.

It remains to show that pairs $(T, \psi)$ for $T$ a $G$-torsor and $\psi$ a trivialization of $\phi_{*} T$ correspond to elements of $H^{1}(B, G \xrightarrow{\phi} H)$. We can describe elements of $H^{1}(B, G \xrightarrow{\phi} H)$ in terms of Cech hypercohomology by a pair $(\alpha, \beta)$ where $\alpha$ is a 1 -cocycle for $G$ and $\beta$ is a 0 -cochain for $H$ with $\phi\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)=\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}$, taken with respect to an fppf cover $U_{i}$ of $B$ trivializing $T$. The datum of the $\alpha_{i j}$ are equivalent to the specification of the torsor $T$ while the equality $\phi\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)=\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}$, is equivalent to giving a trivialization of the torsor $\phi_{*} T$.

At this point the reader may wish to recall the definitions of $\mathscr{W}, \overline{\mathscr{E}}, \mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{S}^{(n)}$ from Definition 5.2, Definition 5.6, and Definition 5.11.

Lemma 6.5. Let $g: B \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ be given by a tuple $(B, f: E \rightarrow B, e: B \rightarrow$ $E)$. Let $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ denote the smooth locus of $f$ and let $\pi_{n}: \mathscr{S}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ denote the canonical projection induced by $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$. Then, $\left(B \times_{g, \mathscr{W}, \pi_{n}} \mathscr{S}^{(n)}\right)(B) \simeq$ $H^{1}\left(B, E^{\mathrm{sm}} \xrightarrow{\times n} E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right)$.

Proof. Because of the fiber squares

we obtain a fiber square

where $\left[E^{\mathrm{sm}} / n E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right]$ denotes the quotient stack of $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ by the action of $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ on itself via multiplication by $n$. Therefore, $\left(B \times_{g, \mathscr{W}, \pi_{n}} \mathscr{S}^{(n)}\right)(B)$ is identified with $\left[E^{\mathrm{sm}} / n E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right](B)=H^{0}\left(B,\left[E^{\mathrm{sm}} / n E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right]\right)$. By Lemma 6.4, we can then identify $H^{0}\left(B,\left[E^{\mathrm{sm}} / n E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right]\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(B, E^{\mathrm{sm}} \xrightarrow{\times n} E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right)$.

Recall that for $G \rightarrow B$ a group scheme, $T$ a $G$-torsor over $B$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we use $n_{*} T$ to denote the $G$ torsor corresponding to the image of $[T] \in$ $H^{1}(B, G)$ under the map $H^{1}(B, G) \rightarrow H^{1}(B, G)$ induced by $\times n: G \rightarrow G$. We next show that torsors for the smooth locus of a genus 1 curve always have natural compactifications.

Lemma 6.6. Given $(B, f: E \rightarrow B, e: B \rightarrow E) \in \mathscr{W}(B)$, and $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ the smooth locus of $f$, let $h: T \rightarrow B$ be an $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ torsor with $n_{*} T$ the trivial $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ torsor. Then, there exists a flat projective scheme $\bar{h}: \bar{T} \rightarrow B$ which is a relative curve of genus 1 with geometrically integral fibers, such that $T$ is identified with the smooth locus of $\bar{h}$. Additionally, there is a Brauer-Severi scheme $P \rightarrow B$ of relative dimension $n-1$ and a map $\bar{T} \rightarrow P$, which is an embedding if $n \geq 3$.

Further, $\bar{T}$ is unique in the following sense: given any other such flat proper algebraic space relative genus 1 curve $\bar{h}^{\prime}: \bar{T}^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ with geometrically integral fibers so that $T$ is identified with the smooth locus of $\bar{h}^{\prime}$ there is a unique isomorphism $\sigma: \bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{T}^{\prime}$ over $B$ so that the composition $T \rightarrow \bar{T} \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ is the given inclusion $T \rightarrow \bar{T}^{\prime}$.

Proof. The existence of $\bar{T}$ as a proper algebraic space (instead of a projective scheme) is formal. Indeed, using Lemma 6.4, our given torsor $T$ with
 terms of Cech cocycles, for $U_{i} \rightarrow B$ a suitable fppf cover, this element may be described as a 1-cocycle $s_{i j} \in H^{0}\left(U_{i j},\left.E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right|_{U_{i j}}\right)$ and a 0-chain $t_{i}$ so that $n \cdot s_{i j}=t_{i}-t_{j}$. The $s_{i j}$ specify cocycle data to glue $\left.E_{U_{i}}\right|_{U_{i j}}$ to $\left.E_{U_{j}}\right|_{U_{i j}}$ over $U_{i j}$, and since the $s_{i j}$ are a cocycle, i.e., $s_{i j}+s_{j k}=s_{i k}$ on $U_{i j k}$, they define descent data so as to construct an algebraic space $\bar{T}$. Since $T$ is constructed using the same cocycle $s_{i j}$, but via gluing $\left.\left.E_{U_{i}}^{\mathrm{sm}}\right|_{U_{i j}} \rightarrow E_{U_{j}}^{\mathrm{sm}}\right|_{U_{i j}}$, we obtain the desired open embedding $T \rightarrow \bar{T}$, as can be verified locally.

The uniqueness claim on $\bar{h}$ may be verified locally on $B$, and hence we may assume our torsors are trivial. In this case, the uniqueness holds because each $E_{i j}$ is separated over $U_{i j}$ so the desired map $\bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{T}^{\prime}$ is unique.

It remains to show that $\bar{T}$ is a projective scheme. For this, we use that descent for polarized schemes is effective. Namely, choose descent data $s_{i j}$ and $t_{i}$ for $T$ as above, and let $e: B \rightarrow E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ denote the given section in the smooth locus associated with the data of the map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$. Consider the line bundle $\mathscr{L}_{t_{i}}:=\mathscr{O}_{E_{U_{i}}}\left((n-1) \cdot e+t_{i}\right)$ on $E$ viewed as a degree $n$ line bundle on $E_{U_{i}}$. The global sections of this invertible sheaf induce an embedding $E_{U_{i}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Proj} f_{*} \mathscr{L}_{t_{i}}$.

We will check next that $\left.\left.\left(s_{i j}\right)^{*} \mathscr{L}_{t_{i}}\right|_{U_{i j}} \simeq \mathscr{L}_{t_{j}}\right|_{U_{i j}}$ and that the $\mathscr{L}_{t_{i}}^{\otimes n}$ descend to an invertible sheaf on $\bar{T}$. Because translation by $s_{i j}$ corresponds to tensoring with the degree 0 line bundle $\mathscr{O}_{E_{U_{i j}}}\left(n \cdot s_{i j}-n \cdot e\right)$, we find $\left(s_{i j}\right)^{*} \mathscr{L}_{t_{i}} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{E_{U_{i j}}}\left(n \cdot s_{i j}+t_{i}-e\right)$. The condition that $n \cdot s_{i j}=t_{i}-t_{j}$ can be written in terms of degree 0 line bundles as $\mathscr{O}_{E_{U_{i j}}}\left(n \cdot s_{i j}-n \cdot e\right) \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{E_{U_{i j}}}\left(t_{i}-t_{j}\right)$. This yields the desired isomorphism

$$
\left.\left.\mathscr{L}_{t_{i}}\right|_{U_{i j}} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{E_{U_{i j}}}\left((n-1) e+t_{i}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{E_{U_{i j}}}\left(n \cdot s_{i j}+t_{j}-e\right) \simeq\left(s_{i j}\right)^{*} \mathscr{L}_{t_{j}}\right|_{U_{i j}}
$$

Although the isomorphisms $\mathscr{L}_{t_{i}} \simeq\left(s_{i j}\right)^{*} \mathscr{L}_{t_{j}}$ may not satisfy the cocycle condition, we claim that when we multiply the above isomorphisms by $n$, we obtain isomorphisms $\mathscr{L}_{t_{i}}^{\otimes n} \simeq\left(s_{i j}\right)^{*} \mathscr{L}_{t_{j}}^{\otimes n}$, which do satisfy the cocycle condition. To verify this, we can do so after pushing forward via $f$. We then obtain that the induced isomorphisms $f_{*} \mathscr{L}_{t_{i}}^{\otimes n} \simeq f_{*}\left(\left(s_{i j}\right)^{*} \mathscr{L}_{t_{j}}^{\otimes n}\right)$ do satisfy the cocycle condition because the corresponding $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ torsor lifts to a $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ torsor by [17, Theorem 6.6.17(ii)] (or more precisely the immediate generalization of its proof to arbitrary base schemes in place of fields). Therefore, the isomorphisms $\mathscr{L}_{t_{i}}^{\otimes n} \simeq\left(s_{i j}\right)^{*} \mathscr{L}_{t_{j}}^{\otimes n}$ also satisfy the cocycle condition. Then, the polarizations $\mathscr{L}_{t_{i}}^{\otimes n}$ on $E_{U_{i}}$ induce descent data coming from translation by $s_{i j}$. Effectivity of descent for polarized schemes yields a projective scheme $\bar{T} \rightarrow B$ whose base change to $U_{i}$ is $E$.

Finally, we verify the statement regarding the Brauer-Severi scheme. We have descent data for the schemes $P_{i}:=\operatorname{Proj} f_{*} \mathscr{L}_{t_{i}}$, with the line bundle $\mathscr{O}_{P_{i}}(n)$, again induced by translation by $s_{i j}$. Again, by effectivity of descent for polarized schemes, we obtain a scheme $P \rightarrow B$ with $P_{U_{i}} \simeq P_{i}$, and so $P$ is a Brauer-Severi scheme. Effectivity of descent for closed embeddings implies that the natural closed embeddings $E_{U_{i}} \rightarrow P_{i}$ descend to a closed embedding $\bar{T} \rightarrow P$, which is the claimed embedding into a Brauer-Severi scheme.

With notation as in Lemma 6.5 we use $\operatorname{Aut}_{(E, e) / B}$ to denote the automorphism scheme of the genus 1 curve $E$ which preserve the given section $e$ lying in the smooth locus.

Lemma 6.7. With notation as in Lemma 6.5 the following sets are isomorphic, functorially in $B$ and respecting the action of $\operatorname{Aut}_{(E, e) / B}(B)$ :
(1) $\left(B \times_{g, \mathscr{W}, \pi_{n}} \mathscr{S}^{(n)}\right)(B)$;

(3) $H^{0}\left(B,\left[E^{\mathrm{sm}} / n E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right]\right)$;
(4) the set of pairs $\left(T, \psi: n_{*} T \rightarrow E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right)$ where $T$ is an $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ torsor and $\psi$ is an isomorphism of $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ torsors, up to isomorphism;
(5) the set of pairs $(T, M)$ where $T$ is an $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ torsor and $M \in \operatorname{Pic}_{\bar{T} / B}^{n}(B)$ for $\bar{T}$ the flat proper genus 1 curve associated to $T$ as in Lemma 6.6, up to isomorphism. Here $(T, M)$ is isomorphic to $\left(T, M^{\prime}\right)$ if they differ by translation by a point of $E^{\mathrm{sm}} \simeq \operatorname{Pic}_{\bar{T} / B}^{0}$.

Proof. The equivalence of (1), (2) were established in Lemma 6.5. The equivalence of the (2), (3), and (4) follows from Lemma 6.4. Finally, the identification of (4) with (5) follows from the same argument given in [1, Proposition 1.7].

We are now ready to combine the above lemmas to verify the main result of this section.

Proposition 6.8. With notation as in Lemma 6.5 the following sets are isomorphic, functorially in B:
(1) $\left(B \times_{g, \mathscr{W}, \pi_{n}} \mathscr{S}^{(n)}\right)(B) / \operatorname{Aut}_{(E, e) / B}(B)$;
(2) $H^{1}\left(B, E^{\mathrm{sm}} \xrightarrow{\times n} E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}_{(E, e) / B}(B)$;
(3) $H^{0}\left(B,\left[E^{\mathrm{sm}} / n E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right]\right) / \operatorname{Aut}_{(E, e) / B}(B)$;
(4) the set of pairs $\left(T, \psi: n_{*} T \rightarrow E^{\mathrm{sm}}\right)$ where $T$ is an $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ torsor and $\psi$ is an isomorphism of $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ torsors, up to isomorphism, modulo the action of $\operatorname{Aut}_{(E, e) / B}(B)$;
(5) the set of pairs $(T, M)$ where $T$ is an $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ torsor and $M \in \operatorname{Pic}_{\bar{T} / B}^{n}(B)$ for $\bar{T}$ the flat proper genus 1 curve associated to $T$ as in Lemma 6.6, up to isomorphism, modulo the action of $\operatorname{Aut}_{(E, e) / B}(B)$.

If further, $n \geq 3$, the above are also equivalent to
(6) the set of tuples $(T, P, \iota)$, taken up to automorphism, where $T$ is an $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ torsor, $P$ is an n-1 dimensional Brauer-Severi scheme over $B$ and, for $\bar{T}$ the flat proper genus 1 curve associated to $T, \iota: \bar{T} \rightarrow P$ is a closed embedding;
(7) maps $B \rightarrow\left[\mathscr{H}^{(n)} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n}\right]$ corresponding to ( $n-1$ )-dimensional Brauer-Severi schemes $P \rightarrow B$ with closed embeddings $\bar{T} \rightarrow P$ of genus 1 flat projective curves with geometrically integral fibers, such that the smooth locus of $\bar{T} \rightarrow B$ is an $E^{\mathrm{sm}}$ torsor.

Proof. The equivalence of the (1)-(5) follows from the analogous statements in Lemma 6.7, as the bijections there are compatible with the actions of $\operatorname{Aut}_{(E, e) / B}(B)$.

We now assume $n \geq 3$. We next show how to construct the data of (6) from (4), and then how to construct the data of (5) from (6). This will be done in a bijective fashion under the identification of (4) and (5) above. Given the data of item 4, we obtain the data of (6) from Lemma 6.6. Note that if we have $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ as in (4) which are related by an automorphism of $(E, \sigma)$, then they will still yield isomorphic tuples $(T, P, \iota)$ and $\left(T^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \iota^{\prime}\right)$.

Conversely, given the data of (6), we recover the data of (5) as follows. To begin, note that that $\operatorname{Pic}_{P / B} \simeq \underline{\mathbb{Z}}$, for $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ the constant group scheme associated to $\mathbb{Z}$ on $B$. Of course, when $P$ is a nontrivial Brauer-Severi scheme, the unique point of $\operatorname{Pic}_{P / B}(B)$ corresponding to the positive generator of $\mathbb{Z}$ will not correspond to a line bundle on $P$. Rather, it corresponds to the collection of line bundles $\mathscr{O}_{P_{U_{i}}}(1)$ for $U_{i} \rightarrow B$ an fppf cover trivializing the Brauer-Severi scheme $P \rightarrow B$. There is a natural map $\operatorname{Pic}_{P / B} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{\bar{T} / B}$ given by pullback, and the image of $1 \in \mathbb{Z} \simeq \operatorname{Pic}_{P / B}(B)$ pulls back to an element of $\operatorname{Pic}_{\bar{T} / B}^{n}(B)$ since on geometric fibers over $B, \bar{T}$ has degree $n$ in $P$. This is the desired point of $\operatorname{Pic}_{\bar{T} / B}^{n}(B)$ yielding the data of (5). If we have an automorphism $(T, P, \iota) \rightarrow(T, P, \iota)$, it will be induced by an automorphism of $E$ (which possibly does not fix $\sigma$ ), as can be checked fppf locally where $T$ becomes isomorphic to $E$. Therefore, the above constructed element of (5) is well defined.

It only remains to explain the equivalence of (6) and (7). For $B$ a scheme, a point $\left[\mathscr{H}^{(n)} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n}\right](B)$ corresponds to a $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ torsor $R \rightarrow B$ and a $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ equivariant map $R \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{(n)}$. The latter corresponds to a subscheme $\widetilde{\bar{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{R}^{n}$ flat over $B$ of degree $n$ whose geometric fibers lie in $\mathscr{H}^{(n)}$. By the
equivalence between $\mathrm{PGL}_{n}$ torsors and Brauer-Severi schemes and effectivity of descent for closed subschemes, such data descends to a Brauer-Severi scheme $P \rightarrow B$ and a subscheme $\bar{T} \rightarrow P$ flat over $B$ of degree $n$ whose geometric fibers lie in $\mathscr{H}^{(n)}$. This shows that such maps $B \rightarrow\left[\mathscr{H}^{(n)} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n}\right]$ are in bijection with data as in (6).

## 7. Singular genus 1 curves and Hirzebruch surfaces

This section is perhaps the most technically involved section of the paper, and its goal is to construct an equivalence of stacks $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \simeq \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$. This equivalence seems to us quite intuitive, with the forward map is given by a certain linear system while the reverse map is given by blowing up the singular section, see Section 7.4. However, to actually define the map of stacks, we are forced to carry out these constructions carefully in families, which unfortunately makes the proof rather long. The forward map is constructed in Lemma 7.3. The inverse map is quite a bit more involved, and is constructed in Lemma 7.21. The main result is then Theorem 7.22, that these two maps are inverse.

For $B$ a scheme, let $(B, h: X \rightarrow B, g: F \rightarrow X, i: Z \rightarrow F) \in$ $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}(B)$. Recall from Notation 7.1. that we have divisor classes $e$ and $2 f$ on $F$.

Notation 7.1. Recall from Notation 5.27 that $e$ denotes the class of the relative directrix on $F \rightarrow B$. Observe $Z \rightarrow F$ has class $e+n f$. Also, $g(Z \cap E)$ has class $\mathscr{O}_{X}(2)$ since $E$ restricts to a Cartier on the smooth genus 0 curve $Z$ which has degree 2 since it has degree 2 on fibers. We define the invertible sheaf $\mathscr{L}:=\mathscr{O}_{F}(Z) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{F}\left(g^{-1}(g(Z \cap E))\right)$. This has class $(e+n f)-2 f=e+(n-2) f$.

We next verify the complete linear system associated to the class $e+$ $(n-2) f$ on $F$ defines a morphism from $F$ to a rank $n-1$ projective bundle over $B$.

Lemma 7.2. With notation as in Notation $7.1(h \circ g)_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is a locally free sheaf on $B$ of rank n.

Proof. We can verify the statement fppf locally on $B$, and hence we may assume $F \simeq \mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ and $\mathscr{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{F}(e+(n-2) f)$. Once we verify first that $(h \circ g)_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e+(n-2) f)$ is locally free of rank $n$ and second that $R^{1}(h \circ$ $g)_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e+(n-2) f)=0$ after base change to any algebraically closed field mapping to $B$, the statement will follow from cohomology and base change over $B$. Now that we have reduced to the case $B$ is a point, the claims are standard calculations. The first can be deduced by taking cohomology of the exact sequence $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e+(n-2) f) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C}(e+(n-2) f)$ for $C$ a curve of class $e+(n-2) f$, using Riemann-Roch on $C$ and adjunction on
$\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$. The second claim follows from the Leray spectral sequence applied to the composition $h \circ g$.

Define $P:=\mathbb{P}(h \circ g)_{*} \mathscr{L}$. The surjection $(h \circ g)^{*}(h \circ g)_{*} \mathscr{L} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}$ defines a map $\phi: F \rightarrow P$ because the linear system is basepoint free, as can be verified on fibers. We are now ready to construct the desired map $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$.

Lemma 7.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$. The map $\phi: F \rightarrow P$ defined above sends $Z$ to a curve $C$ over $B$ with a section $\tau: B \rightarrow C$ yielding a point $(B, f:$ $P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow C) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$. This induces a map of stacks $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$.
Proof. Recall that we begin with a $B$-point of $\mathscr{V}$ smile, $(n)$ corresponding to $Z \xrightarrow{i} F \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{h} B$ and we have produced $P:=\mathbb{P}(h \circ g)_{*} \mathscr{L}$ with a map $F \xrightarrow{\phi} P$. We wish to produce a genus 1 curve $C \xrightarrow{\iota} P$ with geometrically integral fibers and a section $\tau: B \rightarrow C$ lying in the singular locus of $C$. We will take $C$ to be the image of $Z \xrightarrow{i} F \xrightarrow{\phi} P$ and $\tau$ to be the image of the directrix $E \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\phi} P$. Note that $E$ is contracted to a section under the linear system $(h \circ g)_{*} \mathscr{L}$, as can be checked fppf locally where $\mathscr{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e+(n-2) f)$. The construction we give will be natural, and so maps between $B$ points of $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile,( }(n)}$ will induce maps between the corresponding points of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$, inducing the desired map of stacks.

To complete the proof, we check that the tuple $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow$ $P, \tau: B \rightarrow C)$ described above lies in $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}(B)$. By Lemma $5.30, \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ is smooth and in particular reduced, so any map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ factors through the reduction of $B$, and we may assume $B$ is reduced. Since $B$ is reduced, we can identify the reduced set theoretic and scheme theoretic images of $Z \xrightarrow{i} F \xrightarrow{\phi} P$ and $E \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\phi} P$. In particular, the formation of the scheme theoretic image commutes with base change along geometric points of $B$. It is therefore enough to complete the proof in the case $B=\operatorname{Spec} k$ for $k$ an algebraically closed field.

We now assume $B=$ Spec $k$, and can verify $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P$, $\tau: B \rightarrow C) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}(B)$ in this case. The remainder of the proof is a standard algebro-geometric calculation. One first notes that $Z$ is geometrically connected because $e+n f$ is ample, and then a standard intersection theory calculation shows it has class $e+(n-2) f$. Smoothness of $Z$ implies that $Z$ is also geometrically integral. By analyzing the global sections $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e+(n-2) f)\right)$ we see that any divisor meeting the directrix $E$ contains $E$, and so $E$ is contracted under $\phi$. Further, there is a codimension 1 subspace vanishing on $E$, and we can use this to deduce that $\phi$ is an
embedding away from $E$. Because $Z$ meets $E$ in a degree 2 subscheme, the image $C$ of $Z$ under $\phi$ is the pushout of $Z$ obtained by gluing this degree 2 subscheme to a point, and hence has genus 1 with singular locus given as the image of $Z \cap E$.
7.4. Constructing the inverse map. We next construct the map inverse to that of Lemma 7.3. The basic idea behind the construction previously described in Section 3, as we now recall. If we begin with a singular genus 1 curve in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ with a marked singular point, there is a unique surface cone formed by the union of lines joining the singular point and points on the genus 1 curve. Blowing up the curve inside the cone at the singular point yields a rational curve of class $e+(n-2) f$ on the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$. The simplest path we found to carry out this construction in families was to first blow up the curve and use this to construct the Hirzebruch surface as a family of lines over the blown up curve. The image of this Hirzebruch surface under a suitable map to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ will then be the desired surface cone. We complete this construction in Lemma 7.21.

However, carrying out the above construction out in families is unexpectedly subtle, due to the following issues: First, we need to know the blow up construction commutes with arbitrary base change, as is verified in Proposition 7.5. Second, we need to verify a certain scheme theoretic image of a map is flat in order to apply cohomology and base change in Proposition 7.11. This verification of flatness rests on a cute generalization of the Chinese remainder theorem Lemma 7.9. As a first step, we now check that the blow up of $C$ is a smooth genus 0 curve over the base.

Proposition 7.5. Let $n \geq 3$ and let $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P$, $\tau: B \rightarrow C) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}(B)$. Then, the blow up $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow B$ is a smooth proper genus 0 curve with geometrically connected fibers. Further, the formation of this blow up commutes with arbitrary base change on $B$. That is, for any $B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$, if we let $C^{\prime}:=C \times{ }_{B} B^{\prime}$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ denote the base change of $\tau$ to $B^{\prime}$, the natural map $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \times{ }_{B} B^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau^{\prime}} C^{\prime}$ induced by the universal property of blow ups is an isomorphism.

Proof. As a first step, we may freely pass to an fppf cover, and hence reduce to the case that $f: C \rightarrow B$ has a section $\sigma: B \rightarrow C$ contained in the smooth locus of $f$.

We now use the above section to express $C$ as a relative plane curve over $B$ defined by a simple equation. Since $C$ is a finitely presented genus 1 curve over $B$ with geometrically integral fibers and a section in the smooth locus, from the definition of $\mathscr{W}$ Definition 5.2, we obtain a map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$. Because $\mathscr{W}$ has an fppf cover by $\mathbb{A}_{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{6}}^{5}$ parameterizing the coefficients of Weierstrass equations, (see [14, Definition 2.2.10 and Proposition 2.2.13] for more details of this standard description of Weierstrass equations), after
replacing $B$ by an fppf cover we may assume that $B=\operatorname{Spec} R$ is affine and $C$ is defined by an equation of the form $y^{2} z+a_{1} x y z+a_{3} y=x^{3}+$ $a_{2} x^{2} z+a_{4} x z^{2}+a_{6} z^{3}$ in Proj $R[x, y, z]=\mathbb{P}_{B}^{2}$, with $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{6} \in R$. After again possibly replacing $B$ by a cover, we may assume that the section $\tau$ lying in the singular locus is given by $x=y=0$. The condition that $C$ passes through $\tau$ forces $a_{6}=0$ while the condition that $C$ is singular at $\tau$ forces $a_{3}=a_{4}=0$. Therefore, $C$ is given by an equation of the form $y^{2} z+a_{1} x y z=x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2} z$.

A standard, direct calculation shows the blow up of $\operatorname{Spec} R[x, y] /\left(y^{2}+\right.$ $\left.a_{1} x y=x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}\right)$ at $x=y=0$ is given by $\operatorname{Proj} R[x, y, X, Y] /\left(x Y-y X, Y^{2}+\right.$ $a_{1} Y X-x X^{2}-a_{2} X^{2}$ ), where $X, Y$ have degree 1 and $x, y$ have degree 0 . This explicit description implies the blow up commutes with arbitrary base change.

It remains to verify that $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ is smooth of genus 0 . The genus 0 statement may be checked on fibers, which holds because it is the blow up of a geometrically integral genus 1 curve at a singular point. Finally, using the Jacobian criterion for smoothness, it is straightforward to directly verify smoothness of Proj $R[x, y, X, Y] /\left(x Y-y X, Y^{2}+a_{1} X Y-x X^{2}-a_{2} X^{2}\right)$ on the two charts $Y \neq 0$ and $X \neq 0$.

Having constructed our smooth genus 0 curve, the next step is to construct a relative $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twist containing $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$. We begin by introducing some notation used to define this Hirzebruch twist. Figure 7.1 may be helpful in visualizing some of the objects at play.

Notation 7.6. Let $n \geq 3$. Let $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow C) \in$ $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}(B)$. Blowing $C$ up at $\tau$, we obtain a map $\nu: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow C$. Let $E_{\tau} C \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ denote the exceptional divisor associated to the blow up of $C$ at $\tau$. From this, we obtain a map

$$
\psi:=(\mathrm{id}, \iota \circ \nu) \coprod(\mathrm{id}, \iota \circ \tau \circ f \circ \iota \circ \nu): \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \coprod \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \times{ }_{B} P
$$

Let $W$ denote the scheme theoretic image of $\psi$. We let $i_{1}: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \amalg \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ denote the first inclusion and $i_{2}: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \amalg \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ denote the second inclusion. Let $L$ denote the image of $\psi \circ i_{1}: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow W$ and let $M$ denote the image of $\psi \circ i_{2}: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow W$. In particular, the composition of $\psi \circ i_{1}$ with the projection $W \rightarrow P$ is $\iota \circ \nu$ while the composition of $\psi \circ i_{2}$ with the projection $W \rightarrow P$ is $\iota \circ \tau \circ f \circ \iota \circ \nu$, the constant map to $\iota \circ \tau(B)$.

Remark 7.7. On fibers over $B$, we will see that $W$ is given as two copies of $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ glued along $E_{\tau} C$. Upon projecting to $P, \psi \circ i_{1}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C\right)$ maps to $C$ while $\psi \circ i_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C\right)$ is contracted to the image of $\tau$. We also note that $\psi$ is a closed embedding when restricted to either copy of $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$, since the composition of $\psi$ with the first projection to $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ is an isomorphism.


Figure 7.1. A visualization of some of the objects appearing in Notation 7.6, Notation 7.12, and Notation 7.14.

Remark 7.8. Note that $i_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C\right)$ is mapped to $P$ via the constant map at $\tau$, and so its intersection with $\psi \circ i_{1}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C\right)$ is the preimage of the section $\tau$ along $\nu: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow C$. However, by definition of the exceptional divisor of a blow up at $\tau$, this preimage is precisely $E_{\tau} C$. Therefore, by the universal property of gluing along closed subschemes, the map $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \amalg \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ induces a map $\rho: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \coprod_{E_{\tau} C} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$. Note that the cofiber product $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \coprod_{E_{\tau} C} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ is a scheme by [19, Tag 0E25].

In order to construct the relative Hirzebruch surface containing $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$, we will construct a map from $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ to a Grassmannian of lines. To make this construction, we will need to invoke cohomology and base change. In turn, to apply cohomology and base change, we will need to know $W \rightarrow B$ is flat, which we check in Proposition 7.11. We now present the unexpectedly tricky verification of this fact. In order to verify flatness, we first need an alternate description of $W$ for which the following generalization of the Chinese remainder theorem will be crucial. The proof is an elementary exercise in abstract algebra which we omit.

Lemma 7.9. Let $R$ be a ring ${ }^{2}$ and $I_{1}, I_{2} \subset R$ be two ideals. The natural projection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma: R /\left(I_{1} \cap I_{2}\right) & \longrightarrow R / I_{1} \times_{R /\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)} R / I_{2} \\
r+\left(I_{1} \cap I_{2}\right) & \longmapsto\left(r+I_{1}, r+I_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism.
We now present the formerly mentioned alternate description of $W$.
Lemma 7.10. With notation as in Notation 7.6, $W$ is isomorphic to two copies of $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ glued along the closed subscheme $E_{\tau} C$.

Proof. Quasi-compactness of $\psi$ allows us to compute the scheme theoretic image of $W$ affine locally on $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \times{ }_{B} P$. Since $\psi$ is proper and quasi-finite, $\psi$ is finite, hence affine. The preimage of $\operatorname{Spec} R \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \times_{B} P$ under $\psi$ is then an affine open in $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \amalg \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ which we may write as $\operatorname{Spec} A_{1} \times A_{2}$ for Spec $A_{1} \subset i_{1}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C\right)$ and Spec $A_{2} \subset i_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C\right)$. The scheme theoretic image is then given locally over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ as $\operatorname{Spec}\left(R / \operatorname{ker} \psi^{\sharp}\right)$ for $\psi^{\sharp}: R \rightarrow A_{1} \times A_{2}$. For $j \in\{1,2\}$, let $i_{j}^{\sharp}: R \rightarrow A_{j}$ denote the maps induced by $i_{j}$ and let $I_{j}:=\operatorname{ker} i_{j}^{\sharp}$.

We want to show $\operatorname{Spec} R /\left(I_{1} \cap I_{2}\right)$ is given as the restriction of $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \coprod_{E_{\tau} C} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ to $\operatorname{Spec} A_{1} \times \operatorname{Spec} A_{2}$. Observe that $\operatorname{Spec} R /\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)$ is the restriction of $E_{\tau} C$ to $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Indeed, since $\operatorname{Spec} R /\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Spec} R / I_{1} \cap$ $\operatorname{Spec} R / I_{2}$, this follows from the equality $\operatorname{im} \psi \circ i_{1} \cap \operatorname{im} \psi \circ i_{2}=E_{\tau} C$, which was explained in Remark 7.8. Under the identifications, $R / I_{1} \simeq$ $A_{1}$ and $R / I_{2} \simeq A_{2}$, the surjections $R / I_{1} \rightarrow R /\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)$ and $R / I_{2} \rightarrow$ $R /\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)$ correspond to the two closed immersions $E_{\tau} C \rightarrow i_{1}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C\right)$ and $E_{\tau} C \rightarrow i_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C\right)$. Then, $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \coprod_{E_{\tau} C} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ is given locally by the spectrum of $R / I_{1} \times_{R /\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)} R / I_{2}$. It then follows from Lemma 7.9 that the natural projection identifies Spec $R /\left(I_{1} \cap I_{2}\right)$, the scheme theoretic image of $\phi$ restricted to Spec $R$, with the gluing Spec $R / I_{1} \times_{R /\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)} R / I_{2}$. Because the above constructions are compatible with localization, it follows that the natural map $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \coprod_{E_{\tau} C} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism.

Using the above description of $W$, we are ready to verify it is flat.
Proposition 7.11. With notation as in Notation 7.6, the natural map $\rho: W \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$, as defined in Remark 7.8 is locally free of degree 2. Further, $W \rightarrow B$ is flat.

Proof. By Lemma 7.10, we have an isomorphism $W \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \coprod_{E_{\tau} C} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$. Since $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow B$ is flat by Proposition 7.5 , it suffices to show $\rho: W \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ is flat. We may work affine locally on $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$, and hence assume it is of the

[^2]form $\operatorname{Spec} A$ and the preimage under $\rho$ is of the form $\operatorname{Spec}\left(A \times_{A / f} A\right)$ for $f$ a non-zerodivisor, as $E_{\tau} C$ is a Cartier divisor.

Hence, we have reduced to the elementary algebra exercise of showing that $A \times_{A /(f)} A$ is a free $A$ module of rank 2 . Indeed, the map of $A$-modules $A \times A \rightarrow A \times_{A /(f)} A$ given by $(a, b) \mapsto(a, a+f b)$ is an isomorphism, as may be verified using that $f$ is a non-zerodivisor.

Having shown $W \rightarrow B$ is flat, we next construct the $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twist. Before embarking on the construction, we describe the idea. Recall that $W \rightarrow B$ factors through $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$, and the fibers of $W \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ are degree 2 subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. There is then a unique line in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ spanned by this degree 2 subscheme, and the union of these lines varying over points of $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ spans the desired Hirzebruch surface. Due to the issue that $B$ may be non-reduced, we need to carry out this construction in families, as we now do.

Notation 7.12. Recall that $P$ is a projective bundle, as it is a BrauerSeveri scheme over $B$ with a section $\iota \circ \tau$, and so comes with an invertible sheaf $\mathscr{O}_{P}(1)$. Define the projections


Let $\mathscr{I}_{W / P \times_{B} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C}$ denote the ideal sheaf of $W$ in $P \times{ }_{B} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$.
Pushing forward the ideal sheaf exact sequence twisted by $t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)$ along $s$ we obtain the exact sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \longrightarrow s_{*}\left(\mathscr{I}_{W / P \times_{B} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \longrightarrow s_{*}\left(t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)  \tag{7.2}\\
& \longrightarrow s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \longrightarrow R^{1} s_{*}\left(\mathscr{I}_{W / P \times_{B} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \\
& \longrightarrow R^{1} s_{*}\left(t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In order to define our desired family of lines, we need the following consequence of cohomology and base change. We note that this will crucially use the flatness of $W$ over $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$, established in Proposition 7.11.

Lemma 7.13. We have $R^{1} s_{*}\left(\mathscr{I}_{W / P \times_{B} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)=0$ and the three nonzero terms in the first line of (7.2) form a short exact sequence of locally free sheaves. The first nonzero term has rank $n-2$, the second has rank $n$, and the third has rank 2. Further, for $f: P \rightarrow B$ the structure map, we have a natural identification $s_{*}\left(t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \simeq(f \circ \iota \circ \nu)^{*}\left(f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)$.
Proof. Using flatness of $W \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$, as established in Proposition 7.11, the sheaf $\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)$ is flat over $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$. Since $t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)$ is flat over $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$, $\mathscr{I}_{W / P \times_{B} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)$ is also flat over $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$, so cohomology and base
change applies to the above three sheaves. From cohomology and base change, $R^{1} s_{*}\left(t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)=0$ so $s_{*}\left(t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)$ commutes with base change and is locally free of rank $n$.

Next, by Proposition 7.11, $s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)$ is locally free of rank 2 and its formation commutes with arbitrary base change.

The next step is to show $s_{*}\left(t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \rightarrow s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)$ is surjective and that

$$
R^{1} s_{*}\left(\mathscr{I}_{W / P \times_{B} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)=0
$$

Surjectivity may be checked on geometric points by cohomology and base change, and so follows from surjectivity of the restriction maps $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{x}^{n-1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{x}^{n-1}}(1)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(W_{x},\left.\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{x}^{n-1}}(1)\right|_{W_{x}}\right)$. Then, $R^{1} s_{*}\left(\mathscr{I}_{W / P \times_{B} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C} \otimes\right.$ $\left.t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)=0$ because $R^{1} s_{*}\left(t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)=0$, as verified above.

Finally, the natural identification $s_{*}\left(t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \simeq(f \circ \iota \circ \nu)^{*}\left(f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)$ follows from flat base change applied to the sheaf $\mathscr{O}_{P}(1)$ on $P$.

We are now prepared to construct the sought ( $n-2$ )-Hirzebruch twist $F$. Here is the definition.

Notation 7.14. Let $n \geq 3$, let $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow C) \in$ $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}(B)$ and retain notation from Notation 7.12. By Lemma 7.13 , the exact sequence furnished by the first three nonzero terms of (7.2) yields a map $\omega: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(1, P)$, the Grassmannian of lines in $P$. The universal bundle over $\mathbb{G}(1, P)$ pulls back along $\omega$ to a relative family of lines $F$ with an embedding $F \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \times_{B} P$, where $F \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)\right)$ over $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$.

Remark 7.15. Additionally, we can realize a map $i_{W}: W \hookrightarrow F$ via the surjection of sheaves $\rho^{*} s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)=\rho^{*} \rho_{*}\left(\left.\left(t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)\right|_{W}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left.\left(t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)\right|_{W}$ coming from the natural adjunction.

We will next verify that $F$ as defined in Notation 7.14 is an $(n-2)$ Hirzebruch twist. It will also be crucial to know that the exceptional divisor $E_{\tau} C$ is a Cartier divisor in $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$, as we now check.

Lemma 7.16. Retaining notation from Notation 7.6, we have an isomorphism $L \cap M \simeq E_{\tau} C$, for $E_{\tau} C$ the exceptional divisor of the blow up $\nu$ of $C$ at $\tau$. Further, $E_{\tau} C$ is a degree 2 relative effective Cartier divisor on $L$ over $B$.

Proof. First, we show $E_{\tau} C$ is a relative effective Cartier divisor on $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$. Since $E_{\tau} C$ is identified with the restriction of $L$ to $M$ by Lemma 7.10, this will prove $L \cap M \simeq E_{\tau} C$. By [7, Section 8.2, Lemma 6], it is enough to know $E_{\tau}$ is a effective Cartier divisor and remains such when restricted to each fiber over $B$. These claims follow from the universal property of blow
ups and because the formation of the blow up at $\tau$ commutes with base change on $B$ by Proposition 7.5.

To conclude the proof, we need to check $E_{\tau} C$ has degree 2 . This may be verified on geometric fibers over points of $B$. For example, one may deduce this from explicit computations in the nodal and cuspidal cases.

We now show $F$ is an $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twist.
Proposition 7.17. Suppose we are given a map $F \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow B$, as in Notation 7.14. gives $F$ the structure of an $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twist over $B$.

Proof. By Proposition 7.5, $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ is a 1-dimensional Brauer-Severi scheme over $B$ and by definition $F$ is a relative dimension 1 Zariski-locally trivial projective bundle over $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$. Therefore, it only remains to show that $F$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ fppf locally on $B$.

Since $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ is a smooth genus 0 curve over $B$ with geometrically connected fibers, we may replace $B$ by a suitable fppf cover so as to reduce to the case that $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \simeq \mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}$. Then, in Notation 7.14 , we constructed $F$ as the projectivization of the rank 2 vector bundle $s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)$ on $\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}$ which we wish to show is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n-2)$ affine locally on $B$.

By spreading out, we can reduce to the case $B$ is a local scheme, i.e., $B$ is the spectrum of a local ring. Next, recall the group of line bundles on $\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}$, for $B$ a local scheme, is $\mathbb{Z}$. A representative for the element $m \in \mathbb{Z} \simeq \operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}\right)$ is given by $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, as may be deduced from the fibral isomorphism criterion [12, 17.9.5].

We next claim there is an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n-2) \longrightarrow s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will verify exactness of this sequence in Lemma 7.18. The result then follows once we verify extensions as in (7.3) split. Indeed, such extensions split because $E x t_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{P}_{B}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(m)\right)=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(m)\right)=0$; this vanishing may be deduced from an application of the Leray spectral sequence, using that $B$ is a local scheme.

Lemma 7.18. The sequence (7.3) is exact. The surjection $s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}$ in (7.3) is identified with the restriction map $r: s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \rightarrow s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{M} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)$ for $M$ as in Notation 7.6
Proof. We start by constructing a surjection $s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}$. Indeed, recall from Notation 7.6 that $W$ is constructed as the image of two copies of $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \simeq \mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1} \times_{B} P$. Note that $M$ maps to $P$ via the constant map through $\tau$, and therefore $\mathscr{O}_{M} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{M}$. The restriction map $r: s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \rightarrow s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{M} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \simeq s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{M}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}$ will be our desired surjection.

It remains to show the restriction map $r$ is surjective with kernel isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n-2)$. Let $\mathscr{I}_{M / W}$ denote the ideal sheaf of $M$ in $W$. This is supported on $L$ and its restriction to $L$ is isomorphic to the invertible sheaf $\mathscr{O}_{L}\left(-E_{\tau} C\right)$ on $L$, for $E_{\tau} C$ the exceptional divisor in $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \simeq \mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}$. Note this sheaf is invertible as $E_{\tau} C$ is a Cartier divisor by Lemma 7.16. From this, we obtain an exact sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \longrightarrow s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}\left(-E_{\tau} C\right)\right. & \left.\otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)  \tag{7.4}\\
& s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \longrightarrow s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{M} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note first that $R^{1} s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}\left(-E_{\tau} C\right) \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)=0$ follows from cohomology and base change because $s$ maps $L$ isomorphically to $\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}$. Hence, the above sequence is right exact.

To conclude, it only remains to identify $s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}\left(-E_{\tau} C\right) \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right) \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{L}(n-2) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n-2)$. In fact, since $s$ is an isomorphism on $L$, it is enough to show $s_{*} \mathscr{O}_{L}\left(-E_{\tau} C\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(-2)$ and $s_{*} t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n)$. Since $B$ is a local scheme, $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, we can verify the above claims after restriction to any point of $B$.

We now complete the proof by computing the degrees of $s_{*} \mathscr{O}_{L}\left(-E_{\tau} C\right)$ and $s_{*} t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)$ in the case $B$ is the spectrum of a field $k$. The former has degree 2 by Lemma 7.16. The latter has degree $n$ because the composition $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \simeq L \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \times{ }_{B} P \rightarrow P$ agrees with $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \xrightarrow{\nu} C \xrightarrow{\iota} P$ and $\iota$ realizes $C$ as a degree $n$ curve in $P$ by definition of $\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}(n)$.

Fix $n \geq 3$. Using our above construction of the $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twist, there is a map $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$, which we now describe.

Construction 7.19. Given $(B, f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow C) \in$ $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}(B)$, this data is mapped to the point of $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ described by $\left(B, h: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow B, g: F \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C, i: L \rightarrow F\right)$ for $g: F \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ as in Notation 7.14 and $L$ as in Notation 7.6. The map $i$ is given as the composition of the maps $\psi \circ i_{1}: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow W$ of Notation 7.6 and $i_{W}: W \rightarrow$ $F$ of Remark 7.15.

From Proposition 7.17, we find $F \xrightarrow{g} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \xrightarrow{h} B$ is an $(n-2)$-Hirzebruch twist, and hence to construct the map $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ on objects, it suffices to verify that $L$ has class $e+n f$ on $F$. This and more is established in the following lemma.

Lemma 7.20. Retain notation as in Notation 7.6 and Notation 5.27.
(1) The divisor $M \rightarrow F$ has class $e$.
(2) The divisor $L \rightarrow F$ has class $e+n f$.
(3) The invertible sheaf $\left.t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right|_{F}$ on $F$ has class $e+(n-2) f$.

Proof. We may work fppf locally on $B$ to assume $F \simeq \mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ over $B$ and $B$ is a local scheme. Because $\operatorname{Pic}_{\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}\right)_{B} / B} \simeq \underline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}$, generated by the class of the fiber and exceptional divisor, it is enough to verify the above claims on geometric fibers, in which case they reduce to standard intersection theory calculations.

We deduce the first part holds using that the directrix class on the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ over $\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}$ corresponds to the surjection $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n) \oplus$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}$. By Lemma 7.18 , this is identified with the restriction of $s_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \otimes t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)$ (the sheaf whose projectivization is $\left.F\right)$ to $M$. It follows that $M$ has class $e$ on $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$.

We may identify the class of $L$ by noting that $L \cap M$ has degree 2 on $L$ by Lemma 7.16, and the intersection of $L$ with a fiber of projection $\rho \circ \psi \circ i_{1}: L \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C$ has degree 1 .

Finally, to identify $\left.t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right|_{F}$, we claim this line bundle restricts to a degree 0 divisor on $M$ and a degree $n$ divisor on $L$. These claims can both be verified by choosing a section of $\mathscr{O}_{P}(1)$ missing $\tau$. The above claims imply $\left.t^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right|_{F}$ has class $e+(n-2) f$ because this is the unique effective class whose intersection with $e$ is 0 and whose intersection with $e+n f$ is $n$.

We are finally prepared to complete our construction of the map $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$.

Lemma 7.21. There is a map of stacks $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile,( } n)}$ sending ( $B$, $f: P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow C) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}(B)$ to the tuple $(B$, $\left.h: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow B, g: F \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C, i: L \rightarrow F\right) \in \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}(B)$ as defined in Construction 7.19.

Proof. Observe that by Proposition 7.17, $F \xrightarrow{g} \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \xrightarrow{h} B$ is an $(n-2)$ Hirzebruch twist. Further, $L$ has class $e+n f$ on $F$ by Lemma 7.20. This constructs the desired map on objects.

To show that morphisms in $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ are taken to morphisms in $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$, it is enough to verify automorphisms are sent to automorphisms. The key input here is Corollary 5.17, showing that any automorphism of $(B, f:$ $P \rightarrow B, \iota: C \rightarrow P, \tau: B \rightarrow C) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}(B)$ is induced by an element $\phi \in$ $\operatorname{PGL}_{n}(B) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_{P / B}(B)$ over $B$ such that $\phi(C)=C$ and $\phi(\tau(B))=\tau(B)$. This automorphism $\phi$ induces automorphisms of $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C, L, M$, and therefore a compatible automorphism of $F$, which is the data of an automorphism of $\left(B, h: \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C \rightarrow B, g: F \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau} C, i: L \rightarrow F\right) \in \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$.

We have now defined maps $\Gamma: \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ in Lemma $7.3 \Delta$ : $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ in Lemma 7.21 which yield an equivalence of stacks.

Theorem 7.22. For $n \geq 3$, the maps $\Gamma: \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ of Lemma 7.3 and $\Delta: \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ of Lemma 7.21 define an equivalence of algebraic stacks.

Proof. It is fairly straightforward to verify that the maps $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ constructed in Lemma 7.3 Lemma 7.21 define an equivalence of stacks. One may do so by showing $\Delta \circ \Gamma$ and $\Gamma \circ \Delta$ are equivalent to the identity natural transformation on objects and both $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ induce injective maps on isotropy group schemes at any point. Briefly, this follows from the fact that blowing up $C$ at $\tau$ is inverse to taking the image of the map from $F \rightarrow P$. For further details, see [14, Theorem 3.1.31], and also [14, Lemma 3.1.28 and Lemma 3.1.29].

## 8. The genus 1 curve associated to a degree 2 cover

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 8.6, which associates to a degree 2 cover a certain relative dimension 1 group scheme, and describes $n$ coverings of that group scheme in terms of maps to $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$.

Given a finite degree 2 locally free cover $g: X \rightarrow B$, we now construct an associated genus 1 curve $E_{g} \rightarrow B$ with $E_{g}^{\mathrm{sm}} \simeq g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}$. See Figure 8.1 for a visualization of $E_{g}$.

Notation 8.1. Let $g: X \rightarrow B$ be a finite locally free degree 2 cover. The surjection $g^{*} g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}$ induces a map $\iota: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ over $B$. Additionally, the injective map of sheaves $\mathscr{O}_{B} \rightarrow g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ has cokernel which is an invertible sheaf, as can be verified affine locally by a direct computation on coordinate rings. Therefore, the injection $\mathscr{O}_{B} \rightarrow g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ induces a map $\sigma: B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$.

We define the genus 1 curve $E_{g}$ associated to $g: X \rightarrow B$ as the cofiber product


Note that $E_{g}$ exists as a scheme by [19, Tag 0E25].
Our upcoming goal is to show that $E_{g}$, together with $\sigma$ and $\tau$, defines a point of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$, which we accomplish in Lemma 8.4. As a first step, we will need to understand the interaction between the maps $\iota$ and $\sigma$, so that we will be able to work affine locally away from each of them.

Lemma 8.2. With notation as in Notation 8.1, The images $\iota(X)$ and $\sigma(B)$ are disjoint closed subschemes of $\mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$.


Figure 8.1. A visualization of the singular genus 1 curve associated to a degree 2 cover, as defined in Notation 8.1.

Proof. To check $\iota(X)$ is disjoint from $\sigma(B)$, it is equivalent to check the preimage of $\sigma(B)$ in $X \times_{B} \mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(g^{*} g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ is disjoint from the section $\iota_{X}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(g^{*} g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ induced by the surjection $g^{*} g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}$. The preimage of $\sigma(B)$ then corresponds to the exact sequence of vector bundles $g^{*} \mathscr{O}_{B} \rightarrow g^{*} g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow g^{*} g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} / g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{B}$. The desired disjointness then amounts to showing the composition $g^{*} \mathscr{O}_{B} \rightarrow g^{*} g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}$ is nonzero on every fiber. This non-vanishing holds because the composition above is adjoint to the composition $\mathscr{O}_{B} \rightarrow g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\text { id }} g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ induced by the map $X \rightarrow B$.

We next verify some basic properties of the curve $E_{g}$.
Lemma 8.3. For $g: X \rightarrow B$ a finite locally free degree 2 cover, $E_{g}$ is a proper flat finitely presented genus 1 curve over $B$.

Proof. By the explicit construction of $E_{g}$ as a cofiber product, we find $\mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \rightarrow E_{g}$ is surjective. Since $\mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \rightarrow B$ is proper, it follows $E_{g} \rightarrow B$ is proper as well. It follows that $E_{g} \rightarrow B$ is of finite type, being proper.

To conclude, we need only check $E_{g} \rightarrow B$ is flat of finite presentation. To verify both of these properties, we may assume $B=\operatorname{Spec} S$ and $X=\operatorname{Spec} R$ are affine. By spreading out, [19, Tag 01ZM], we may also assume $R$ and $S$ are finite type over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$.

First, note that $E_{g} \rightarrow B$ is quasi-compact and quasi-separated. This follows from Lemma 8.2 because the complements of $\tau(B)$ and $\sigma(B)$ are both affine and have affine intersection.

We next show $E_{g} \rightarrow B$ has finite presentation. It is enough to show $E_{g}-\sigma(B)$ has finite presentation since, after possibly shrinking $B$, we have $E_{g}-\tau(B) \simeq \mathbb{A}_{B}^{1}$. In terms of rings, $E_{g}-\sigma(B)$ is the spectrum of the fiber product of rings $S[x] \times{ }_{R} S$. By Zariski localizing further, we may assume $R$ is a free $S$ module of rank 2 with an inclusion $S \rightarrow R$ so that $R=S[x] /(f)$,
for $f \in S[x]$ a degree 2 polynomial with invertible leading coefficient on $S$. We can therefore identify $S[x] \times_{R} S$ as the subring of $S[x]$ consisting of those elements whose reduction mod $f$ has vanishing $x$ coefficient. Since $S[x] \times_{R} S \subset S[x]$ with $S[x]$ a noetherian ring (by our above reductions) that is finitely generated over $S[x] \times_{R} S$, we obtain $S[x] \times_{R} S$ is also noetherian. Hence, $S[x] \times_{R} S$ is of finite presentation over the noetherian $S$.

It remains to show $S[x] \times_{R} S$ is flat over $S$. It is enough to give a free $S$ basis for $S[x] \times_{R} S$. We now construct this desired basis by viewing $S[x] \times_{R} S$ as a subring of $S[x]$. For each $n \geq 2$, note that $x^{n}$ can be written uniquely as $g+c_{n} x+d_{n}$ where $g \in(f)$ and $c_{n}, d_{n} \in S$, essentially via the Euclidean algorithm. It is enough to show that a free basis for $S[x] \times_{R} S$ is then given by $1 \in S \subset S[x]$ together with $x^{n}-c_{n} x$ for each $n \geq 2$. Indeed, this can be verified by starting with an arbitrary element of $S[x] \times_{R} S$ and algorithmically adding multiples of the above generators until we obtain the element $0 \in S$.

Combining the above, it is fairly straightforward to check $E_{g}$ together with $\sigma$ and $\tau$ defines a point of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}$.
Lemma 8.4. The curve $E_{g}$ together with the sections $\sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}$ and $\tau$ : $B \rightarrow E_{g}$ define a point $\left(B, E_{g} \rightarrow B, \sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}, \tau: B \rightarrow E_{g}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\operatorname{sing}}(B)$. Further, if $X \rightarrow B$ is étale, $\left(B, E_{g} \rightarrow B, \sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}, \tau: B \rightarrow E_{g}\right) \in$ $\widetilde{W}_{\text {node }}(B)$ and so $\left(B, E_{g} \rightarrow B, \sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}\right) \in \mathscr{W}_{\text {node }}(B)$.
Proof. We first check $\left(B, E_{g} \rightarrow B, \sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}, \tau: B \rightarrow E_{g}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{W}_{\text {sing }}}(B)$. By Lemma 8.2, we find $\sigma(B)$ lies in the smooth locus of $E_{g} \rightarrow B$. Geometric integrality of fibers holds because it may be checked on geometric fibers as the formation of the cofiber product is compatible with base change on $B$. Similarly, we may verify $\tau(B)$ lies in the singular locus by doing so geometric fibers. On geometric fibers, this may be deduced from the fact that the degree 2 scheme $\iota: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ is the preimage of $\tau(B)$ in the normalization $\mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ of $E_{g}$. The remaining properties were verified in Lemma 8.3.

To conclude, we check that when $g: X \rightarrow B$ is étale, the point $\left(B, E_{g} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.B, \sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}, \tau: B \rightarrow E_{g}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }}(B)$ factors through the open substack $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {node }} \subset \widetilde{W}_{\text {sing }}$. This may be verified on geometric fibers over Spec $k$ where étaleness of $X \rightarrow B$ implies $X$ consists of two copies of Spec $k$. Therefore, the singularity at $\tau(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is obtained by gluing two copies of Spec $k$ in $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$, hence is a node.

We next show $E_{g}^{\mathrm{sm}} \simeq g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}$. Recall that whenever $g: X \rightarrow B$ is a finite locally free morphism of schemes, there is a norm map $g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{B}$ whose formation commutes with arbitrary base change. [19, Tag 0BD2]. This can equivalently be described as a map $\mathrm{Nm}_{X / B}: g_{*} \mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$.

Lemma 8.5. We have $E_{g}^{\mathrm{sm}} \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)-\iota(X)$. Further, $g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \simeq E_{g}^{\mathrm{sm}}$.
Proof. First, we show $E_{g}^{\mathrm{sm}} \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)-\iota(X)$. Because $\mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)-\iota(X) \rightarrow$ $E_{g}$ is an open immersion, it is certainly contained in the smooth locus. To verify equality, it is enough to show the image of $\iota(X)$ is contained in the singular locus, which was verified in Lemma 8.4 because $\iota(X)$ factors through $\tau(B) \subset E_{g}$.

To verify $g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \simeq E_{g}^{\mathrm{sm}}$, we will construct this isomorphism affine locally in a fashion compatible with localization so that it glues to give a global isomorphism. By Zariski localizing, we may assume $B=\operatorname{Spec} S$ and $X=\operatorname{Spec} R$, with $R$ of the form $R=S[x] /\left(x^{2}+a x+b\right)$. Then, $g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m}$ can be explicitly identified with the open subscheme of $\mathbb{A}_{B}^{2} \simeq g_{*} \mathbb{A}_{X}^{1}$ given by those $s x+t \in R$ so that $\mathrm{Nm}_{X / B}(s x+t) \neq 0$, as follows from the definition of the norm map. Computing this directly yields

$$
\operatorname{Nm}_{X / B}(s x+t)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & -s b \\
s & -s a+t
\end{array}\right)=t^{2}-a s t+b s^{2}
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{Nm}_{X / B}(s x-t)=t^{2}+a s t+b s^{2}$ and so $g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m}$ is the complement of $t^{2}+a s t+b s^{2}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{s, t}^{2}$. Hence, when we projectivize $\mathbb{A}_{s, t}^{2}$, we find $g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}$ is identified with the complement of $V\left(t^{2}+a s t+b s^{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{P}_{s, t}^{1} \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$.

We claim that the closed subscheme $V\left(t^{2}+a s t+b s^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ is precisely identified with the image $\iota(X)$. To see why this holds, we use that we have chosen the basis $\{1, x\}$ to trivialize $g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$. Under this basis, the image of the closed embedding Spec $R \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ is identified with the vanishing of the closed subscheme $V\left(x^{2}+a \cdot 1 \cdot x+b \cdot 1^{2}\right)$. Upon renaming $x$ as $t$ and 1 as $s$, we obtain the claimed isomorphism $g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)-\iota(X)$.

Combining the above discussion in this section with Proposition 6.8, Lemma 5.20, and Theorem 7.22 gives the following characterization of $n$ coverings of $g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}$.
Theorem 8.6. Let $B$ be an integral normal scheme and let $n \geq 3$. Fix a degree 2 locally free cover $g: X \rightarrow B$ which is generically étale. The composite of the bijection of Proposition 6.8 and Theorem 7.22 yields a bijection between elements of $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}_{\left(E_{g}, \sigma\right) / B}(B)$ and maps $B \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ which map to points $\left(B, E_{g} \rightarrow B, \sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}\right) \in$ $\mathscr{W}_{\text {sing }}(B)$ that generically factor through $\mathscr{W}_{\text {node }}$. Further, this bijection respects automorphisms in the sense that it identifies automorphisms of the objects appearing in Proposition 6.8 with the $B$ points of the isotropy group of the corresponding map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile,(n) }}$.
Proof. Using Theorem 7.22, we have an equivalence $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \simeq \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$. Composing this with the projection $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ yields a bijection between maps $B \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ over a given map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ corresponding to
a tuple $\left(B, E_{g}, \sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}\right) \in \mathscr{W}_{\text {sing }}(B)$ and maps $B \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ over that same map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$.

When $B$ is integral, by Lemma 8.4, we obtain that that the generic point $\eta$ of $B$ under the map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ factors through $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {node }} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\text {sing }} \rightarrow \mathscr{W}_{\text {sing }} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{W}$. This means the generic fiber of the genus 1 curve corresponding to the $\operatorname{map} B \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ is nodal. Normality of $B$ and Lemma 5.20 then implies that all such lifts $B \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)}$ are lifts of maps $B \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$, compatibly with the projection to $\mathscr{W}$.

By Lemma 8.5, the smooth locus of $E_{g}$ is isomorphic to $g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}$, and so it follows from the equivalence of Proposition 6.8(1) and Proposition 6.8(2) that maps $B \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{1}^{(n)}$ mapping to $\left(B, E_{g} \rightarrow B, \sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}\right) \in \mathscr{W}(B)$ are in bijection with elements of $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}_{\left(E_{g}, \sigma\right) / B}(B)$.

The final statement regarding automorphisms follows from observing that each of the steps of the above bijection also preserve automorphism data, especially using that Theorem 7.22 is an equivalence of stacks.

## 9. The unit resultant condition

In this section, we prove Theorem 9.6, which gives a bijection between pairs $(q, \xi) \in V_{n}$ of unit resultant and points of $\mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$.

Notation 9.1. Keep notation as in Section 1.10.1, letting $\mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ denote the Hirzebruch surface over a base scheme $B$. For $\mathbb{F}_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{P}_{B}^{1} \xrightarrow{h} B$ the structure maps, since $e$ corresponds to the directrix of the Hirzebruch surface, $g_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e)\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(-n+2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e+n f)\right) \simeq g_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e)\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n) \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the above isomorphism, the section $s \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e+n f)\right)$ can be equivalently described as a pair $(q, \xi)$ for $q \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(2)\right)$ and $\xi \in$ $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n)\right)$. Given $(q, \xi)$ corresponding to $s \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n-2}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e+n f)\right)$, its vanishing locus defines a subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{F}_{n-2}$. The complete linear system $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2}}(e+(n-2) f)$ determines a map $\mathbb{F}_{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{B}^{n-1}$ by Lemma 7.2, and hence a map $\iota: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{B}^{n-1}$.

We now connect smoothness of $Z$ to the condition that the resultant is a unit.

Lemma 9.2. Keeping notation as in Notation 9.1, a subscheme $W \subset \mathbb{F}_{n-2}$ determined by a section $(q, \xi) \in H^{0}\left(B, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(n)\right)$ not vanishing on any fibers of $h \circ g$ is smooth if and only the resultant $\operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)$ lies in $\mathbb{G}_{m}(B)$.

Proof. Because $(q, \xi)$ comes from a fixed linear system and is not zero on any fiber, its vanishing locus is flat and locally of finite presentation, so
it suffices to show $W$ is smooth over every point $p$ of $B$ if and only if $\operatorname{Res}(q, \xi) \in \mathbb{G}_{m}(B)$. Let $\bar{q}$ and $\bar{\xi}$ denote the restrictions of $q$ and $\xi$ in the residue field $\kappa(p)$.

We will show $W_{p}$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spec} \kappa(p)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Res}(\bar{q}, \bar{\xi}) \neq 0 \in$ $\kappa(p)$. Because $W_{p}$ has class $e+(n-2) f$ and is nonzero, the map $\left.g\right|_{W_{p}}: W_{p} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}_{p}^{1}$ is generically an isomorphism. Further, $\left.g\right|_{W_{p}}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $W_{p}$ contains no fibers of $g$. Therefore, when $W_{p}$ contains no fibers of $g, W_{p}$ will necessarily be smooth, and conversely if $W_{p}$ does contain a fiber of $g$ it will be singular at the point of intersection of that fiber with another component of $W_{p}$. Finally, $W_{p}$ contains a fiber over some point $t \in \mathbb{P}_{p}^{1}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Res}(\bar{q}, \bar{\xi})=0 \in \kappa(p)$ because both conditions are equivalent to the simultaneous vanishing of $\bar{q}$ and $\bar{\xi}$ at $t$.

Motivated by Lemma 9.2, we now define the subscheme of $V_{n}$ corresponding to the locus where the resultant is a unit.
Definition 9.3. There is a resultant map Res : $V_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text {Spec } \mathbb{Z}}^{1}$ sending $(q, \xi) \mapsto \operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)$. Viewing $\mathbb{G}_{m} \subset \mathbb{A}_{\text {Spec } \mathbb{Z}}^{1}$ as the complement of the origin, define the open subscheme $V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}:=\operatorname{Res}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \subset V_{n}$.

We are nearly ready to prove our main result, but first we state two preparatory lemmas, which relate various quotient stacks.

Lemma 9.4. Keep notation as in Notation 9.1. There is an injective map $V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}(B) / G_{n}(B) \hookrightarrow\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / G_{n}\right](B)$ which is a bijection if $H^{1}\left(B, \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{a}\right)=0$.
Proof. We have a sequence of pointed sets

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(B, G_{n}\right) \longrightarrow & H^{0}\left(B, V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}\right)  \tag{9.2}\\
& \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(B,\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / G_{n}\right]\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(B, G_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies the injectivity claim.
For the final statement, it is enough to check $H^{1}\left(B, G_{n}\right)=0$ when $H^{1}\left(B, \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{a}\right)=0$. This holds by Lemma 4.3, which shows $G_{n}$ is an iterated extension of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ by copies of $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ and $\mathbb{G}_{a}$.

Lemma 9.5. For $n \geq 3$, we have equivalences of stacks

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / G_{n}\right] \simeq\left[\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} / \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}}\right] } &  \tag{9.3}\\
& \simeq \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} \simeq \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \text { sing }}^{(n)} \simeq\left[\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\text {sing }}^{(n)} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We have $\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile,( }(n)} \simeq\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right]$ using Lemma 9.2. We also have an isomorphism and $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}} \simeq A_{n} \simeq\left[G_{n} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right]$, using Lemma 4.3(4). Together, these yield the equivalence $\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / G_{n}\right] \simeq\left[\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)} / \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}}\right]$.

The remaining identifications follow from Lemma 5.30, Theorem 7.22, and Corollary 5.17 . which respectively yield the isomorphisms

$$
\left[\mathcal{V}^{\text {smile },(n)} / \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / \mathbb{Z}}\right] \simeq \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile },(n)} \simeq \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}_{1, \operatorname{sing}}^{(n)} \simeq\left[\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\operatorname{sing}}^{(n)} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n}\right]
$$

Our above results now combine to give descriptions of elements $(q, \xi)$ with unit resultant as points of various stacks. Given a quadratic form $q \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}}(2)\right)$, we use $V(q)$ to denote the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_{B}^{1}$ defined by $q$. Recall that given a locally free degree 2 cover $X \rightarrow B$, we use $E_{g}$ with section $\sigma$ to denote the corresponding genus 1 curve as in Notation 8.1.

Additionally, note there is a map from $V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}$ to the stack of degree 2 finite locally free covers which sends $(q, \xi)$ to $V(q)$. Since this map is invariant for the action of $G_{n}$, we obtain a map $\Pi_{n}$ from $\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / G_{n}\right]$ to the stack of degree 2 finite locally free covers.

Theorem 9.6. Let $B$ be a normal integral scheme and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$ be an integer. Fix a degree 2 locally free generically étale cover $g: X \rightarrow B$. There is an injection from orbits $(q, \xi) \in V_{n}^{\operatorname{Reses} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}(B) / G_{n}(B)$ such that $V(q) \simeq X$ to $\Pi_{n}^{-1}([X]) \subset\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in G_{m}} / G_{n}\right](B)$. In turn, $\Pi_{n}^{-1}([X])$ is identified bijectively with elements of $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}_{\left(E_{g}, \sigma\right) / B}(B)$. The above injection is a bijection if $H^{1}\left(B, \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=$ $H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{a}\right)=0$.

Further, the above injection identifies the following three groups:
(1) the $B$ points of the isotropy group scheme of the corresponding map $B \rightarrow\left[\mathscr{H}^{(n)} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n}\right]$ via the bijection of Proposition 6.8;
(2) the $B$ points of the isotropy group scheme of the corresponding map $B \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$;
(3) the stabilizer in $G_{n}(B)$ of $(q, \xi)$.

Proof. For the first part, we wish to produce an injection from orbits $(q, \xi) \in V_{n}^{\text {Res } \in G_{m}}(B) / G_{n}(B)$ to points $B \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ over $\left(B, E_{g} \rightarrow B\right.$, $\left.\sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}, \tau: B \rightarrow E_{g}\right) \in \mathscr{W}_{\text {sing }}(B)$ which is a bijection if $H^{1}\left(B, \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\right)=$ $H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{a}\right)=0$.

We claim there is a sequence of maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}(B) / G_{n}(B) & \longrightarrow\left[V_{n}^{\operatorname{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / G_{n}\right](B) \\
& \longrightarrow\left[\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{smile},(n)} / \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{n-2} / B}\right](B) \longrightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}(B)
\end{aligned}
$$

where all but the first maps are bijections, and the first map is an injection which is a bijection if $H^{1}\left(B, \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{a}\right)=0$. Indeed, the statement for the first map is Lemma 9.4. The remaining maps are bijections by Lemma 9.5 .

We next check that the above identifications send the set of $(q, \xi) \in$ $V_{n}^{\text {Res } \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}(B) / G_{n}(B)$ with $V(q) \simeq X$ to the set $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n}\right.$
$\left.g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}_{\left(E_{g}, \sigma\right) / B}(B)$. Keeping notation as in Notation 8.1, by Theorem 8.6, there is a bijection between maps $B \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ lying over $\left(B, E_{g} \rightarrow B, \sigma: B \rightarrow E_{g}, \tau: B \rightarrow E_{g}\right) \in \mathscr{W}_{\text {sing }}(B)$ and elements of $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}_{\left(E_{g}, \sigma\right) / B}(B)$. Because the above maps of stacks are compatible with the projection to $\mathscr{W}_{\text {sing }}$, to give the desired identification, it is enough to show that we can recover $V(q)$ from $E_{g}$. But indeed, using that $B$ is normal, the normalization of $E_{g}$ is $\mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$, as this is normal and maps birationally to $E_{g}$. Then, $V(q)$ can be recovered as the preimage of the singular locus of $E_{g} \rightarrow B$ under the map $\mathbb{P}\left(g_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \rightarrow E_{g}$.

Combining the above identifications, we then obtain that pairs $(q, \xi) \in$ $V_{n}^{\text {Res } \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}(B) / G_{n}(B)$ with $V(q) \simeq X$ inject into $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n}\right.$ $\left.g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}_{\left(E_{g}, \sigma\right) / B}(B)$. Further, we obtain this injection is an isomorphism if $H^{1}\left(B, \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{a}\right)=0$.

To conclude, we wish to identify the $B$-points of the isotropy group scheme of $B \rightarrow\left[\mathscr{H}^{(n)} / \mathrm{PGL}_{n}\right]$, the $B$ points of the isotropy group scheme of $B \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\text {smile, }(n)}$ and the stabilizer of $(q, \xi)$ in $G_{n}(B)$. The identification of the first two follows from Lemma 9.5. The final identification also follows from Lemma 9.5 because the the stabilizer of a point $(q, \xi) \in V_{n}^{\text {Res } \in \mathbb{G}_{m}}$ in $G_{n}(B)$ is given by the $B$ points of the isotropy group associated to the map $B \rightarrow\left[V_{n}^{\mathrm{Res} \in \mathbb{G}_{m}} / G_{n}\right]$.

## 10. Cohomological Comparison

We next give a concrete description of the groups $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n}\right.$ $\left.g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ appearing in Theorem 9.6 in the case $B=$ Spec $\mathbb{Z}$, which relates them to $n$-torsion in class groups. To state this comparison in Lemma 10.2, we review the notion of the $n$-Selmer group of a number field.

Remark 10.1. Recall that the $n$-Selmer group of a number field $K$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(K):=\left\{\alpha \in K^{\times}: \begin{array}{r}
\text { there exists a fractional ideal } \mathfrak{a} \text { of } K \\
\operatorname{with}(\alpha)=\mathfrak{a}^{n}
\end{array}\right\} /\left(K^{\times}\right)^{n} .
$$

Let $X:=\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{K}$. In fact, $H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Sel}_{n}(K)$ as we now explain. The restriction map $H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} K, \mu_{n}\right)$ has image landing inside $\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(K)$. This induces a map $H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}_{n}(K)$ which is injective because $X$ is normal and is surjective because both $H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(K)$ have the same order as they are extensions of $\mathrm{Cl}(K)[n]$ by $\mathscr{O}_{K}^{\times} /\left(\mathscr{O}_{K}^{\times}\right)^{n}$.
Lemma 10.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and let $g: X \rightarrow B$ be a connected finite locally free cover of arbitrary degree. There is a natural map $\rho: H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$. When $B=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \rho$ is identified with the quotient $H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right)\right)$. Maintaining
$B=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ is an extension of $H^{1}\left(X, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)[n]=\mathrm{Cl}(X)[n]$ by $\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}^{\times}(X) / n \mathscr{O}_{X}^{\times}(X)\right) / \pm 1$. In particular, if $X=\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{K}$ for $K$ a number field, $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \simeq$ $\operatorname{coker}\left(\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}_{n}(K)\right)$.

Proof. The distinguished triangle associated to the three complexes

on $B$ gives rise to an exact sequence on hypercohomology

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)  \tag{10.2}\\
& \quad \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the distinguished triangle (6.1) and the vanishing $H^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=$ $H^{2}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=0$, we find $H^{2}\left(B, \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$. We also obtain isomorphisms $H^{1}\left(B, \mu_{n}\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ and $H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(X, \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \simeq$ $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$. This identifies $H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n}\right.$ $\left.g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ with the quotient $H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right)\right)$. The description of $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ as an extension then follows from the analogous description of $H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right)$ as an extension of $H^{1}\left(X, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)[n]$ by $\mathscr{O}_{X}^{\times}(X) / n \mathscr{O}_{X}^{\times}(X)$.

If $X=\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{K}$, the isomorphism $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \simeq$ $\operatorname{coker}\left(\operatorname{Sel}_{n}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}_{n}(K)\right)$ follows from Remark 10.1 together with the identification $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \simeq \operatorname{coker}\left(H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right)\right)$.
10.3. Examples. The utility of Lemma 10.2 is that it enables us to compute $H^{1}\left(B, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times n} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$. We next illustrate the usefulness of Lemma 10.2 and Theorem 9.6 with some concrete examples.
Remark 10.4. Fix $g: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ a normal integral finite degree 2 locally free cover of discriminant $d$. For each primitive quadratic form $q$ of discriminant $d$ we can ask how many $G_{n}$ orbits of degree $n$ polynomials $\xi$ there are with $\operatorname{Res}(q, \xi)= \pm 1$, up to the action of $G_{n}$. By combining Theorem 9.6 and Theorem 2.1 with Lemma 10.2, we can see there are no such values of $\xi$ unless $q$ lies in $H^{1}\left(X, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)[n]$. In the latter case, the number of such orbits can be computed by Lemma 10.2.

We have verified Remark 10.4 using MAGMA in thousands of cases. Let us now see this carried out in some examples.

Example 10.5. Consider $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$ and $X=\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{K}=$ Spec $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{\sqrt{-23}+1}{2}\right]$. We apply Remark 10.4 to this setting. We have $\mathrm{Cl}(K) \simeq$ $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$, with representatives for the three quadratic forms given by $q_{1}:=$ $x^{2}+x y+6 y^{2}, q_{2}:=2 x^{2}+x y+3 y^{2}, q_{3}:=2 x^{2}-x y+3 y^{2}$. Since these are all 3 -torsion, we expect that for each quadratic form, there should exist some degree 3 polynomial $\xi$ with $\operatorname{Res}\left(q_{i}, \xi\right)= \pm 1$. Indeed, we see $\operatorname{Res}\left(q_{1},-y^{3}\right)=$ $1, \operatorname{Res}\left(q_{2},-x^{3}-x y^{2}+y^{3}\right)=1$, and $\operatorname{Res}\left(q_{3},-x^{3}-x y^{2}-y^{3}\right)=1$.

Example 10.6. Consider $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-47})$ and $X=\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{K}=$ Spec $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{\sqrt{-47}+1}{2}\right]$. Then, $\operatorname{Cl}(K) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z}$ with representatives given by the quadratic forms $q_{1}=x^{2}+x y+12 y^{2}, q_{2}=2 x^{2}+x y+6 y^{2}, q_{3}=2 x^{2}-x y+$ $6 y^{2}, q_{4}=3 x^{2}+x y+4 y^{2}$, and $q_{5}=3 x^{2}-x y+4 y^{2}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq 5$, there is a degree 5 polynomial $\xi_{i}$ so that $\operatorname{Res}\left(q_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)=1$. Namely, $\xi_{1}=-y^{5}$, $\xi_{2}=-x^{5}-3 x^{3} y^{2}+x^{2} y^{3}-x y^{4}-y^{5}, \xi_{3}=-x^{5}-3 x^{3} y^{2}-x^{2} y^{3}-x y^{4}+y^{5}$, $\xi_{4}=-x^{5}-x^{4} y-x^{3} y^{2}+x y^{4}+y^{5}, \xi_{5}=-x^{5}+x^{4} y-x^{3} y^{2}+x y^{4}-y^{5}$.

Example 10.7. When $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $X=\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{K}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$, the unique equivalence class of quadratic forms has representative $x^{2}+$ $y^{2}$. Because $H^{1}\left(X, \mu_{n}\right) / H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \mu_{n}\right)$ has size 2 for any $n$, Lemma 10.2 predicts that for any positive integer $n$, there should be two orbits of pairs $(q, \xi)$ for $\xi$ of degree $2 n$ with resultant 1 . Indeed, the two orbits correspond to $\xi=x^{2 n}$ and $\xi=(x y)^{n}$.

Example 10.8. In the case $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and $g: X=\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{K}=$ $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{Cl}(K)$ is the trivial group, but Lemma 10.2 predicts there should be two orbits of pairs $(q, \xi)$ with resultant 1 . Note that $\# H^{1}\left(X, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times 3} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=3$, but the quotient of this group by $\pm 1$ has size 2 . We can take $q:=x^{2}+x y+y^{2}$ as a representative for the quadratic form. In this case, the two polynomials $y^{3}$ and $y^{2} x$ have resultant 1 with $q$, and lie in distinct $G_{3}$ orbits. Note that $y^{3}+y^{2} x$ is another such polynomial which corresponds to the third element of $H^{1}\left(X, g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{\times 3} g_{*} \mathbb{G}_{m} / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ that is identified with $y^{2} x$ under the automorphism of $g$.

Example 10.9. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and $g: X=\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{K}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right] \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$, which has discriminant 20. Then, $\mathrm{Cl}(K)=1$, and a representative is given by the quadratic form is given by $q=x^{2}-5 y^{2}$. By Lemma 10.2 , there are $2=\#\left(\mathscr{O}_{K}^{\times} / 3 \mathscr{O}_{K}^{\times}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}_{X / \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z})$ unit resultant $G_{n}$ orbits. Representatives for the two orbits are given by $\xi_{1}=y^{3}$ and $\xi_{2}=$ $-4 x y^{2}-9 y^{3}$.
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